

# TECHNICAL REPORT



Communication networks and systems for power utility automation –  
Part 90-4: Network engineering guidelines



## THIS PUBLICATION IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED

Copyright © 2013 IEC, Geneva, Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either IEC or IEC's member National Committee in the country of the requester.

If you have any questions about IEC copyright or have an enquiry about obtaining additional rights to this publication, please contact the address below or your local IEC member National Committee for further information.

IEC Central Office  
3, rue de Varembe  
CH-1211 Geneva 20  
Switzerland

Tel.: +41 22 919 02 11  
Fax: +41 22 919 03 00  
[info@iec.ch](mailto:info@iec.ch)  
[www.iec.ch](http://www.iec.ch)

### About the IEC

The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is the leading global organization that prepares and publishes International Standards for all electrical, electronic and related technologies.

### About IEC publications

The technical content of IEC publications is kept under constant review by the IEC. Please make sure that you have the latest edition, a corrigenda or an amendment might have been published.

#### Useful links:

IEC publications search - [www.iec.ch/searchpub](http://www.iec.ch/searchpub)

The advanced search enables you to find IEC publications by a variety of criteria (reference number, text, technical committee,...).

It also gives information on projects, replaced and withdrawn publications.

IEC Just Published - [webstore.iec.ch/justpublished](http://webstore.iec.ch/justpublished)

Stay up to date on all new IEC publications. Just Published details all new publications released. Available on-line and also once a month by email.

Electropedia - [www.electropedia.org](http://www.electropedia.org)

The world's leading online dictionary of electronic and electrical terms containing more than 30 000 terms and definitions in English and French, with equivalent terms in additional languages. Also known as the International Electrotechnical Vocabulary (IEV) on-line.

Customer Service Centre - [webstore.iec.ch/csc](http://webstore.iec.ch/csc)

If you wish to give us your feedback on this publication or need further assistance, please contact the Customer Service Centre: [csc@iec.ch](mailto:csc@iec.ch).

# TECHNICAL REPORT



**Communication networks and systems for power utility automation –  
Part 90-4: Network engineering guidelines**

INTERNATIONAL  
ELECTROTECHNICAL  
COMMISSION

ICS 33.200

ISBN 978-2-8322-0903-5

**Warning! Make sure that you obtained this publication from an authorized distributor.**

## CONTENTS

|                                                           |    |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|----|
| FOREWORD.....                                             | 12 |
| INTRODUCTION.....                                         | 14 |
| 1 Scope.....                                              | 15 |
| 2 Normative references .....                              | 16 |
| 3 Terms, definitions, abbreviations and conventions ..... | 19 |
| 3.1 Terms and definitions .....                           | 19 |
| 3.2 Abbreviations .....                                   | 22 |
| 3.3 Conventions .....                                     | 25 |
| 3.3.1 Network diagram symbols.....                        | 25 |
| 3.3.2 Port and link symbols .....                         | 26 |
| 3.3.3 Bridges symbols .....                               | 26 |
| 4 Overview of IEC 61850 networks .....                    | 27 |
| 4.1 Logical allocation of functions and interfaces.....   | 27 |
| 4.2 IEC 61850 protocol stack .....                        | 29 |
| 4.2.1 General .....                                       | 29 |
| 4.2.2 IEC 61850 traffic classes .....                     | 29 |
| 4.2.3 MMS protocol .....                                  | 30 |
| 4.2.4 GOOSE protocol.....                                 | 30 |
| 4.2.5 SV protocol.....                                    | 32 |
| 4.3 Station bus and process bus .....                     | 32 |
| 5 Network design checklist.....                           | 34 |
| 5.1 Design principles.....                                | 34 |
| 5.2 Engineering flow.....                                 | 34 |
| 5.3 Checklist to be observed .....                        | 35 |
| 5.3.1 Summary.....                                        | 35 |
| 5.3.2 Environmental issues .....                          | 36 |
| 5.3.3 EMI immunity.....                                   | 36 |
| 5.3.4 Form factor.....                                    | 36 |
| 5.3.5 Physical media .....                                | 36 |
| 5.3.6 Substation application and network topology .....   | 36 |
| 5.3.7 Redundancy .....                                    | 37 |
| 5.3.8 Reliability, availability, maintainability.....     | 37 |
| 5.3.9 Logical data flows and traffic patterns.....        | 37 |
| 5.3.10 Latency for different types of traffic .....       | 37 |
| 5.3.11 Performance.....                                   | 37 |
| 5.3.12 Network management.....                            | 38 |
| 5.3.13 Network supervision .....                          | 38 |
| 5.3.14 Time synchronization and accuracy .....            | 38 |
| 5.3.15 Remote connectivity .....                          | 38 |
| 5.3.16 Cyber security .....                               | 38 |
| 5.3.17 Scalability, upgradeability and future-proof ..... | 39 |
| 5.3.18 Testing .....                                      | 39 |
| 5.3.19 Cost .....                                         | 39 |
| 6 Ethernet technology for substations.....                | 39 |
| 6.1 Ethernet subset for substation automation.....        | 39 |
| 6.2 Topology .....                                        | 39 |

|        |                                                       |     |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 6.3    | Physical layer .....                                  | 41  |
| 6.3.1  | Data rate and medium .....                            | 41  |
| 6.3.2  | Full-duplex communication and auto-negotiation .....  | 41  |
| 6.3.3  | Copper cabling at 100 Mbit/s .....                    | 41  |
| 6.3.4  | Optical cabling at 100 Mbit/s (100BASE-FX) .....      | 42  |
| 6.3.5  | Optical cabling at 1 Gbit/s (1000BASE-LX) .....       | 44  |
| 6.3.6  | Copper cabling at 1 Gbit/s .....                      | 44  |
| 6.4    | Link layer .....                                      | 44  |
| 6.4.1  | Unicast and multicast MAC addresses .....             | 44  |
| 6.4.2  | Link layer and bridges .....                          | 45  |
| 6.4.3  | Bridging nodes .....                                  | 45  |
| 6.4.4  | Loop prevention and RSTP .....                        | 45  |
| 6.4.5  | Traffic control in the bridges .....                  | 47  |
| 6.4.6  | Unicast MAC address filtering .....                   | 47  |
| 6.4.7  | Multicast MAC address filtering .....                 | 47  |
| 6.4.8  | Virtual LANs (VLANs) traffic control .....            | 48  |
| 6.4.9  | Comparison VLAN versus multicast filtering .....      | 53  |
| 6.4.10 | Layer 2 redundancy protocols .....                    | 53  |
| 6.5    | Network layer .....                                   | 57  |
| 6.5.1  | Internet protocol .....                               | 57  |
| 6.5.2  | IP public and private addresses .....                 | 57  |
| 6.5.3  | Subnet masks .....                                    | 58  |
| 6.5.4  | Network address translation .....                     | 59  |
| 7      | Network and substation topologies .....               | 59  |
| 7.1    | General rule .....                                    | 59  |
| 7.2    | Reference topologies and network redundancy .....     | 60  |
| 7.3    | Reference topologies .....                            | 64  |
| 7.3.1  | Station bus topologies .....                          | 64  |
| 7.3.2  | Process bus and attachment of primary equipment ..... | 77  |
| 7.3.3  | Station bus and process bus connection .....          | 92  |
| 8      | Addressing in the substation .....                    | 98  |
| 8.1    | Network IP address plan for substations .....         | 98  |
| 8.1.1  | General structure .....                               | 98  |
| 8.1.2  | IP address allocation of NET .....                    | 99  |
| 8.1.3  | IP address allocation of BAY .....                    | 100 |
| 8.1.4  | IP address allocation of device .....                 | 100 |
| 8.1.5  | IP address allocation of devices with PRP .....       | 101 |
| 8.2    | Routers and GOOSE / SV traffic .....                  | 101 |
| 8.3    | Communication outside the substation .....            | 101 |
| 9      | Application parameters .....                          | 102 |
| 9.1    | MMS parameters .....                                  | 102 |
| 9.2    | GOOSE parameters .....                                | 102 |
| 9.3    | SV parameters .....                                   | 102 |
| 10     | Performance .....                                     | 103 |
| 10.1   | Station bus performance .....                         | 103 |
| 10.1.1 | Logical data flows and traffic patterns .....         | 103 |
| 10.1.2 | GOOSE traffic estimation .....                        | 104 |
| 10.1.3 | MMS traffic estimation .....                          | 104 |

