

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD



AMENDMENT 1

**Ultrasonics – Pulse-echo scanners –
Part 1: Techniques for calibrating spatial measurement systems and
measurement of system point-spread function response**

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of IEC 61391-1:2006/AMD1:2017



THIS PUBLICATION IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

Copyright © 2017 IEC, Geneva, Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either IEC or IEC's member National Committee in the country of the requester. If you have any questions about IEC copyright or have an enquiry about obtaining additional rights to this publication, please contact the address below or your local IEC member National Committee for further information.

IEC Central Office
3, rue de Varembe
CH-1211 Geneva 20
Switzerland

Tel.: +41 22 919 02 11
Fax: +41 22 919 03 00
info@iec.ch
www.iec.ch

About the IEC

The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is the leading global organization that prepares and publishes International Standards for all electrical, electronic and related technologies.

About IEC publications

The technical content of IEC publications is kept under constant review by the IEC. Please make sure that you have the latest edition, a corrigenda or an amendment might have been published.

IEC Catalogue - webstore.iec.ch/catalogue

The stand-alone application for consulting the entire bibliographical information on IEC International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports and other documents. Available for PC, Mac OS, Android Tablets and iPad.

IEC publications search - www.iec.ch/searchpub

The advanced search enables to find IEC publications by a variety of criteria (reference number, text, technical committee,...). It also gives information on projects, replaced and withdrawn publications.

IEC Just Published - webstore.iec.ch/justpublished

Stay up to date on all new IEC publications. Just Published details all new publications released. Available online and also once a month by email.

Electropedia - www.electropedia.org

The world's leading online dictionary of electronic and electrical terms containing 20 000 terms and definitions in English and French, with equivalent terms in 16 additional languages. Also known as the International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) online.

IEC Glossary - std.iec.ch/glossary

65 000 electrotechnical terminology entries in English and French extracted from the Terms and Definitions clause of IEC publications issued since 2002. Some entries have been collected from earlier publications of IEC TC 37, 77, 86 and CISPR.

IEC Customer Service Centre - webstore.iec.ch/csc

If you wish to give us your feedback on this publication or need further assistance, please contact the Customer Service Centre: csc@iec.ch.

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of IEC 60384-1:2008/AMD1:2017

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD



AMENDMENT 1

Ultrasonics – Pulse-echo scanners – Part 1: Techniques for calibrating spatial measurement systems and measurement of system point-spread function response

INTERNATIONAL
ELECTROTECHNICAL
COMMISSION

ICS 17.140.50

ISBN 978-2-8322-4289-6

Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor.

FOREWORD

This amendment has been prepared by IEC technical committee 87: Ultrasonics.

The text of this amendment is based on the following documents:

FDIS	Report on voting
87/650/FDIS	87/653/RVD

Full information on the voting for the approval of this amendment can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

This publication has been drafted in accordance with the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The committee has decided that the contents of this publication will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC website under "<http://webstore.iec.ch>" in the data related to the specific publication. At this date, the publication will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

A bilingual version of this publication may be issued at a later date.

IMPORTANT – The 'colour inside' logo on the cover page of this publication indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.

2 Normative references

Replace:

IEC 61102:1991, *Measurement and characterisation of ultrasonic fields using hydrophones in the frequency range 0,5 MHz to 15 MHz*

with:

IEC 62127-1:2007, *Ultrasonics – Hydrophones – Part 1: Measurement and characterization of medical ultrasonic fields up to 40 MHz*

Insert the following new normative references in proper numerical sequence:

IEC 60050-801:1994, *International Electrotechnical Vocabulary – Chapter 801: Acoustics and electroacoustics*

3 Terms and definitions

Replace the first two paragraphs with the following new paragraph:

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in IEC 60050-801:1994, IEC 60050-802:2011, IEC 62127-1:2007 and the following apply. See also related International Standards, Technical Specifications and Technical Reports for definitions and explanations [1] [2] [3] [4] [34] [35] [36] [37] [38] [39].

3.25

point-spread function **PSF**

Add the following new sentence at the end of the NOTE:

The problem is solved by PSF mapping – see Annex D.

Add the following new terms and definitions to Clause 3, starting with 3.45.

3.45

accuracy

closeness of agreement between a test result and the accepted reference value

[SOURCE: ISO 5725-1:1994, 3.6]

3.46

axial resolution in a PSF-map

twice the Half-Width-at-Half-Maximum (HWHM) of a function's trace created from a set of increasing **pixel** values, commencing near zero and terminating at the first maximum value (centre of the **PSF**) and representing the leading edge of the echo signal from a point reflector located on the main beam axis

Note 1 to entry: The **axial resolution in a PSF map** differs from the **axial resolution** specified by 3.5. It is used for the **PSF**-mapping only to simplify the data acquisition.

Note 2 to entry: A detailed explanation of the **axial resolution in the PSF-map** measuring method is in D.6.1.4.

Note 3 to entry: The axial resolution mainly depends on the ultrasound frequency used, not on sonograph construction.

Note 4 to entry: **Axial resolution in a PSF-map** is expressed in metres.

