



IEC 60812

Edition 3.0 2018-08

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

NORME INTERNATIONALE



Failure modes and effects analysis (FMEA and FMECA)

Analyse des modes de défaillance et de leurs effets (AMDE et AMDEC)

INTERNATIONAL
ELECTROTECHNICAL
COMMISSION

COMMISSION
ELECTROTECHNIQUE
INTERNATIONALE

ICS 03.120.01 03.120.30 21.020

ISBN 978-2-8322-5915-3

Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor.
Attention! Veuillez vous assurer que vous avez obtenu cette publication via un distributeur agréé.

CONTENTS

FOREWORD.....	6
INTRODUCTION.....	8
1 Scope.....	9
2 Normative references	9
3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms	9
3.1 Terms and definitions.....	9
3.2 Abbreviated terms.....	13
4 Overview	14
4.1 Purpose and objectives.....	14
4.2 Roles, responsibilities and competences.....	14
4.3 Terminology.....	15
5 Methodology for FMEA	15
5.1 General.....	15
5.2 Plan the FMEA.....	17
5.2.1 General	17
5.2.2 Define the objectives and scope of analysis.....	17
5.2.3 Identify boundaries and scenarios	17
5.2.4 Define decision criteria for treatment of failure modes	19
5.2.5 Determine documentation and reporting requirements	20
5.2.6 Define resources for analysis.....	21
5.3 Perform the FMEA	22
5.3.1 General	22
5.3.2 Sub-divide item or process into elements.....	22
5.3.3 Identify functions and performance standards for each element.....	23
5.3.4 Identify failure modes	23
5.3.5 Identify detection methods and existing controls	23
5.3.6 Identify local and final effects of failure modes	24
5.3.7 Identify failure causes.....	25
5.3.8 Evaluate relative importance of failure modes.....	26
5.3.9 Identify actions	28
5.4 Document the FMEA	29
Annex A (informative) General considerations for tailoring an FMEA.....	30
A.1 General.....	30
A.1.1 Overview	30
A.1.2 Start point for FMEA in the hierarchy	30
A.1.3 Degree of detail in analysis.....	31
A.1.4 Prioritization of failure modes	32
A.2 Factors influencing FMEA tailoring.....	33
A.2.1 Reuse of data/information from analysis of similar item	33
A.2.2 Maturity of item design and project progress.....	34
A.2.3 Degree of innovation	34
A.3 Examples of FMEA tailoring for items and processes	34
A.3.1 General	34
A.3.2 Example of tailoring an FMEA for an office equipment product	35
A.3.3 Example of tailoring an FMEA for a distributed power system	35
A.3.4 Example of tailoring an FMEA for medical processes.....	36

A.3.5	Example of tailoring an FMEA for electronic control systems	36
A.3.6	Example of tailoring an FMEA for a pump hydro block	37
A.3.7	Example of tailoring an FMEA for a wind turbine for power generation	37
Annex B	(informative) Criticality analysis methods	38
B.1	General	38
B.2	Measurement scales for criticality parameters	38
B.2.1	General	38
B.2.2	Scale definition	38
B.2.3	Assessing likelihood	39
B.3	Assigning criticality using a matrix or plot	40
B.3.1	General	40
B.3.2	Criticality matrix	40
B.3.3	Criticality plots	41
B.4	Assigning criticality using a risk priority number	42
B.4.1	General	42
B.4.2	Risk priority number	42
B.4.3	Alternative risk priority number method	44
Annex C	(informative) Example of FMEA report content	46
C.1	General	46
C.2	Example of generation of reports from a database information system for an FMEA of a power supply unit	46
Annex D	(informative) Relationship between FMEA and other dependability analysis techniques	52
Annex E	(informative) Application considerations for FMEA	53
E.1	General	53
E.2	Software FMEA	53
E.3	Process FMEA	55
E.4	FMEA for design and development	56
E.5	FMEA within reliability centred maintenance	56
E.6	FMEA for safety related control systems	56
E.6.1	General	56
E.6.2	FMEA in planning a safety application	57
E.6.3	Criticality analysis including diagnostics	57
E.7	FMEA for complex systems with reliability allocation	58
E.7.1	General	58
E.7.2	Criticality assessment for non-repairable systems with allocated unreliability	58
E.7.3	Criticality assessment for repairable systems with allocated availability	59
Annex F	(informative) Examples of FMEA from industry applications	60
F.1	General	60
F.2	Health process application for drug ordering process	60
F.3	Manufacturing process application for paint spraying	60
F.4	Design application for a water pump	61
F.4.1	General	61
F.4.2	Item function	61
F.4.3	Item failure modes	61
F.4.4	Item failure effects	61
F.5	Example of an FMEA with criticality analysis for a complex non-repaired system	62