|        |                                                                           |     |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 10.1.4 | station bus measurements .....                                            | 105 |
| 10.2   | Process bus performance .....                                             | 106 |
| 11     | Latency .....                                                             | 106 |
| 11.1   | Application requirements .....                                            | 106 |
| 11.2   | Latency requirements for different types of traffic .....                 | 107 |
| 11.2.1 | Latency requirements in IEC 61850-5 .....                                 | 107 |
| 11.2.2 | Latencies of physical paths .....                                         | 107 |
| 11.2.3 | Latencies of bridges .....                                                | 107 |
| 11.2.4 | Latency and hop counts .....                                              | 108 |
| 11.2.5 | Network latency budget .....                                              | 108 |
| 11.2.6 | Example of traffic delays .....                                           | 109 |
| 11.2.7 | Engineering a network for IEC 61850 protection .....                      | 109 |
| 12     | Network traffic control .....                                             | 110 |
| 12.1   | Factors that affect performance .....                                     | 110 |
| 12.1.1 | Influencing factors .....                                                 | 110 |
| 12.1.2 | Traffic reduction .....                                                   | 110 |
| 12.1.3 | Example of traffic reduction scheme .....                                 | 111 |
| 12.1.4 | Multicast domains in a combined station bus and process bus network ..... | 112 |
| 12.2   | Traffic control by VLANs .....                                            | 113 |
| 12.2.1 | Trunk traffic reduction by VLANs .....                                    | 113 |
| 12.2.2 | VLAN usage .....                                                          | 114 |
| 12.2.3 | VLAN handling at the IEDs .....                                           | 114 |
| 12.2.4 | Example of correct VLAN configuration .....                               | 114 |
| 12.2.5 | Example of incorrect VLAN configuration .....                             | 115 |
| 12.2.6 | Retaining priority throughout the network .....                           | 117 |
| 12.2.7 | Traffic filtering with VLANs .....                                        | 117 |
| 12.3   | Traffic control by multicast filtering .....                              | 118 |
| 12.3.1 | Trunk traffic reduction by multicast filtering .....                      | 118 |
| 12.3.2 | Multicast/VLAN management and redundancy protocol reconfiguration .....   | 119 |
| 12.3.3 | Physical topologies and multicast management implications .....           | 119 |
| 12.4   | Configuration support from tools and SCD files .....                      | 122 |
| 13     | Dependability .....                                                       | 122 |
| 13.1   | Resiliency requirements .....                                             | 122 |
| 13.2   | Availability and reliability requirements .....                           | 123 |
| 13.3   | Recovery time requirements .....                                          | 123 |
| 13.4   | Maintainability requirements .....                                        | 123 |
| 13.5   | Dependability calculations .....                                          | 124 |
| 13.6   | Risk analysis attached to "unwanted events" .....                         | 124 |
| 14     | Time services .....                                                       | 125 |
| 14.1   | Clock synchronization and accuracy requirements .....                     | 125 |
| 14.2   | Global time sources .....                                                 | 125 |
| 14.3   | Time scales and leap seconds .....                                        | 126 |
| 14.4   | Epoch .....                                                               | 127 |
| 14.5   | Time scales in IEC 61850 .....                                            | 127 |
| 14.6   | Synchronization mechanisms in IEC 61850 .....                             | 128 |
| 14.6.1 | Clock synchronization protocols .....                                     | 128 |
| 14.6.2 | 1 PPS .....                                                               | 130 |

|         |                                                                      |     |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 14.6.3  | IRIG-B .....                                                         | 130 |
| 14.6.4  | NTP/SNTP clock synchronization for IEC 61850-8-1 (station bus) ..... | 130 |
| 14.6.5  | PTP (IEC 61588) synchronization .....                                | 132 |
| 14.6.6  | PTP clock synchronization and IEC 62439-3:2012 .....                 | 137 |
| 14.6.7  | IEEE C37.238-2011 Power profile .....                                | 140 |
| 14.7    | PTP network engineering .....                                        | 141 |
| 14.7.1  | PTP reference clock location .....                                   | 141 |
| 14.7.2  | PTP connection of station bus and process bus .....                  | 142 |
| 14.7.3  | Merging units synchronization .....                                  | 143 |
| 15      | Network security .....                                               | 143 |
| 16      | Network management .....                                             | 143 |
| 16.1    | Protocols for network management .....                               | 143 |
| 16.2    | Network management tool .....                                        | 144 |
| 16.3    | Network diagnostic tool .....                                        | 144 |
| 17      | Remote connectivity .....                                            | 145 |
| 18      | Network testing .....                                                | 145 |
| 18.1    | Introduction to testing .....                                        | 145 |
| 18.2    | Environmental type testing .....                                     | 146 |
| 18.3    | Conformance testing .....                                            | 146 |
| 18.3.1  | Protocols subject to conformance testing .....                       | 146 |
| 18.3.2  | Integrator acceptance and verification testing .....                 | 147 |
| 18.3.3  | Simple verification test set-up .....                                | 147 |
| 18.3.4  | Simple VLAN handling test .....                                      | 148 |
| 18.3.5  | Simple priority tagging test .....                                   | 148 |
| 18.3.6  | Simple multicast handling test .....                                 | 149 |
| 18.3.7  | Simple RSTP recovery test .....                                      | 149 |
| 18.3.8  | Simple HSR test .....                                                | 150 |
| 18.3.9  | Simple PRP test .....                                                | 150 |
| 18.3.10 | Simple PTP test .....                                                | 150 |
| 18.4    | Factory and site acceptance testing .....                            | 150 |
| 19      | IEC 61850 bridge and port object model .....                         | 151 |
| 19.1    | Purpose .....                                                        | 151 |
| 19.2    | Bridge model .....                                                   | 152 |
| 19.2.1  | Simple model .....                                                   | 152 |
| 19.2.2  | Bridge Logical Node linking .....                                    | 154 |
| 19.3    | Clock model .....                                                    | 154 |
| 19.3.1  | IEC 61588 datasets .....                                             | 154 |
| 19.3.2  | Clock objects .....                                                  | 155 |
| 19.3.3  | Simple clock model .....                                             | 155 |
| 19.3.4  | Linking of clock objects .....                                       | 156 |
| 19.4    | Autogenerated IEC 61850 objects .....                                | 157 |
| 19.4.1  | General .....                                                        | 157 |
| 19.4.2  | Abbreviated terms used in data object names .....                    | 157 |
| 19.4.3  | Logical nodes .....                                                  | 158 |
| 19.4.4  | Data semantics .....                                                 | 171 |
| 19.4.5  | Enumerated data attribute types .....                                | 174 |
| 19.4.6  | SCL enumerations .....                                               | 176 |
| 19.4.7  | Common data class specifications .....                               | 176 |