3.47

brightness

luminance as perceived by the human visual system

[SOURCE: IEC 62563-1:2009, 3.1.2]

3.48

contrast

C

ratio of the difference of the luminance of two image areas, $L_1 - L_2$, divided by the average of the two luminance values:

$$C = 2 (L_1 - L_2) / (L_1 + L_2)$$

[SOURCE: IEC 62563-1:2009, 3.1.6]

3.49

dynamic imaging **real-time imaging**

imaging with a frame rate that is high enough to observe moving structures in apparently continuous motion

3.50

elevational resolution in a PSF-map

difference of point-reflector displacements in passing through the scanning plane in an elevational direction, which result in decreases of MER of –6 dB compared to the MER-value in the beam centre

Note 1 to entry: The **elevational resolution in a PSF-map** differs from the **elevational resolution** specified by 3.12. It is used for the **PSF**-mapping only to simplify the data acquisition.

Note 2 to entry: Detailed explanation of the method is in D.6.1.3.

Note 3 to entry: **Elevational resolution in a PSF-map** is expressed in metres.

3.51

overall gain

G_0

basic level of gain that is uniform for the whole scan area but modified by **TGC** relative to the depth of the scan

3.52

profile line

set of **pixel** values ordered along an abscissa according to the sequence during their acquisition

3.53

lateral resolution in a PSF-map

Full-Width at Half-Maximum (FWHM) of the **PSF**, measured in a lateral direction

Note 1 to entry: The **lateral resolution in a PSF-map** differs from the **lateral resolution** specified by 3.17. It is used for the **PSF**-mapping only to simplify the data acquisition.

Note 2 to entry: Detailed explanation of the method is in D.6.1.2.

Note 3 to entry: **Lateral resolution in a PSF-map** is expressed in metres.

3.54

measuring grid

matrix of points specified by Cartesian coordinates x_i and z_j defined in a plane parallel to the scanning plane

Note 1 to entry: Each point determines the position (x_i, z_j) in which individual measurement of **PSF** is performed.

Note 2 to entry: The step Δx is defined as an increment $x_{i+1} - x_i$ in the lateral direction. The step Δz is defined as an increment $z_{j+1} - z_j$ in the axial direction.

3.55

performance evaluation

tests performed to assess specific absolute performance of the object tested

Note 1 to entry: Typical times for ultrasound-system **performance evaluation** are at pre-purchase evaluation, new- and repaired-system acceptance testing, at time of performance difficulties, and at end-of-useful-life evaluations.

[SOURCE: IEC TS 62736:2016, 3.5]

3.56

precision

closeness of agreement between independent test results obtained under stipulated conditions

[SOURCE: ISO 5725-1:1994, 3.12]

3.57

scanning window

area on the surface of the **test tank** dedicated for transducer application to obtain a suitable sonogram of the target

Note 1 to entry: It is important that the **scanning window** be covered by flexible foil made of material with similar acoustic properties to the working liquid to avoid ultrasound field reflections and absorption.

Note 2 to entry: The foil flexibility should assure proper acoustical contact of any type of curved transducer.

Note 3 to entry: It is important that the foil covering the scanning-window be tough enough to prevent its damage during coupling the measured transducer to the **scanning window**, to prevent resultant leakage of working liquid from the measuring tank.

Note 4 to entry: The **scanning window** has the identical function as the **test object scanning surface** in the case of tissue-mimicking test objects (see 3.34).

3.58

side-lobe signal

echo signal generated by ultrasound signal transmitted/received in a direction different from the central axis of the transducer

3.59

test tank

tank designed to be suitable for providing specified kind of tests, which is filled with a **working liquid** and equipped with **scanning window(s)**

Replace the title of Clause 4 with the following new title:

4 Symbols and abbreviated terms

Add the following symbols and abbreviated terms to Clause 4:

D	diameter of the reflector sphere
$A_{r,max}$	greatest $a_{r,max}$ evaluated for whole measured volume
$a_{r,max}$	MER pixel value evaluated from ROI
$a_{r,max}(x,y,z)$	MER pixel value evaluated from ROI scanned for reflector in position (x,y,z)
C	contrast
G_o	overall gain
$I(x,y,z)$	ROI specified in a digital picture of scan stored with reflector in position (x,y,z)
M	number of quantization levels defined by $M = 2^m$ where m is number of pixel bits
p_x	pixel size in lateral (azimuthal) direction
p_z	pixel size in axial direction
$R_{A,PSF}$	axial resolution in a PSF-map
$R_{E,PSF}$	elevational resolution in a PSF-map
$R_{L,PSF}$	lateral resolution in a PSF-map
$W_{F,HM}$	value of FWHM (full width at half of maximum)

$W_{H, HM}$	value of HWHM (half width at half of maximum)
$W_{F, HM, n}$	normalized $W_{F, HM}$ according to Formula (D.3) in D.6.1.2
$W_{H, HM, n}$	normalized $W_{H, HM}$ according to Formula (D.3) in D.6.1.2
λ	ultrasound wavelength in the working liquid, calculated from the nominal frequency of the transducer used
ATGC	automatic time-gain compensation
FWHM	full width at half of maximum
HFHM	half width at half of maximum
LUT	look-up table
MER	maximum echo received
PSF	point-spread function
RF	radio frequency
ROI	region of interest
TGC	time-gain compensation
US	ultrasound

6.1 Test methods

Replace:

- c) a tank containing degassed **working liquid**.

with:

- c) a tank equipped with target holder to position the target at accurately specified positions and containing degassed **working liquid**.