F.6	Software application for a blood sugar calculator	63
F.7	Automotive electronics device	63
F.8	Maintenance and support application for a hi-fi system	64
F.9	Safety related control system applications	65
F.9.1	Electronic circuit	65
F.9.2	Automated train control system	65
F.10	FMEA including human factors analysis	65
F.11	Marking and encapsulation process for an electronic component	66
Bibliography		76
Figure 1	– Overview of FMEA methodology before tailoring	16
Figure B.1	– Example of a qualitative criticality matrix	40
Figure B.2	– Examples of criticality plots	41
Figure C.1	– Database information system to support FMEA report generation	47
Figure C.2	– Diagram of power supply type XYZ	47
Figure C.3	– Criticality matrix for FMECA report in Table C.5 created as a two dimensional image without taking into account detectability	51
Figure E.1	– General software failure model for a component software unit (CSU)	55
Figure E.2	– Allocation of system failure probabilities	59
Figure F.1	– Hierarchy of a series electronic system, its subsystems and assemblies with allocated unreliability values, $F(t)$	62
Figure F.2	– Automotive air-bag part	64
Table 1	– Example of terms commonly associated with levels of hierarchy	15
Table A.1	– Characteristics of top-down and bottom-up approaches to FMEA	31
Table A.2	– General application of common approaches to FMEA	33
Table C.1	– Example of fields selected for FMEA report of power supply based on database information	48
Table C.2	– Example of report of component FMEA	49
Table C.3	– Example of report of parts with possible common cause failures	50
Table C.4	– Example of report of FMECA using RPN criticality analysis	50
Table C.5	– Example of report of FMECA using criticality matrix for global effect	51
Table F.1	– Extract from FMEA of the process of ordering a drug from a pharmacy	60
Table F.2	– Extract from FMEA of paint spraying step of a manufacturing process	61
Table F.3	– Allocation and assessment of unreliability values for different criticality categories of failure modes for the electronic system represented in Figure F.1	63
Table F.4	– Allocation and assessment of unreliability values for different criticality categories of failure modes for subsystem 2 of the system represented in Figure F.1	63
Table F.5	– Hazards and safe/dangerous failures in an automated train control system	65
Table F.6	– Extract from FMEA of the process of monitoring blood sugar (1 of 2)	67
Table F.7	– Extract of automotive electronic part FMEA	69
Table F.8	– Extract from system FMEA for a remote control for a hi-fi system	70
Table F.9	– Extract from design FMEA for a remote control for a hi-fi system	70
Table F.10	– Extract from process FMEA for a remote control for a hi-fi system	71
Table F.11	– Extract from maintenance service FMEA for a remote control for a hi-fi system	71

Table F.12 – Extract from an FMEDA for an electronic circuit in a safety control system (1 of 2).....	72
Table F.13 – Extract from an FMEA for a coffee-maker.....	74
Table F.14 – Extract from an FMEA for an electronic component marking and encapsulation process	75

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of IEC 60812 ed 3.0:2018

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

FAILURE MODES AND EFFECTS ANALYSIS (FMEA and FMECA)

FOREWORD

- 1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC Publication(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
- 2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
- 3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
- 5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
- 8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
- 9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication may be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

International Standard IEC 60812 has been prepared by IEC technical committee 56: Dependability.

This third edition cancels and replaces the second edition published in 2006. This edition constitutes a technical revision.

This edition includes the following significant technical changes with respect to the previous edition:

- a) the normative text is generic and covers all applications;
- b) examples of applications for safety, automotive, software and (service) processes have been added as informative annexes;
- c) tailoring the FMEA for different applications is described;
- d) different reporting formats are described, including a database information system;
- e) alternative means of calculating risk priority numbers (RPN) have been added;
- f) a criticality matrix based method has been added;
- g) the relationship to other dependability analysis methods have been described.