|                                                                                                 |     |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 19.4.8 Enumerated types .....                                                                   | 182 |
| 19.4.9 SCL enumerations .....                                                                   | 183 |
| 19.5 Mapping of bridge objects to SNMP .....                                                    | 183 |
| 19.5.1 Mapping of LLN0 and LPHD attributes to SNMP .....                                        | 183 |
| 19.5.2 Mapping of LBRI attributes to SNMP for bridges .....                                     | 184 |
| 19.5.3 Mapping of LPCP attributes to SNMP for bridges .....                                     | 184 |
| 19.5.4 Mapping of LPLD attributes to SNMP for bridges .....                                     | 184 |
| 19.5.5 Mapping of HSR/PRP link redundancy entity to SNMP .....                                  | 185 |
| 19.6 Mapping of clock objects to the C37.238 SNMP MIB .....                                     | 186 |
| 19.7 Machine-readable description of the bridge objects .....                                   | 189 |
| 19.7.1 Method and examples .....                                                                | 189 |
| 19.7.2 Four-port bridge .....                                                                   | 189 |
| 19.7.3 Simple IED with PTP .....                                                                | 199 |
| 19.7.4 RedBox wit HSR .....                                                                     | 206 |
| Annex A (informative) Case study – Process bus configuration for busbar protection system ..... | 214 |
| Annex B (informative) Case study – Simple Topologies (Transener/Transba, Argentina) .....       | 218 |
| Annex C (informative) Case study – An IEC 61850 station bus (Powerlink, Australia) .....        | 226 |
| Annex D (informative) Case study – Station bus with VLANs (Trans-Africa, South Africa) .....    | 242 |
| Bibliography .....                                                                              | 263 |
| Figure 1 – Network symbols .....                                                                | 26  |
| Figure 2 – Port symbols .....                                                                   | 26  |
| Figure 3 – Bridge symbol as beam .....                                                          | 27  |
| Figure 4 – Bridge symbol as bus .....                                                           | 27  |
| Figure 5 – Levels and logical interfaces in substation automation systems .....                 | 28  |
| Figure 6 – IEC 61850 protocol stack .....                                                       | 29  |
| Figure 7 – MMS protocol time/distance chart .....                                               | 30  |
| Figure 8 – GOOSE protocol time/distance chart .....                                             | 31  |
| Figure 9 – GOOSE protocol time chart .....                                                      | 32  |
| Figure 10 – Example of SV traffic (4 800 Hz) .....                                              | 32  |
| Figure 11 – Station bus, process bus and traffic example .....                                  | 33  |
| Figure 12 – Example of engineering flow .....                                                   | 35  |
| Figure 13 – Ethernet local area network (with redundant links) .....                            | 40  |
| Figure 14 – Switch with copper (RJ45) ports) .....                                              | 40  |
| Figure 15 – RJ45 connector .....                                                                | 42  |
| Figure 16 – LC connector .....                                                                  | 43  |
| Figure 17 – Switch with optical fibres (LC connectors) .....                                    | 44  |
| Figure 18 – RSTP principle .....                                                                | 46  |
| Figure 19 – IEEE 802.3 frame format without and with VLAN tagging .....                         | 49  |
| Figure 20 – PRP principle .....                                                                 | 54  |
| Figure 21 – HSR principle .....                                                                 | 56  |
| Figure 22 – HSR and PRP coupling (multicast) .....                                              | 57  |
| Figure 23 – Mapping of electrical grid to data network topology .....                           | 60  |

|                                                                                       |     |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Figure 24 – Station bus as single bridge .....                                        | 64  |
| Figure 25 – Station bus as hierarchical star .....                                    | 65  |
| Figure 26 – Station bus as dual star with PRP .....                                   | 66  |
| Figure 27 – Station bus as ring of RSTP bridges .....                                 | 67  |
| Figure 28 – Station bus as separated Main 1 (Bus 1) and Main 2 (Bus 2) LANs .....     | 68  |
| Figure 29 – Station bus as ring of HSR bridging nodes .....                           | 70  |
| Figure 30 – Station bus as ring and subrings with RSTP .....                          | 71  |
| Figure 31 – Station bus as parallel rings with bridging nodes .....                   | 72  |
| Figure 32 – Station bus as parallel HSR rings .....                                   | 73  |
| Figure 33 – Station bus as hierarchical rings with RSTP bridging nodes .....          | 74  |
| Figure 34 – Station bus as hierarchical rings with HSR bridging nodes .....           | 76  |
| Figure 35 – Station bus as ring and subrings with HSR .....                           | 77  |
| Figure 36 – Double busbar bay with directly attached sensors .....                    | 78  |
| Figure 37 – Double busbar bay with SAMUs and process bus .....                        | 79  |
| Figure 38 – Double busbar bay with ECT/EVTs and process bus .....                     | 80  |
| Figure 39 – 1 ½ CB diameter with conventional, non-redundant attachment .....         | 81  |
| Figure 40 – 1 ½ CB diameter with SAMUs and process bus .....                          | 82  |
| Figure 41 – 1 ½ CB diameter with ECT/EVT and process bus .....                        | 83  |
| Figure 42 – Process bus as connection of PIA and PIB (non-redundant protection) ..... | 84  |
| Figure 43 – Process bus as single star (not redundant protection) .....               | 85  |
| Figure 44 – Process bus as dual star .....                                            | 87  |
| Figure 45 – Process bus as a single bridge (no protection redundancy) .....           | 88  |
| Figure 46 – Process bus as separated LANs for main 1 and main 2 .....                 | 90  |
| Figure 47 – Process bus as ring of HSR nodes .....                                    | 91  |
| Figure 48 – Process bus as star to merging units and station bus as RSTP ring .....   | 93  |
| Figure 49 – Station bus and process bus as rings connected by a router .....          | 95  |
| Figure 50 – Station bus ring and process bus ring with HSR .....                      | 96  |
| Figure 51 – Station bus as dual PRP ring and process bus as HSR ring .....            | 98  |
| Figure 52 – Station bus used for the measurements .....                               | 105 |
| Figure 53 – Typical traffic (packet/s) on the station bus .....                       | 105 |
| Figure 54 – Generic multicast domains .....                                           | 110 |
| Figure 55 – Traffic patterns .....                                                    | 112 |
| Figure 56 – Multicast domains for a combined process bus and station bus .....        | 113 |
| Figure 57 – Bridges with correct VLAN configuration .....                             | 115 |
| Figure 58 – Bridges with poor VLAN configuration .....                                | 116 |
| Figure 59 – Bridges with traffic segmentation through VLAN configuration .....        | 118 |
| Figure 60 – Station bus separated into multicast domains by voltage level .....       | 119 |
| Figure 61 – Multicast traffic on an RSTP ring .....                                   | 120 |
| Figure 62 – RSTP station bus and HSR ring .....                                       | 121 |
| Figure 63 – RSTP station bus and HSR process bus .....                                | 121 |
| Figure 64 – Clock synchronization channels .....                                      | 129 |
| Figure 65 – 1 PPS synchronisation .....                                               | 130 |
| Figure 66 – SNTP clock synchronization and delay measurement .....                    | 131 |