Replace:

The specifications of these devices are given in the annexes.

with:

The specifications of these devices are given in Annexes A, B, C and D.

8.2 Test methods

Replace:

- b) a tank containing degassed liquid;

with:

- b) a tank containing degassed liquid and, optionally, movable targets as described in Clause C.4 and D.5.4.2;

8.4.1 General

Add, at the end of 8.4.1, the following new sentence:

“A setting should be specified by a test instruction for each test, if it differs from the general recommendations. See D.5.2.”

8.5.1 General

Add, to the end of the fifth paragraph starting “To overcome this limitation ...”, the following new text:

“The complications generated by interference and multiple reflections inside the spherical target may be solved by time-domain analysis of the received echo when a larger and/or highly reflective sphere is used. See D.5.4.2.”

8.5.4 Scan slice thickness (elevational PSF and LSF) or elevational resolution

Add, at the end of 8.5.4, the following new text:

“The most accurate and flexible method to derive the complex set of parameters based on the PSF mapping analysis is described in Annex D.”

C.4 Movable single filament or wire in water (Figures C.3, C.4)

Add, at the end of Clause C.4, the following new single-sentence paragraph:

“The use of a movable spherical target for assessing quality parameters derived by **PSF**-mapping analysis is described in Annex D. ”

Insert after Annex C the following new Annex D

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of IEC 61391-1:2006/AMD1:2017

Annex D (informative)

Quality parameters derived by PSF-mapping analysis

D.1 General

A quality assessment system is vitally needed to provide an accurate and well-defined set of production-quality parameters for new or refurbished scanners or transducers in acceptance tests before their introduction to medical practice. It is important that products delivered by third-party sales groups, system-refurbishers and/or transducer manufacturers be carefully tested to be able to declare technical parameters of their products to be comparable to those of the new, originally manufactured systems. The methods used for quality assessment in medical applications are not certain and accurate enough to be used for such kinds of technical **performance evaluation**. **PSF-mapping analysis** gives reliable parameters suitable for this kind of tests. These parameters do not directly indicate the effectivity of a clinical diagnostic process, even though a close correlation between the assessed technical quality and success in the diagnostic process may be expected [40].

The ultrasound scanner used as a diagnostic system is composed of the system-control/user-interface unit and the ultrasonic-transducer assembly. Either unit can contain the transmitter and the receiver- electronic systems and some of the beam-former electronics. The **ultrasonic transducer** converts electrical signals to ultrasound field and vice versa. Electrical and acoustic parameters of the transducer determine quality of the scanning **ultrasound beam**. The electronic system controls the transmitted and received ultrasound signal, conversion from mechanical to electrical signals, and the signal processing and conversion to video-signal inputted to the imaging unit. The imaging unit transfers the information to the human preceptors. The **PSF-distribution analysis** evaluates qualitative parameters of the whole ultrasound-scanner system, excluding the display unit. The analysed signal is affected by the quality of the whole imaging cycle, and the transmitting and receiving parts of the scanner. The analysed system function is affected by a complex set of control functions. Therefore, it is important that the combination of the control settings of the scanner be exactly specified and recorded as a part of the measurement.

D.2 Method

Annex D describes a method for precise and reliable measurement of several qualitative parameters of whole ultrasound scanning systems including both the transmitting and receiving parts of the systems, excluding the parameters of scanner display. The method is based on **PSF-distribution analysis** over a scanning area. In the case of **PSF-mapping**, the measured parameters are derived by analysis of sonograms generated by scanning a spherical target moving over a defined scanning volume on a specified trajectory.

The **PSF-mapping** system evaluates a set of parameters acquired over a user-defined area in one scanning plane of a B-mode grey-scale sonogram, scanned in a tank filled by degassed working liquid and using one measuring procedure. The whole target sonogram is not evaluated in the **PSF-mapping analysis**. The test signal is obtained by reflection of a transmitted ultrasound wave from a point-reflector surface and working-liquid boundary only. The point reflector used is a highly reflective sphere of diameter D [41].

The method is suitable for all kinds of echo(reflections)-evaluating sonographs using different types of beam-forming and plane-wave compounding of ultrasound signal in the frequency range 0,5 MHz to 50 MHz. The upper frequency limit is determined by a ball target of minimum diameter available to assure reflection effectivity and fulfil the condition $\lambda \leq D \leq 4\lambda$, where λ is the ultrasound wavelength in the working liquid [42]. Further limiting factors are a minimum size of step and precise mechanical construction of the positioning system to assure measurement reliability and adequate scan size.

The method is relevant for all the types of transducers used with these scanners, including

- mechanical probes including annular arrays,
- electronic phased arrays,
- linear arrays,
- curved arrays,
- two-dimensional arrays, and
- 3D-volume scanning probes based on a combination of the above types.