The text of this International Standard is based on the following documents:

FDIS	Report on voting
56/1775/FDIS	56/1782/RVD

Full information on the voting for the approval of this International Standard can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

This document has been drafted in accordance with the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The committee has decided that the contents of this document will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under "<http://webstore.iec.ch>" in the data related to the specific document. At this date, the document will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

IMPORTANT – The 'colour inside' logo on the cover page of this publication indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

INTRODUCTION

Failure modes and effects analysis (FMEA) is a systematic method of evaluating an item or process to identify the ways in which it might potentially fail, and the effects of the mode of failure upon the performance of the item or process and on the surrounding environment and personnel. This document describes how to perform an FMEA.

The purpose of performing an FMEA is to support decisions that reduce the likelihood of failures and their effects, and thus contribute to improved outcomes either directly or through other analyses. Such improved outcomes include, but are not limited to, improved reliability, reduced environmental impact, reduced procurement and operating costs, and enhanced business reputation.

FMEA can be adapted to meet the needs of any industry or organization. FMEA is applicable to hardware, software, processes, human action and their interfaces, in any combination.

FMEA can be carried out several times in the lifetime for the same item or process. A preliminary analysis can be conducted during the early stages of design and planning, followed by a more detailed analysis when more information is available. FMEA can include existing controls, or recommended treatments, to reduce the likelihood or the effects of a failure mode. In the case of a closed loop analysis, FMEA allows for evaluation of the effectiveness of any treatment.

FMEA can be tailored and applied in different ways depending on the objectives.

Failure modes may be prioritized according to their importance. The prioritization can be based on a ranking of the severity alone, or this can be combined with other measures of importance. When failure modes are prioritized, the process is referred to as failure modes, effects and criticality analysis (FMECA). This document uses the term FMEA to include FMECA.

This document gives general guidance on how to plan, perform, document and maintain an FMEA by:

- a) describing the principles;
- b) providing the steps in analysis;
- c) giving examples of the documentation;
- d) providing example applications.

FMEA may be used in a certification or assurance process. For example, FMEA may be used in safety analysis for regulatory purposes but, as this document is a generic standard, it does not specifically address safety.

FMEA should be conducted in a manner that is consistent with any legislation, which is in effect within the scope of FMEA, or the type of risks involved.

Primary users of this document are those who are leading or participating in the analysis.

FAILURE MODES AND EFFECTS ANALYSIS (FMEA and FMECA)

1 Scope

This document explains how failure modes and effects analysis (FMEA), including the failure modes, effects and criticality analysis (FMECA) variant, is planned, performed, documented and maintained.

The purpose of failure modes and effects analysis (FMEA) is to establish how items or processes might fail to perform their function so that any required treatments could be identified. An FMEA provides a systematic method for identifying modes of failure together with their effects on the item or process, both locally and globally. It may also include identifying the causes of failure modes. Failure modes can be prioritized to support decisions about treatment. Where the ranking of criticality involves at least the severity of consequences, and often other measures of importance, the analysis is known as failure modes, effects and criticality analysis (FMECA).

This document is applicable to hardware, software, processes including human action, and their interfaces, in any combination.

An FMEA can be used in a safety analysis, for regulatory and other purposes, but this being a generic standard, does not give specific guidance for safety applications.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC 60050-192, *International electrotechnical vocabulary – Part 192: Dependability* (available at <http://www.electropedia.org>)