|                                                                                  |     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Figure 67 – PTP elements .....                                                   | 133 |
| Figure 68 – PTP one-step clock synchronization and delay measurement.....        | 134 |
| Figure 69 – PTP two-step clock synchronization and delay measurement .....       | 136 |
| Figure 70 – Clocks in a PRP network coupled by BCs with an HSR ring.....         | 139 |
| Figure 71 – C37.238-specific TLV .....                                           | 141 |
| Figure 72 – Hierarchy of clocks.....                                             | 142 |
| Figure 73 – Quality assurance stages (copied from IEC 61850-4) .....             | 145 |
| Figure 74 – Test set-up for verification test.....                               | 147 |
| Figure 75 – Multiport device model .....                                         | 153 |
| Figure 76 – Linking of bridge objects .....                                      | 154 |
| Figure 77 – Clock model .....                                                    | 156 |
| Figure 78 – Linking of clock objects .....                                       | 157 |
| Figure 79 – Class diagram LogicalNodes_90_4::LogicalNodes_90_4.....              | 158 |
| Figure 80 – Class diagram LNGroupL::LNGroupLExt .....                            | 159 |
| Figure 81 – Class diagram LNGroupL::LNGroupLNew .....                            | 160 |
| Figure 82 – Usage of VLAN filtering .....                                        | 163 |
| Figure 83 – Usage of clock references .....                                      | 169 |
| Figure 84 – Class diagram DetailedDiagram::DOEnums_90_4.....                     | 175 |
| Figure 85 – Class diagram CommonDataClasses_90_4::CommonDataClasses_90_4 .....   | 176 |
| Figure 86 – Class diagram CDCStatusInfo::CDCStatusInfo.....                      | 177 |
| Figure 87 – Class diagram CDCStatusSet::CDCStatusSet .....                       | 180 |
| Figure 88 – Four-port bridge .....                                               | 189 |
| Figure 89 – Simple IED with PTP but no LLDP support .....                        | 199 |
| Figure 90 – RedBox with LLDP but no PTP.....                                     | 207 |
| Figure A.1 – Preconditions for the process bus configuration example .....       | 215 |
| Figure B.1 – First Ethernet-based Transba substation automation network .....    | 218 |
| Figure B.2 – Transba SAS architecture .....                                      | 219 |
| Figure B.3 – Transener substation automation network.....                        | 220 |
| Figure B.4 – Transener SAS architecture – ET Esperanza .....                     | 222 |
| Figure B.5 – Transener 500 kV architecture – El Morejón .....                    | 223 |
| Figure B.6 – 500 kV kiosk topology.....                                          | 224 |
| Figure B.7 – 33 kV kiosk topology.....                                           | 225 |
| Figure C.1 – Example HV and LV single line diagram and IEDs .....                | 226 |
| Figure C.2 – HV bay and cabinet module .....                                     | 228 |
| Figure C.3 – Data network areas .....                                            | 232 |
| Figure C.4 – Substation LAN topology .....                                       | 234 |
| Figure C.5 – SAS Gen1 High level traffic flows .....                             | 235 |
| Figure C.6 – SCADA & gateway connection .....                                    | 236 |
| Figure C.7 – Station Core .....                                                  | 236 |
| Figure C.8 – Overall VLANs .....                                                 | 238 |
| Figure C.9 – Three domains.....                                                  | 238 |
| Figure C.10 – One domain per diameter, bus zone and transformer protection ..... | 239 |
| Figure D.1 – Conceptual topology of substation LAN network with redundancy ..... | 245 |

|                                                                                  |     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Figure D.2 – Detailed topology of substation LAN with redundancy .....           | 246 |
| Figure D.3 – Original IPv4 Type of Service (ToS) octet .....                     | 249 |
| Figure D.4 – Differentiated Services (DiffServ) codepoint field .....            | 249 |
| Table 1 – IEC 61850-5 interface definitions .....                                | 28  |
| Table 2 – Example of port ingress setting table .....                            | 51  |
| Table 3 – Example of port egress settings .....                                  | 52  |
| Table 4 – Advantages and drawbacks of VLAN versus multicast filtering .....      | 53  |
| Table 5 – IANA private IP address blocks (copied from RFC 1918) .....            | 58  |
| Table 6 – IP address and mask example .....                                      | 58  |
| Table 7 – Summary of reference topologies .....                                  | 62  |
| Table 8 – Reference topologies and redundancy protocols used .....               | 63  |
| Table 9 – Station bus as single bridge .....                                     | 64  |
| Table 10 – Station bus as hierarchical star .....                                | 65  |
| Table 11 – Station bus as dual star .....                                        | 66  |
| Table 12 – Station bus as ring .....                                             | 67  |
| Table 13 – Station bus as separated Main 1 and Main 2 protection .....           | 69  |
| Table 14 – Station bus as ring of bridging nodes .....                           | 70  |
| Table 15 – Station bus as ring and subrings .....                                | 71  |
| Table 16 – Station bus as parallel rings .....                                   | 73  |
| Table 17 – Station bus as parallel HSR rings .....                               | 74  |
| Table 18 – Station bus as ring of rings with RSTP .....                          | 75  |
| Table 19 – Station bus as ring of rings with HSR .....                           | 76  |
| Table 20 – Station bus as ring and subrings with HSR .....                       | 77  |
| Table 21 – Process bus as connection of PIA and PIB .....                        | 84  |
| Table 22 – Process bus as single star .....                                      | 86  |
| Table 23 – Process bus as dual star .....                                        | 87  |
| Table 24 – Process bus as single bridge .....                                    | 89  |
| Table 25 – Process bus as separated LANs .....                                   | 90  |
| Table 26 – Process bus as simple ring .....                                      | 91  |
| Table 27 – Advantages and drawbacks of physical separation .....                 | 92  |
| Table 28 – Advantages and drawbacks of logical separation .....                  | 92  |
| Table 29 – Process bus as star to merging units .....                            | 93  |
| Table 30 – Connection of station bus to process bus by routers .....             | 95  |
| Table 31 – Connection of station bus to process bus by RedBoxes .....            | 97  |
| Table 32 – Connection of duplicated station bus to process bus by RedBoxes ..... | 98  |
| Table 33 – Example IP address allocation of NET .....                            | 99  |
| Table 34 – Example IP address allocation of BAY .....                            | 100 |
| Table 35 – Example IP address allocation of device .....                         | 100 |
| Table 36 – Example IP address allocation of switches in PRP .....                | 101 |
| Table 37 – IEC 61850-5 interface traffic .....                                   | 103 |
| Table 38 – Message types and addresses .....                                     | 104 |
| Table 39 – Transfer time requirements of IEC 61850-5 .....                       | 107 |