The **PSF**-measuring system is not a tissue-mimicking object. It is dedicated to performing accurate, stable and reliable measurements under conditions appropriate to achieving these measurements of parameters, some of which may be obtained by use of sophisticated electronic measurements of the scanner's electronic system and some by **PSF**-mapping analysis only [43].

The following data are acquired and are analysed using the method:

- a) the ROI digital image stored for the scanned-plane axis in each point of the **measuring grid**;
- b) the echo-signal amplitude distribution over the measured area;
- c) the distribution of the parameter $W_{F, HM}$ which is Full-Width-at-Half-Maximum (FWHM) of the **point-spread function (PSF)** in the azimuthal direction over the measured area;
- d) the distribution of the parameter $W_{H, HM}$ Half-Width-at-Half-Maximum (HWHM) of the **point-spread function (PSF)** in the axial direction over the measured area;
- e) the peak echo-amplitude received $a_{r, max}(x, y, z)$ at each y_k step of the target position in the elevation (transversal) direction;
- f) the (x, y, z) coordinates set for stored position of the point reflector generating $a_{r, max}(x, y, z)$ from MER in each point of the **measuring grid** (position in centre of ultrasound beam).

Data analysis derives the following ultrasound scanner parameters and functions:

- 1) focal areas in both the azimuth and the elevation directions;
- 2) visualization of the distribution of ultrasound scanning lines;
- 3) manufacturer's preloaded **TGC** function;
- 4) width (elevation) of the scanning plane over the depth of scan;
- 5) side-lobes signal-level distribution in the scan plane;
- 6) amplification uniformity in the azimuth direction;
- 7) scan geometry linearity and **accuracy**.

D.3 Environmental conditions

The most temperature-sensitive parameters are those assessing geometry of the sonogram and related calculations. The temperature-dependent deviations may be compensated mathematically from known working-liquid temperature and thermal coefficient of speed of sound.

Water condensation on electronic system components should be avoided.

D.4 General requirements of the method

Ultrasound waves produce a **PSF**-signal that is neither singular nor isotropic. Furthermore, the ultrasound **PSF** can be asymmetrical, having different axial and lateral dimensions, and it

also varies with distance from the transducer in both the axial and the azimuthal directions. Thus, it is important that many different measurements of the **PSF** at different positions and depths be performed to obtain representative values of the system's imaging performance at specific positions along the beam axis. It is also important that the measured area be covered by a grid of the measuring points, the density of which is determined by the expected parameters and the **accuracy** of the measurement [44].

NOTE For example, determination of a focal point's position may need an axial step $\Delta z = 5$ mm; visualization of scanning lines demands an azimuthal step $\Delta x = 0,1$ mm for a conventional linear transducer of nominal frequency 3 MHz.

The following features are necessary to apply the **PSF**-analyser to the sonograph:

a) The sonograph to be tested:

- video-signal output of live, dynamic scanning available in analog (composite) or digital (DVI-D, HDMI) form;
- operating instructions or skilled operator to assure proper manipulation and operating adjustment;
- record of proper evidence and registration of the measurement process, including identification of operator and all apparatus used, record of parameters preset in the measured system, record of environmental conditions, including a time stamp.

b) The basic configuration of the measurement tank:

- The **scanning window**(s) is(are) localized in the side wall(s) of the tank.
- The spherical-target positioning system is fixed on top of the tank, controlling movement of the target fixed in a holder.
- Filling the tank with degassed working liquid is recommended to prevent bubble generation in the working fluid. Bubbles may mimic the point reflector and/or produce spurious reflections from the point reflector after having accumulated on it, due to surface tension.
- Temperature should be kept in the specified range to eliminate measurement uncertainties generated by dependence of the speed of ultrasound propagation upon temperature.

c) The transducer:

- The transducer is acoustically coupled to the **scanning window** by standard coupling ultrasound gel. The **scanning window** is covered by tough, flexible foil made of material with similar acoustic properties to the working liquid to avoid ultrasound field reflections and absorption.
- The scanning plane is oriented in the horizontal direction and the transducer is fixed to keep the whole slice thickness below the water surface. It is important that the lateral orientation of the transducer be specified.

d) The positioning system:

- A computer-controlled micromanipulator is used to move the target or transducer to a determined position. It is important that mechanical construction, **accuracy** and stability of the positioning system correspond with ultrasound frequency. The shorter the wavelength, the more accurate and robust the system should be to avoid systematic measuring errors.

e) The control and analysing system:

- The software controls the video-signal acquisition, determines ROI, selects and saves the ROI frames to be used for analysis and finally maintains the complex analysis of the stored information.
- The basic parameters used for **PSF**-analysis are the $W_{F, HM}$ (Full Width at Half Maximum, i.e. at -6 dB down from $a_{r, max}$) and the **pixel** level of noise at an area without reflections.

- The $W_{F, HM}$ depends upon the intensity of the received signal. Therefore, it is important that the receiving gain and output power be properly adjusted to utilize the whole dynamic range of the analyser.