SOMMAIRE

AVANT-PROPOS	82
INTRODUCTION.....	84
1 Domaine d'application	85
2 Références normatives	85
3 Termes, définitions et termes abrégés	85
3.1 Termes et définitions	85
3.2 Termes abrégés	89
4 Vue d'ensemble	90
4.1 But et objectifs	90
4.2 Rôles, responsabilités et compétences	90
4.3 Terminologie	91
5 Méthodologie pour l'AMDE	92
5.1 Généralités	92
5.2 Planifier l'AMDE	94
5.2.1 Généralités	94
5.2.2 Définir les objectifs et le périmètre de l'analyse	94
5.2.3 Identifier les limites et les scénarios	95
5.2.4 Définir les critères de décision pour le traitement des modes de défaillance	96
5.2.5 Déterminer les exigences en matière de documentation et de rapport.....	97
5.2.6 Définir les ressources pour l'analyse.....	99
5.3 Réaliser l'AMDE.....	100
5.3.1 Généralités	100
5.3.2 Subdiviser l'entité ou le processus en éléments	100
5.3.3 Identifier les fonctions et les références de performances pour chaque élément	100
5.3.4 Identifier les modes de défaillance	101
5.3.5 Identifier les méthodes de détection et les commandes existantes.....	101
5.3.6 Identifier les effets locaux et finaux du mode de défaillance.....	102
5.3.7 Identifier les causes de la défaillance	103
5.3.8 Évaluer l'importance relative des modes de défaillance	104
5.3.9 Identifier les actions	106
5.4 Documenter l'AMDE.....	107
Annexe A (informative) Considérations générales relatives à l'adaptation d'une AMDE.....	108
A.1 Généralités	108
A.1.1 Vue d'ensemble	108
A.1.2 Point de départ de l'AMDE dans la hiérarchie	109
A.1.3 Niveau de détail de l'analyse	110
A.1.4 Hiérarchisation des modes de défaillance	110
A.2 Facteurs ayant un impact sur l'adaptation de l'AMDE	112
A.2.1 Réutilisation des données/informations de l'analyse d'une entité similaire	112
A.2.2 Maturité de la conception de l'entité et avancement du projet	113
A.2.3 Degré d'innovation	113
A.3 Exemples d'adaptation d'AMDE pour les entités et les processus	114
A.3.1 Généralités	114
A.3.2 Exemple d'adaptation d'une AMDE pour un équipement de bureau.....	114

A.3.3	Exemple d'adaptation d'une AMDE pour un système d'alimentations décentralisées	114
A.3.4	Exemple d'adaptation d'une AMDE pour un processus médical.....	115
A.3.5	Exemple d'adaptation d'une AMDE pour des systèmes de commande électronique.....	116
A.3.6	Exemple d'adaptation d'une AMDE pour une pompe hydraulique	116
A.3.7	Exemple d'adaptation d'une AMDE pour une éolienne	117
Annexe B (informative)	Méthodes d'analyse de criticité.....	118
B.1	Généralités	118
B.2	Échelles de mesure des paramètres de criticité	118
B.2.1	Généralités	118
B.2.2	Définition de l'échelle	119
B.2.3	Évaluation de la vraisemblance	119
B.3	Attribution de la criticité à l'aide d'une matrice ou d'un graphe	120
B.3.1	Généralités	120
B.3.2	Matrice de criticité	120
B.3.3	Graphes de criticité	122
B.4	Attribution de la criticité à l'aide d'un nombre prioritaire de risque	122
B.4.1	Généralités	122
B.4.2	Nombre prioritaire de risque	123
B.4.3	Méthode du nombre prioritaire de risque alternatif	124
Annexe C (informative)	Exemple de contenu de rapport d'AMDE	127
C.1	Généralités	127
C.2	Exemple de génération de rapports à partir d'un système d'informations de base de données pour une AMDE d'une alimentation électrique	127
Annexe D (informative)	Relations entre l'AMDE et d'autres techniques d'analyse de sûreté de fonctionnement	133
Annexe E (informative)	Considérations relatives à l'application d'une AMDE.....	134
E.1	Généralités	134
E.2	AMDE logicielle.....	134
E.3	AMDE processus.....	137
E.4	AMDE pour la conception et le développement	137
E.5	AMDE dans le cadre d'une maintenance basée sur la fiabilité (RCM).....	138
E.6	AMDE pour les systèmes de commande relatifs à la sécurité	138
E.6.1	Généralités	138
E.6.2	AMDE dans la gestion d'une application de sécurité	138
E.6.3	Analyse de criticité incluant des diagnostics	139
E.7	AMDE pour les systèmes complexes avec allocation de fiabilité	140
E.7.1	Généralités	140
E.7.2	Évaluation de la criticité des systèmes non réparables avec allocation de fiabilité.....	140
E.7.3	Évaluation de la criticité des systèmes réparables avec allocation de disponibilité	141
Annexe F (informative)	Exemples d'AMDE pour les applications industrielles	142
F.1	Généralités	142
F.2	Application au processus de santé pour le processus de commande de médicaments	142
F.3	Application au processus de fabrication pour la peinture au pistolet.....	142
F.4	Application à la conception d'une pompe à eau.....	143
F.4.1	Généralités	143