|                                                                                          |     |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table 40 – Elapsed time for an IEEE 802.3 frame to traverse the physical medium.....     | 107 |
| Table 41 – Delay for an IEEE 802.3 frame to ingress or to egress a port .....            | 108 |
| Table 42 – Latencies caused by waiting for a lower-priority frame to egress a port ..... | 109 |
| Table 43 – Synchronization classes of IEC 61850-5.....                                   | 125 |
| Table 44 – Time representations.....                                                     | 128 |
| Table 45 – Standards applicable to network elements.....                                 | 146 |
| Table 46 – Normative abbreviations for data object names .....                           | 157 |
| Table 47 – Data objects of LNGroupL::LPHDExt .....                                       | 161 |
| Table 48 – Data objects of LNGroupL::LBRI.....                                           | 162 |
| Table 49 – Data objects of LNGroupL::LCCF .....                                          | 163 |
| Table 50 – Data objects of LNGroupL::LCCHEExt .....                                      | 164 |
| Table 51 – Data objects of LNGroupL::PortBindingLN .....                                 | 165 |
| Table 52 – Data objects of LNGroupL::LPCP .....                                          | 165 |
| Table 53 – Data objects of LNGroupL::LPLD.....                                           | 166 |
| Table 54 – Data objects of LNGroupL::LBSP .....                                          | 168 |
| Table 55 – Data objects of LNGroupL::LTIMExt .....                                       | 168 |
| Table 56 – Data objects of LNGroupL::LTMSEExt .....                                      | 170 |
| Table 57 – Data objects of LNGroupL::LTTPC .....                                         | 170 |
| Table 58 – Data objects of LNGroupL::LTTP .....                                          | 171 |
| Table 59 – Attributes defined on classes of LogicalNodes_90_4 package .....              | 171 |
| Table 60 – Literals of DOEnums_90_4::ChannelRedundancyKind.....                          | 174 |
| Table 61 – Literals of DOEnums_90_4::LeapSecondKind.....                                 | 175 |
| Table 62 – Literals of DOEnums_90_4::RstpStateKind.....                                  | 175 |
| Table 63 – Clock grandmaster status common data class definition .....                   | 177 |
| Table 64 – Clock port status common data class definition .....                          | 178 |
| Table 65 – Clock ordinary settings common data class definition .....                    | 180 |
| Table 66 – VLAN filters common data class definition .....                               | 182 |
| Table 67 – Literals of DAEnums_90_4::VlanTagKind .....                                   | 182 |
| Table 68 – Mapping of LLN0 and LPHD attributes to SNMP .....                             | 183 |
| Table 69 – Mapping of LBRI and LBSP attributes to SNMP for bridges .....                 | 184 |
| Table 70 – Mapping of LPCP attributes to SNMP for bridges.....                           | 184 |
| Table 71 – Mapping of LPLD attributes to SNMP for bridges .....                          | 185 |
| Table 72 – Mapping of LCCH attributes for SNMP for HSR/PRP LREs .....                    | 186 |
| Table 73 – Mapping of clock objects in IEC 61850, IEC 61588 and IEEE C37.238 .....       | 186 |
| Table A.1 – Summary of expected latencies.....                                           | 215 |
| Table C.1 – Site categories HV .....                                                     | 227 |
| Table C.2 – Site categories MV.....                                                      | 227 |
| Table C.3 – Building modules .....                                                       | 228 |
| Table C.4 – Network modules .....                                                        | 233 |
| Table C.5 – Domain assignment for three domains .....                                    | 239 |
| Table C.6 – Domain assignment for one domain per diameter.....                           | 239 |
| Table C.7 – Summary of expected latencies .....                                          | 241 |
| Table C.8 – Traffic types and estimated network load .....                               | 241 |

|                                                                              |     |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Table D.1 – VLAN numbering and allocation .....                              | 247 |
| Table D.2 – Prioritization selection for various applications .....          | 248 |
| Table D.3 – Mapping of applications to service levels .....                  | 249 |
| Table D.4 – List of DiffServ codepoint field values .....                    | 250 |
| Table D.5 – Example of DSCP to class of service mapping .....                | 250 |
| Table D.6 – Example of DSCP mappings .....                                   | 251 |
| Table D.7 – Typical substation IP Address map (IP range: 10.0.16.0/21) ..... | 251 |
| Table D.8 – SNMP MIBs applicable to substation devices .....                 | 253 |
| Table D.9 – Example of device naming .....                                   | 255 |
| Table D.10 – Example of interface addressing and allocation .....            | 255 |
| Table D.11 – Example of device access and SNMP assignment .....              | 256 |
| Table D.12 – Example of hardware identification .....                        | 257 |
| Table D.13 – Example of device name table .....                              | 257 |
| Table D.14 – Example of firmware and software table .....                    | 257 |
| Table D.15 – Example of interface addressing and allocation .....            | 258 |
| Table D.16 – Example of network switch details .....                         | 258 |
| Table D.17 – Example of VLAN definitions .....                               | 259 |
| Table D.18 – Example of IP routing .....                                     | 259 |
| Table D.19 – Example of QoS mapping .....                                    | 259 |
| Table D.20 – Example of trunk and link aggregation table (void) .....        | 260 |
| Table D.21 – LAN switch port speed and duplex configuration .....            | 260 |
| Table D.22 – LAN switch port security settings .....                         | 261 |
| Table D.23 – Example of DHCP snooping .....                                  | 262 |
| Table D.24 – Example of storm control table .....                            | 262 |

# INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

## COMMUNICATION NETWORKS AND SYSTEMS FOR POWER UTILITY AUTOMATION –

### Part 90-4: Network engineering guidelines

#### FOREWORD

- 1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC Publication(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
- 2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
- 3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
- 5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
- 8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
- 9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication may be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

The main task of IEC technical committees is to prepare International Standards. However, a technical committee may propose the publication of a technical report when it has collected data of a different kind from that which is normally published as an International Standard, for example "state of the art".