D.5 Measuring conditions

D.5.1 General

In Figure D.1 a principal schematic of the **PSF**-analyser is introduced. The **PSF**-analyser consists of the data-acquisition components (test-tank and point-reflector parts of the schema), a linear transducer, a personal computer (PC) with video-signal input, running acquisition and analysis software. The sonograph being measured is shown with a linear transducer but the results analysis is displayed for a sector-scan transducer to illustrate transducer-type independency of the system.




Figure D.1 – Principal schematic of the PSF-analyser function

D.5.2 Sonograph

D.5.2.1 General

Sonographs are equipped with a large set of different control functions to ensure optimal handling of received ultrasound signals to create the best image. These control functions affect remarkably the measurement results because the method evaluates the signal after it has passed through a whole imaging system. Therefore, it is important that all the adjustments and settings be carefully documented in the measuring protocol to be available for use for repeated measurements.

D.5.2.2 The amplitude transfer-characteristic adjustment

The sonograph receiver employs a logarithmic amplifier to compress a very wide range of received signal amplitudes (more than 100 dB). It is important that all the other additional, nonlinear, so-called pre-processing and post-processing functions be switched off or adjusted to linear working regions to avoid further nonlinear distortions of the signal. This requirement includes functions dedicated to eliminating different types of noise either by scan-sequences correlation or averaging or cutting off low-level signals.

It is important that the amplified signal-peak amplitude limitation due to low amplifier dynamic range be eliminated to assure correct measurement of the FWHM-function. Therefore, it is also important that the widest dynamic range accessible by the measuring system be selected, except in the case when the gain of the sonograph is not sufficient to achieve full dynamic range for the $A_{r,max}$.

D.5.2.3 The look-up table setting

The relation of the grey-scale level to the digitalized amplitude of the signal is derived from a look-up table (LUT) or Gamma curve. If possible to assure a pure logarithmic characteristic of the system, only a most linear LUT should be used. It is important that all the settings be documented in the measuring protocol, to be recorded for use during further periodical measurements. A method to find the most linear LUT should be part of the measuring software.

D.5.2.4 The measured gain adjustment

The sonograph's receiver gain is controlled in two parts: the **overall gain** (G_o) and the **time-gain compensation (TGC)** functions. Their adjustment prior to measurement should be as follows: The **TGC** is adjusted first. The basic condition is to adjust the **TGC** to be constant within the whole range of the scanned depth. The basic condition of the **TGC** adjustment is its independence from the depth parameter (elimination of the function). Then the G_o is increased to just obtain little visible thermal noise of the system in an echo-free area on the screen, e.g. when the transducer is energized when coupled to the air. It is important that the G_o be decreased or a smaller reflector used, if the $A_{r,max}$ amplitude limitation occurs.

Some sonographs utilize an **ATGC** (automatic time gain compensation) function. It is important that this function be disabled for gain (sensitivity) dependence from depth and focal-points localization from MER-profile assessments.

D.5.3 Measuring tank

D.5.3.1 General

The measuring tank serves as a holder of the volume of homogenous non-reflecting medium – the working liquid – in which the point reflector is moving by the precise, computer-controlled electromechanical system. An appropriate **scanning window** allows effective acoustic connection between the working liquid inside the tank and the active part of the measured transducer. The size of the measuring tank should be appropriate to the size of largest scanned area expected.

D.5.3.2 Working liquid

It is important that the working liquid used in the tank be degassed to avoid collection of bubbles at the point reflector [45]. It is also important that the temperature be recorded for the measurements to be based on accurate values of speed of sound, which significantly affects reading geometry of the scan. However, measurements based on reading the echo intensity are not remarkably affected by working liquid temperature because temperature dependence of both the reflectivity and the water absorption are negligible in this case.

The accuracy of the distance calculations is determined by the accuracy of knowledge of ultrasound speed of propagation in the working liquid. The coefficient of speed of ultrasound in pure water as a function of temperature is approximately $4 \text{ ms}^{-1}\text{°C}^{-1}$ in the temperature range 10 °C to 30 °C . According to the distance calculation from the echo delay, a change of temperature of $\pm 1 \text{ °C}$ results in error of $\pm 0,15 \%$ of the measured distance value. A calculation giving the temperature error compensation is possible by use of an expression given by [46] or another by [47].

D.5.3.3 Reflections

Due to low ultrasound absorption of the working liquid and high reflectivity of the tank walls and relatively small size of the measuring tank, many multiple reflections are generated in the scanned area. These multiple reflections may be eliminated by a simple system of absorbers and reflector shielding because a pulsed signal is generated and only a small ROI surrounding and close to the reflector is analysed in the sonogram.

D.5.3.4 Scanning windows

The ultrasound signal is transferred between transducer and reflector via the **scanning window**. The window works as an acoustic coupler between the active surface of the transducer and the working liquid. The window is closed with flexible foil made of material with similar acoustic properties to the working liquid to assure effective transfer of the ultrasound signal and elimination of ultrasound-signal reflections and absorption. The transducer is attached to the foil with use of conventional coupling gel so that the whole active surface of the transducer is in acoustic contact with the working liquid. Proper shape of the window is needed to assure effective contact of different types of transducers. The measured transducer holder is part of the **scanning window**. Its design should ensure adjustment of the scanning plane to be parallel to the xz -plane of the target positioning system and stable transducer positions during measurement.