F.4.2	Fonction de l'entité	143
F.4.3	Modes de défaillance de l'entité.....	143
F.4.4	Effets de la défaillance de l'entité	143
F.5	Exemple d'AMDE avec analyse de criticité pour un système non réparé complexe	144
F.6	Application logicielle pour un calculateur du taux de glycémie.....	146
F.7	Dispositifs électroniques automobiles	146
F.8	Application à la maintenance et au support d'un système hi-fi.....	147
F.9	Applications à des systèmes de commande relatifs à la sécurité	147
F.9.1	Circuit électronique.....	147
F.9.2	Système de commande automatique des trains	147
F.10	AMDE incluant une analyse des facteurs humains	148
F.11	Processus de marquage et d'encapsulation d'un composant électronique	148
	Bibliographie.....	164
	Figure 1 – Vue d'ensemble de la méthodologie AMDE avant l'adaptation.....	93
	Figure B.1 – Exemple de matrice de criticité qualitative	121
	Figure B.2 – Exemples de graphes de criticité	122
	Figure C.1 – Système d'informations de base de données pour la génération d'un rapport d'AMDE	128
	Figure C.2 – Schéma d'un type d'alimentation électrique XYZ.....	128
	Figure C.3 – Matrice de criticité pour le rapport d'AMDEC du Tableau C.5 créée en une image à deux dimensions sans prendre en compte la détectabilité.....	132
	Figure E.1 – Modèle de défaillance général d'un composant logiciel (CSU).....	136
	Figure E.2 – Allocation de probabilités de défaillance du système.....	141
	Figure F.1 – Hiérarchie d'un système électronique en série, de ses sous-systèmes et ensembles avec des valeurs de fiabilité allouées F(t).....	145
	Figure F.2 – Pièce d'airbag automobile	146
	Tableau 1 – Exemples de termes habituellement associés aux niveaux de hiérarchie	91
	Tableau A.1 – Caractéristiques des approches descendantes et ascendantes de l'AMDE	110
	Tableau A.2 – Application générale des approches communes de l'AMDE	112
	Tableau C.1 – Exemple de champs sélectionnés pour un rapport d'AMDE d'une alimentation électrique en fonction des informations de base de données.....	129
	Tableau C.2 – Exemple de rapport d'AMDE composant	130
	Tableau C.3 – Exemple de rapport des pièces présentant des défaillances possibles de cause commune.....	131
	Tableau C.4 – Exemple de rapport d'AMDEC utilisant l'analyse de criticité NPR	131
	Tableau C.5 – Exemple de rapport d'AMDEC utilisant la matrice de criticité pour l'effet global.....	132
	Tableau F.1 – Extrait d'une AMDE relative au processus de commande d'un médicament dans une pharmacie.....	142
	Tableau F.2 – Extrait d'une AMDE relative à l'étape de peinture au pistolet d'un processus de fabrication	143
	Tableau F.3 – Allocation et évaluation des valeurs de fiabilité pour différentes catégories de criticité des modes de défaillance pour le système électronique représenté à la Figure F.1.....	145

Tableau F.4 – Allocation et évaluation des valeurs de fiabilité pour différentes catégories de criticité des modes de défaillance pour le sous-système 2 du système représenté à la Figure F.1	145
Tableau F.5 – Dangers et défaillances en sécurité/dangereuses dans un système de commande automatique des trains	148
Tableau F.6 – Extrait d'une AMDE relative au processus de surveillance de la glycémie (1 sur 3)	149
Tableau F.7 – Extrait d'une AMDE relative aux composants électroniques d'une automobile (1 sur 2)	152
Tableau F.8 – Extrait d'une AMDE système pour une télécommande de système hi-fi	154
Tableau F.9 – Extrait d'une AMDE de conception pour une télécommande de système hi-fi	155
Tableau F.10 – Extrait d'une AMDE processus pour une télécommande de système hi-fi	155
Tableau F.11 – Extrait d'une AMDE en service de maintenance pour une télécommande de système hi-fi	156
Tableau F.12 – Extrait d'une AMDED de processus pour le circuit électronique d'un système de commande de sécurité (1 sur 3)	157
Tableau F.13 – Extrait d'une AMDE relative à une cafetière (1 sur 2)	160
Tableau F.14 – Extrait d'une AMDE pour le processus de marquage et d'encapsulation d'un composant électronique (1 sur 2)	162

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of IEC 60812 ed 3.0 2018