IEC 61850-90-4, which is a technical report, has been prepared by IEC technical committee 57: Power systems management and associated information exchange.

The text of this technical report is based on the following documents:

|               |                  |
|---------------|------------------|
| Enquiry draft | Report on voting |
| 57/1238/DTR   | 57/1330/RVC      |

Full information on the voting for the approval of this technical report can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

This publication has been drafted in accordance with the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

A list of all parts in the IEC 61850 series, published under the general title *Communication networks and systems for power utility automation*, can be found on the IEC website.

The committee has decided that the contents of this publication will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC web site under "<http://webstore.iec.ch>" in the data related to the specific publication. At this date, the publication will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

A bilingual version of this publication may be issued at a later date.

**IMPORTANT – The 'colour inside' logo on the cover page of this publication indicates that it contains colours which are considered to be useful for the correct understanding of its contents. Users should therefore print this document using a colour printer.**

## INTRODUCTION

The growing success of the IEC 61850 series calls for guidelines for engineering Ethernet networks. The IEC 61850 series specifies the basic requirements for the networks but not how to achieve them. Instead, the IEC 61850 series of standards focuses on data modelling and the interchange of that data, leaving out physical interconnection details that are nevertheless needed for full interoperability.

This Technical Report provides definitions, guidelines and specifications for the network engineering of IEC 61850-based substation automation.

This Technical Report addresses issues such as Ethernet technology, network topology, redundancy, traffic latency and quality of service, traffic management by multicast and VLAN, network-based clock synchronization and testing of the network. It does not address network-based security.

The Technical Report is based on existing standards for semantics, services, protocols, system configuration language and architecture. It is based on work done by IEC TC 57 WG 10 (Power system IED communication and associated data models) and IEC TC 57 WG 15 (Data and communications security), on IEC 61918 (*Industrial communication networks – Installation of communication networks in industrial premises*), IEC 62439 (*Industrial communication networks – High-availability automation networks*) and IEC 61588 (*Precision clock synchronization protocol for networked measurement and control systems*), on the work of the IEEE 802.1 Working Group, the ICA International Users Group 9-2LE and the IEEE Power System Relaying Committee (PSRC), and on contributions by different companies.

The contents of this Technical Report have been coordinated with the Working Groups producing IEC 62439, IEC 62351 and with the IEEE PSRC.

## COMMUNICATION NETWORKS AND SYSTEMS FOR POWER UTILITY AUTOMATION –

### Part 90-4: Network engineering guidelines

#### 1 Scope

This part of IEC 61850, which is a Technical Report, is intended for an audience familiar with network communication and/or IEC 61850-based systems and particularly for substation protection and control equipment vendors, network equipment vendors and system integrators.

This Technical Report focuses on engineering a local area network limited to the requirements of IEC 61850-based substation automation. It outlines the advantages and disadvantages of different approaches to network topology, redundancy, clock synchronization, etc. so that the network designer can make educated decisions. In addition, this report outlines possible improvements to both substation automation and networking equipment.

This Technical Report addresses the most critical aspects of IEC 61850, such as protection related to tripping over the network. This Technical Report addresses in particular the multicast data transfer of large volumes of sampled values (SV) from merging units (MUs). It also considers the high precision clock synchronization and “seamless” guaranteed transport of data across the network under failure conditions that is central to the process bus concept.

This Technical Report is not a tutorial on networking or on IEC 61850. Rather, it references and summarizes standards and publications to assist the engineers. Many publications discuss the Ethernet technology but do not address the networks in terms of substation automation. Therefore, many technologies and options have been ignored, since they were not considered relevant for a future-proof substation automation network design.

This Technical Report does not address network security.

This Technical Report does not address substation-to-substation communication, or substation to control centre communication. Inter-substation communication involves WAN technologies other than Ethernet, but when it uses Ethernet on layer 2, parts of this report can be applied. For inter-substation communication which uses exclusively the routable Internet Protocol, more adapted guidelines are in discussion within IEC TC 57, especially in documents IEC/TR 61850-90-1, IEC 61850-90-2<sup>1</sup>, and IEC/TR 61850-90-5, which will be addressed in the WAN engineering guidelines, IEC 61850-90-12<sup>2</sup>.

This Technical Report does not dispense the responsible system integrator from an analysis of the actual application configuration, which is the base for a dependable system.

---

<sup>1</sup> Under consideration.

<sup>2</sup> Under consideration.

## 2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

IEC 60050 (all parts), *International Electrotechnical Vocabulary* (<available at: <http://www.electropedia.org/>>)

IEC 60834-1, *Teleprotection equipment of power systems – Performance and testing – Part 1: Command systems*

IEC 60870-2-2, *Telecontrol equipment and systems – Part 2: Operating conditions – Section 2: Environmental conditions (climatic, mechanical and other non-electrical influences)*

IEC 61000-4-4, *Electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) – Part 4-4: Testing and measurement techniques – Electrical fast transient/burst immunity test*

IEC 61000-4-5, *Electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) – Part 4-5: Testing and measurement techniques – Surge immunity test*

IEC 61000-6-2, *Electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) – Part 6-2: Generic standards – Immunity for industrial environments*

IEC 61508-4, *Functional safety of electrical/electronic/programmable electronic safety-related systems – Part 4: Definitions and abbreviations*

IEC 61588:2009, *Precision clock synchronization protocol for networked measurement and control systems*

IEC 61754-2, *Fibre optic connector interfaces – Part 2: Type BFOC/2,5 connector family*

IEC 61754-20, *Fibre optic interconnecting devices and passive components – Fibre optic connector interfaces – Part 20: Type LC connector family*

IEC 61800-3, *Adjustable speed electrical power drive systems – Part 3: EMC requirements and specific test methods*

IEC 61850-3, *Communication networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 3: General requirements*

IEC 61850-4, *Communication networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 4: System and project management*

IEC 61850-5:2013, *Communication networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 5: Communication requirements for functions and device models*

IEC 61850-6:2009, *Communication networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 6: Configuration description language for communication in electrical substations related to IEDs*

IEC 61850-7-1:2011, *Communication networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 7-1: Basic communication structure – Principles and models*

IEC 61850-7-2:2010, *Communication networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 7-2: Basic information and communication structure – Abstract communication service interface (ACSI)*

IEC 61850-7-3, *Communication networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 7-3: Basic communication structure – Common data classes*

IEC 61850-7-4:2010, *Communication networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 7-4: Basic communication structure – Compatible logical node classes and data object classes*