D.5.4 Target

D.5.4.1 General

The target system is composed of the sphere reflector, the reflector holder and the reflector positioning system.

D.5.4.2 Reflector


In contrast to C.4 and Figure C.4, the point reflector is not the flat end of a wire but a sphere made of steel or similar highly reflective material. The reflector is fixed to a positioning system with use of a holder made of tiny, hard wire. The sphere reflection is independent of the incident angle of irradiation.

The diameter D of the rigid metallic reflector is critical for the method used but should be kept within a range $\lambda < D < 4\lambda$ according to [42]. Use of a reflector of $D < \lambda$ is possible, but the reflected signal amplitude will not be strong enough for low-sensitivity systems. Therefore decrease of the target diameter, D , may be employed when too-sensitive sonographs limit high amplitude of signals, even if the **overall gain** G_o and output power are adjusted to minimum levels (see D.5.2.4).

If $D > 4\lambda$, values and variations of the $W_{F, HM}$ increase to an erroneous extent in both the lateral and the elevational directions.

The reflections from any inner structure of the sphere and multiple reflections in the sphere and/or sphere resonances [48] [49] are eliminated in the received-signal evaluation process. They do not affect the measurement results. The **PSF-mapping** method evaluates reflections of a transmitted ultrasound wave from a point-reflector surface and working-liquid boundary only (Figure D.2). It is important that the sphere reflector be made of a highly reflective

material, i.e. the difference of characteristic acoustic impedance of the working liquid and sphere-target material should be as high as possible.



The yellow rectangle specifies ROI. The green line corresponds with the signal displayed in b).

A linear transducer, nominal frequency 10 MHz, was used.

a) Scan of spherical target 0,6 mm diameter

In the top figure, RF signal amplitude is converted to pixel brightness, time domain to space domain. The ROI separated for analysis is marked.

In the bottom figure, the RF signal corresponds with the green line in a).

b) Process of demodulation (top) and the target-scan received-RF signal (bottom)

NOTE The late reflections are not included in the analysed data.

Figure D.2 – Principle of elimination of internal multi-reflections in the spherical target using filtration in time domain

D.5.4.3 Sphere holder

The holder fixes the sphere to the positioning system. It is important that the holder construction avoid any influence upon the reflected signal. It is also important that the connection be rigid enough to eliminate any shift of the target during its displacement in the water, due to hydraulic resistance. A possible alternative for such a holder is a tiny, stiff wire bent to rectangular form of the letter L with the sphere fixed on one end (the bottom – horizontally oriented) of the wire while the second (the top) end is mounted to the positioning system. It is important that the wire diameter be smaller than D , but the ratio is not critical. Reflections from the holder are positioned outside the ROI; therefore they do not affect the measurement results.

The holder construction should allow easy replacement (exchange) of the sphere reflector to allow use of sphere reflectors of various diameters, according to the transducer's nominal frequency and the fundamental wavelength of ultrasound in the working liquid ($\lambda < D < 4\lambda$).

D.5.4.4 Positioning system

The positioning system (micro-manipulator) is dedicated to placing the sphere within the scanned area in the working liquid. The required system **accuracy** and stiffness is determined by the measuring ultrasound frequency and transducer-scanning parameters.

D.5.5 Signal acquisition

It is important that live, full-resolution video be captured from the sonograph for processing the measurements.

Two basic types of signals are available from the sonograph output. The old and low-end types are equipped with analog composite VHS video output in PAL standard only. The newer and higher technological level systems do not use the obsolete analog video but use digital video DVI-D or HDMI outputs. Both types of video signal need special hardware (video-grabber) to be acquired by the computer for further analysis. Most higher end systems have direct digitally detected- and/or IQ-data output.

The following parameters of the acquired video signal are essential for recording and analysis:

- the ultrasound scanner frame rate;
- dynamic range and amplitude resolution (quantization-step size);
- spatial resolution of the sonograph, related to number of lines for analog video signals and/or size of **pixel** for digital video signals.

The digital resolution parameters are not too critical for the resolution of the measurement results, because the analysing system may interpolate between adjacent, discrete values.

The **pixel** value corresponds to echo amplitude received. The amplitude dynamic range of the analysed input signal is derived from the video monitor's grey-level range, which is 256 grey levels at maximum. Therefore, 8-bit (256 grey levels) digitalization is a minimum to fulfil the needs of inputted analog-signal conversion.


D.5.6 Signal analysis

The system grabs a continuously recorded video signal from the sonograph. Prior to running the acquisition, a proper region of interest (ROI) is chosen in the sonogram. The software controls ROI position according to the target placement in the scanning plane to keep the target inside the ROI. Only those ROI frames are used for further analysis, which coincide with the target position in the pre-programmed measuring grid at points (x,z) within the measured volume of the scan. Each of these ROIs is analysed to find the **pixel** containing $a_{r,max}(x,z)$ the highest value of MER when the target moves in an elevation (y) direction. Not whole ROIs but only their highest **pixel** value $a_{r,max}(x,y,z)$ is stored for each y , including the corresponding target position (x,y,z) for each elevation path. Only one ROI containing the highest of the $a_{r,max}(x,y,z)$ from each elevation set (x,z) is stored as a picture $I(x,y,z)$. The stored $I(x,y,z)$ is a picture of the target on the central (axis) of the ultrasound beam and therefore may be analysed to find the **PSF** in both the azimuth and the axial directions. See Figure D.3 and Figure D.4.