IEC 61850-8-1:2011, *Communication networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 8-1: Specific communication service mapping (SCSM) – Mappings to MMS (ISO 9506-1 and ISO 9506-2) and to ISO/IEC 8802-3*

IEC 61850-9-2:2011, *Communication networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 9-2: Specific communication service mapping (SCSM) – Sampled values over ISO/IEC 8802-3*

IEC/TR 61850-90-1, *Communication networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 90-1: Use of IEC 61850 for the communication between substations*

IEC/TR 61850-90-5, *Communication networks and systems for power utility automation – Part 90-5: Use of IEC 61850 to transmit synchrophasor information according to IEEE C37.118*

IEC 61869-9:\_\_\_<sup>3</sup>, *Instrument transformers – Part 9: Digital interface for instrument transformers*

IEC 62351 (all parts), *Power systems management and associated information exchange – Data and communications security*

IEC/TS 62351-6, *Power systems management and associated information exchange – Data and communications security – Part 6: Security for IEC 61850*

IEC 62439-1:2010, *Industrial communication networks – High availability automation networks – Part 1: General concepts and calculation methods*  
Amendment 1:2012

IEC 62439-3:2012, *Industrial communication networks – High availability automation networks – Part 3: Parallel Redundancy Protocol (PRP) and High availability Seamless Redundancy (HSR)*

IEC 81346 (all parts), *Industrial systems, installations and equipment and industrial products – Structuring principles and reference designations*

ISO/IEC 8326:1996, *Information processing system – Open Systems Interconnection – Session service definition*

ISO/IEC 8649, *Information technology – Open Systems Interconnection – Service definition for the Association Control Service Element*<sup>4</sup>

<sup>3</sup> To be published.

<sup>4</sup> Withdrawn.

ISO/IEC 8802-2, *Information technology – Telecommunications and information exchange between systems – Local and metropolitan area networks – Specific requirements – Part 2: Logical link control*

ISO/IEC 8824-1, *Information technology – Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1): Specification of basic notation*

ISO 9506-1:2003, *Industrial automation systems – Manufacturing Message Specification – Part 1: Service definition*

ISO 9506-2:2003, *Industrial automation systems – Manufacturing Message Specification – Part 2: Protocol specification*

IEEE 802.1AB-2005, *IEEE standard for Local and metropolitan area networks – Station and Media Access Control Connectivity Discovery*

IEEE 802.1D-2004, *IEEE standard for Local and metropolitan area networks – Common specifications – Media Access Control (MAC) Bridges*

IEEE 802.1Q-2011, *IEEE standard for Local and metropolitan area networks – Media Access Control (MAC) Bridges and Virtual Bridge Local Area Networks*

IEEE 802.3, *Local Area Network (LAN) protocols*

IEEE 1344, *IEEE Standard for Synchrophasors for Power Systems* (replaced by IEEE C37.118)

IEEE 1613-2009, *IEEE Standard – Environmental and Testing Requirements for Communications Networking Devices Installed in Electric Power Substations*

IEEE C37.118.1-2011, *IEEE Standard for Synchrophasor Measurements for Power Systems*

IEEE C37.118.2-2011, *IEEE Standard for Synchrophasor Data Transfer for Power Systems*

IEEE C37.238-2011, *IEEE Standard Profile for Use of IEEE 1588 Precision Time Protocol in Power System Applications*

RFC 793: 1981, *DARPA Internet Program, Transmission Control Protocol, Protocol Specification*, 1981

RFC 1006: 1987, *Network Working Group, ISO Transport Service on top of the TCP Version:3*

RFC 1305: 1992, *Network Working Group, Network Time Protocol (Version 3)*

RFC 2328: 1998, *The Internet Society, OSPF Version 2*

RFC 2661: 1999, *The Internet Society, Layer Two Tunneling Protocol "L2TP"*

RFC 3416: 2002, *The Internet Society, Version 2 of the Protocol Operations for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)*

RFC 4330: 2006, *The Internet Society, Simple Network Time Protocol (SNTP) Version 4 for IPv4, IPv6 and OSI*

RFC 4836: 2007, *IETF Trust, Definitions of Managed Objects for IEEE 802.3 Medium Attachment Units (MAUs)*

TIA/EIA 568A, *Commercial building telecommunications cabling standard set (contains: TIA-568-C.0, TIA-568-C.1, TIA-568-C.2, TIA-568-C.3 AND TIA-568-C.4 – with addendums and erratas)*

### 3 Terms, definitions, abbreviations and conventions

#### 3.1 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in IEC 60050-191:1990, as well as the following, apply.

##### 3.1.1

##### **bridge**

network device that connects network segments at the data link layer (layer 2) of the OSI model, according to the principles of IEEE 802.1D Clause 7

Note 1 to entry: A bridge is often referred to as a “layer 2 switch”. In this document, the word “bridge” means the logic used to forward a frame from one port to another at layer 2, while “switch” designates a device with additional functionalities.

##### 3.1.2

##### **broadcast domain**

set of all network nodes that receive a layer 2 frame with a broadcast destination address

Note 1 to entry: The broadcast domain is the entire layer 2 subnet.

##### 3.1.3

##### **broadcast storm**

condition on a network where there is an abnormally huge broadcast traffic that consumes resources and renders the network unable to transport normal traffic

##### 3.1.4

##### **bus**

communication medium that supports broadcast, either physically (e.g. radio, multi-drop cable) or logically (e.g. switched layer 2 network), as opposed to links that are point-to-point connections

##### 3.1.5

##### **cut-through**

basic operation of a bridge, in which frames on ingress are forwarded to the appropriate egress port(s) before the whole frame has been received, on the basis of their header

Note 1 to entry: “Cut-through” is in contrast to “store-and-forward”.

##### 3.1.6

##### **doubly attached node**

node that has two ports for the purpose of redundant operation

[SOURCE: IEC 62439-1:2010, 3.1.11]

##### 3.1.7

##### **layer 2**

data link layer of the OSI model

Note 1 to entry: At layer 2, data packets are encoded and decoded into bits. Layer 2 is divided into sub layers: Media Access Control (MAC) and Logical Link Control (LLC). The MAC sub layer controls how a network node gains access to the media and the LLC sub layer handles flow control and error checking. Ethernet is a layer 2 technology.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 7498-1:1994]

### 3.1.8

#### **layer 3**

network layer of the OSI model

Note 1 to entry: At layer 3, data packets are routed depending on their IP address over any layer 2 technology, e.g. Ethernet or wireless. The use of broadcast domains is limited at layer 3.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 7498-1:1994]

### 3.1.9

#### **logical topology**

actual path through which data pass through the network from one node to another node

Note 1 to entry: "Logical topology" is in contrast to "physical topology", which is the set of all possible physical paths.