The input video-signal level and the transfer characteristic of the video-grabber may affect the measurement results when the input data are obtained from a composite video signal. Thus, it is important that the video-grabber amplitude-transfer characteristic be well adjusted to assure use of the whole range of the AD-converter to prevent artificial limitation of the high level signal.

The digital video-grabber transfer function does not affect the measurement results when digital video-signal input is used.

An example of the **PSF** derived from the data recorded in one point of the **measuring-grid** is presented in Figure D.3.



NOTE The white line is the line of **PSF**-acquisition from the digital picture of the target at position (x,y,z) . The line passes through the pixel containing the maximum of the signal $a_{r,max}(x,y,z)$.

Figure D.3 – A pixel maximum level and PSF-trace estimation in ROI stored digital data

D.6 Results – measured parameters

D.6.1 Spatial resolutions in PSF-mapping

D.6.1.1 General

The **PSF**-mapping method demands modification of the spatial-resolution evaluation method defined earlier (See 3.46, 3.50 and 3.53). The assessment of target displacement complicates the mapping process; it has been changed to simply deriving the spatial resolution value in **PSF**-mapping from a single measurement in the **measuring-grid** point. The values of mapped parameters may differ slightly from the ones defined earlier (3.46, 3.50 and 3.53), but they are measured with higher **accuracy** and repeatability when using the mapping system [44].

The spatial resolution is defined as a distance. The lateral and axial resolutions are enumerated from FWHM- and/or HWHM-parameters, respectively. These parameters are derived from the **PSF** in number of **pixels** and recalculation using the **pixel** size in a scanned area is needed. The **elevational resolution** is derived directly from positions of the reflector generating half-maximum reflected signal during vertical displacement of the reflector.

The lateral- and axial-resolution conversion to units of distance should be performed by evaluating software using the following relations:

$$R_{L,PSF} = W_{F,HM,n} \times p_x \quad (D.1)$$

$$R_{A,PSF} = 2 \times W_{H,HM,n} \times p_z \quad (D.2)$$

where

$W_{F,HM,n}$ and $W_{H,HM,n}$ are normalized values according to Formula (D.3) in D.6.1.2;

$R_{L,PSF}$ is **lateral resolution in a PSF map**;

$R_{A,PSF}$ is **axial resolution in a PSF map**;

p_x is lateral size of one **pixel** in scanned area;

p_z is axial size of one **pixel** in the scanned area.

D.6.1.2 Lateral resolution in PSF-mapping – $R_{L,PSF}$

Resolution in the lateral direction can be approximated from the FWHM of the **PSF** as the $W_{F,HM}(x,z)$ which is a distance between **pixel** numbers where there is a dip of –6 dB in **pixel** values relative to the maximum value. Because the $W_{F,HM}$ value depends upon the value of the maximum amplitude of the **PSF**-profile, normalization according to the maximum value is necessary. A linear extrapolation is used by application of the formula

$$W_{F,HM,n}(x,z) = W_{F,HM}(x,z) \times \frac{M}{a_{r,max}(x,z) + 1} \quad (D.3)$$

where


$W_{F,HM,n}(x,z)$ is the normalized value of FWHM at point (x,z) of the **measuring grid**;

$W_{F,HM}(x,z)$ is the measured value of FWHM at point (x,z) of the **measuring grid**;

x,z are coordinates of a point in the **measuring grid**;

$a_{r,max}(x,z)$ is the MER **pixel** value measured for the reflector at the point (x,z) ;

M is number of quantization levels defined by $M = 2^m$ where m is number of **pixel** bits.



IEC


Figure D.4 – The derivation of FWHM-value from the lateral-amplitude profile of PSF received-echo signal ($a_{r,max}(x_i, z_k) = 221$) with reflector positioned on axis of the ultrasound beam in point (x_i, z_k) of the measuring grid

Resolution in elevational directions can be approximated as the FWHM of a function MER versus point-reflector vertical position at the measured points, where there is a dip of -6 dB below the maximum value of MER; see Figure D.5 and Figure D.11. Because the $W_{F,HM}$ depends upon the value of MER, normalization according to the maximum value is necessary. The normalization procedure applies (see Formula (D.3)).



The $R_{E,PSF}$ distribution over the scan plane specifies its thickness according to depth of scan and therefore elevational focus and focal-area position. A position of $R_{E,PSF}$ minimum localizes the elevational focal area.

Because the echo generated at the front surface of a ball reflector is evaluated for axial direction only (Figure D.6), the **PSF**-mapping process needs a different method to access axial resolution as compared to the $R_{L,PSF}$ and the $R_{E,PSF}$. The Half-Width-at-Half-Maximum (HWHM) is used instead of the FWHM; see Figure D.7. The FWHM may be simply derived as two times HWHM to obtain the $R_{A,PSF}$ (see Formula (D.2)).



The pixel containing MER is crossed by the green line in the axial direction. The line determines a set of pixels to be evaluated.

a) The ROI containing the target sonogram

b) The axial profile line of the evaluated pixels, and basic statistical data

Figure D.6 – The derivation of the reflected-signal axial-profile from the ROI





Figure D.7 – The enumeration of the $W_{H, HM}$ parameter from the axial-profile line: $a_{r, \max}(x, z) = 243$

Because the $W_{H, HM}$ value depends upon the value of the maximum of MER, normalization according to the maximum value is necessary. The normalization procedure applies (see Formula (D.3)).

D.6.2 Focal areas in both the azimuth and the elevation directions


The focal-area position is characterized by local extremes of spatial resolution and sensitivity level. Therefore the focus position is derivable from both the FWHM of **PSF** and the echo-amplitude distribution over the corresponding direction. The focal area has lowest value of the $W_{F, HM}$ (the best spatial resolution) and highest value of the received echo amplitude. In the case of dynamic focusing, not only the position but the number of foci is available from the characteristic. See Figure D.8 and Figure D.9.



IEC

NOTE The focal point F1 (local minimum of $W_{F, HM}$) is at depth approximately 25 mm in the measured area.

Figure D.8 – The distribution of FWHM over a scan area of width 20 mm to depth 80 mm, made with a monofocal scan using a linear 5 MHz transducer



IEC

NOTE The focal-point positions (local minima of the $W_{F, HM}$ values) are observable at depths approximately 10 mm, 27 mm and 42 mm in the measured area.

Figure D.9 – The distribution of FWHM over a scan area of width 20 mm to depth 80 mm, made with the same system as for Figure D.7 but using three focal points (F1, F2 and F3) for the scan


D.6.3 Amplification uniformity in both the axial and the azimuthal directions

The 2D-sonogram is composed of individual **scan lines** that fill the scanning plane in the azimuthal direction. (The 3D-sonogram is created from separate 2D-scan planes.) The MER amplitude varies in the scan plane due to **TGC**, density of the **scan lines**, localization and number of foci, non-uniformity of channel amplifiers and different sensitivity of transducer elements. Some of these effects are desirable, e.g. **TGC**, focusing; some spoil the picture, e.g. defective amplifiers or elements.

All the information can be obtained by analysing the distribution of MER over the scanned area. See Figure D.10.

D.6.4 Preloaded TGC-function

When the **TGC** manual control is adjusted to be depth independent, the manufacturer's preloaded **TGC**-function may be derived from the MER-level distribution along the axial profile. See Figure D.10. The preloaded **TGC**-curve is obviously pre-programmed in the sonograph software and is transducer type-dependent. An aim of this function is to reduce sensitivity for the image area close to the transducer or according to the individual element position in the array of elements in the transducer (e.g. phased array transducers). The echo-amplitude distribution over the scan area contains information about the gain distribution, because the scanned volume in the measuring bath is filled in by degassed working liquid with negligible ultrasound field absorption.



NOTE A linear transducer with nominal frequency 10 MHz, scan width 38 mm, using one focus active in 20 mm depth of the measured area was used.

**Figure D.10 – Plot of the distribution of MER-intensity over the scanning area
30 mm wide and 40 mm deep**

D.6.5 Visualization of ultrasound scanning lines

When the elevation step of the ball-target placement is small enough, a fluctuation of MER-amplitude is observable along the scanning lines in the elevation direction. The maximum intensity is received when the reflector stays on the **ultrasound beam** axis. The same effect is observable on the plot of the FWHM-distribution, only the reflector position on the beam


axis generates drop-down of the parameter value. This method may be used to visualize separate **scan lines**, their density and changes of profile. See Figure D.10.

D.6.6 Elevation width of a scanning plane

This is assessable from the elevational profile of MER-amplitude for the spherical target passing perpendicularly to the scanning plane in each point of the **measuring grid**. The data generates the FWHM of **PSF**-distribution in the azimuthal direction over the scan area.

D.6.7 Side-lobes level in elevational plane

The MER-profile recorded in the elevational direction can display level and beam direction of **side lobes**. See Figure D.11. The side-lobes level is an important parameter of sonograph-imaging quality. Side-lobes generate false reflections creating ghost structures in the sonogram.



NOTE The abscissa scale is MER $a_{r,max}(x_i, y, z_k)$ enumerated as a ratio relative to value 256 (M for 8-bit pixel); the ordinate scale is elevational displacement y of the reflector in millimetres.

Figure D.11 – The elevational profile recorded from $a_{r,max}(x_i, y, z_k)$ values for a spherical target passing perpendicularly to the scanning plane in one point (x_i, z_k) of the measuring grid

In Figure D.11 the main peak value corresponds with target position in the centre of the beam. The two minor peaks are generated by side-lobes in the azimuthal plane. The relative value of MER is on the abscissa; the ordinate gives the target displacement in millimetres.

D.6.8 Accuracy of sonogram geometry and caliper measurement

The system moves the reflector to specified positions with defined **accuracy**. The reflecting point gives point reflections in the sonogram at geometric positions specified with **accuracy** defined by **accuracy** of mechanical positioning system, speed of sound in the water, the