### 3.1.10

#### **managed switch**

bridge that includes the basic bridge functionality as defined in IEEE 802.1D Clause 7.1 plus a variety of configurable features such as: a user interface, SNMP management, redundancy protocols, and VLAN support among others

Note 1 to entry: The IEEE standards use the term "bridge" instead of the more common term "switch" for layer-2 communication.

### 3.1.11

#### **merging unit**

1) logical device, a unit that generates SV traffic for eight process values (four voltage and four currents) in a synchronized way, allowing to correlate these samples [UCA 61850-9-2LE]

#### **merging unit**

2) physical device (IED in the meaning of IEC 61850-2) in which logical device merging unit is implemented [IEC 61869-9]

Note 1 to entry: A SAMU is a particular case of a PIA that contains a Merging Unit Logical Device and that uses standardized analog values as an input.

### 3.1.12

#### **multicast domain**

set of all nodes that receive a layer 2 frame with a given multicast destination address

Note 1 to entry: The multicast domain is equal to the broadcast domain unless multicast traffic filtering is applied.

### 3.1.13

#### **node**

network entity connected to one or more links

[SOURCE: IEC 62439-1:2010, 3.1.35]

### 3.1.14

#### **PHY**

physical layer device that interfaces to the media independent interface

Note 1 to entry: PHYs are usually integrated circuits that encode and decode the bit stream of data for transmission and reception on the physical media and can do additional functions such as line supervision and time-stamping.

**3.1.15****process interface**

physical device that interfaces to the primary technology and that is attached to the process bus

Note 1 to entry: A PI-Analog (PIA) is a measuring device generating synchronized samples for current or voltage.

Note 2 to entry: A PI-Binary (PIB) is an Input/Output device for binary values that can issue control signals to the primary technology such as trip signals or tap changer.

Note 3 to entry: See also: merging unit, 3.1.11.

Note 4 to entry: A PIA is not a SAMU according to IEC 61869-9 since it accepts also non-standard inputs.

**3.1.16****physical topology**

set of all possible paths between nodes

Note 1 to entry: "Physical topology" is in contrast to "logical topology", which is the set of all actual paths.

**3.1.17****process bus**

communication network which connects IEDs at primary equipment level

Note 1 to entry: Originally, the process bus was specified as the carrier of the IEC 61850-9-2 traffic (SV), but it can carry other traffic, such as IEC 61850-8-1 (MMS and GOOSE) or FTP.

**3.1.18****QuadBox**

four-port layer-2 bridge between two HSR rings

**3.1.19****RedBox**

layer 2 bridge between singly attached nodes and two PRP LANs or between an HSR LAN and singly attached nodes

Note 1 to entry: RedBox is an abbreviation of "redundancy box".

**3.1.20****router**

layer 3 interconnection device

[SOURCE: IEEE 610.7:1995]

**3.1.21****singly attached node**

node that has only one port to a LAN

[SOURCE: IEC 62439-1:2010, 3.1.55]

**3.1.22****spanning tree protocols**

family of protocols executed by the bridges which auto-configure a meshed network topology into a tree topology at initialization and upon changes, including RSTP (rapid spanning tree protocol, VLAN unaware) and MSTP (multiple spanning tree protocol, VLAN aware)

### 3.1.23

#### **station bus**

communication network which connects IEDs at bay level and IEDs at station level

Note 1 to entry: Originally, the station bus was specified as the carrier of the IEC 61850-8-1 traffic (MMS and GOOSE), but it can carry IEC 61850-9-2 (SV) and other traffic as well.

### 3.1.24

#### **store-and-forward**

basic operation of a bridge, in which frames on ingress are completely stored before forwarding to the appropriate egress port(s)

Note 1 to entry: "Store-and-forward" is in contrast to "cut-through".

### 3.1.25

#### **switch**

interconnection device between network parts, e.g. a simple repeater (hub), a layer 2 connecting device (bridge) or a layer 3 connecting device (router) or a combination thereof

Note 1 to entry: This document uses "switch" for a complex device, while bridge refers to the IEEE 802.1D functionality. Electrical switches are designated as "switchgear", "circuit breaker" or "disconnecter".

### 3.1.26

#### **switchgear**

electrical, high power switching device, circuit breaker or disconnecter

### 3.1.27

#### **unmanaged switch**

bridge that only has the basic IEEE 802.1D functionality

Note 1 to entry: An unmanaged switch has typically no configurability, has no SNMP support, has no user interface and is not capable of supporting redundancy protocols, priorities, VLANs, or any other more advanced layer 2 functions.

## 3.2 Abbreviations

|       |                                                                      |
|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| AIS   | Air Insulated Switchgear (in open-air substation, as opposed to GIS) |
| APPID | Application ID in GOOSE and SV messages                              |
| ASN.1 | Abstract Syntax Notation                                             |
| AVR   | Automatic Voltage Regulator                                          |
| BC    | boundary clock                                                       |
| BCU   | Bay Control Unit                                                     |
| BMCA  | Best Master Clock Algorithm                                          |
| BP    | Busbar protection                                                    |
| BPDU  | Bridge Protocol Data Unit                                            |
| BPU   | Bay Protection Unit                                                  |
| CPU   | Central Processing Unit                                              |
| CT    | Current Transformer (for measurement)                                |
| DAN   | Doubly Attached Node                                                 |
| DANH  | Doubly Attached Node using HSR                                       |

|        |                                                                                   |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| DANP   | Doubly Attached Node using PRP                                                    |
| DANR   | Doubly Attached Node using RSTP                                                   |
| DHCP   | Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol                                               |
| DNS    | Domain Name Server                                                                |
| DMC    | Designated Master Clock                                                           |
| ECT    | Electronic Current Transformer                                                    |
| EHV    | Extra High Voltage                                                                |
| EIT    | Electronic Instrument Transformer                                                 |
| EMC    | Electro-Magnetic Compatibility                                                    |
| EMI    | Electro-Magnetic Interference                                                     |
| EVT    | Electronic Voltage Transformer                                                    |
| FEFI   | Far End Fault Indication                                                          |
| FMEA   | Failure Mode and Effect Analysis                                                  |
| FTP    | File Transfer Protocol                                                            |
| GIS    | Gas Isolated Switchgear (in underground or compact substation, as opposed to AIS) |
| GNSS   | Global Navigation Satellite System (comprises GPS, GLONASS, Galileo)              |
| GMC    | Grandmaster Clock                                                                 |
| GMRP   | Generic Multicast Registration Protocol                                           |
| GoID   | GOOSE message Identifier, string                                                  |
| GVRP   | Generic VLAN Registration Protocol                                                |
| HC     | Hybrid Clock                                                                      |
| HSR    | High-availability Seamless Redundancy HMI Human-Machine Interface                 |
| IANA   | Internet Assigned Numbers Authority, that manages the IP addresses worldwide      |
| ICD    | IED Capability Description, file that describes the objects in an IED             |
| IED    | Intelligent Electronic Device                                                     |
| IRIG-B | Inter-Range Instrumentation Group time code B                                     |
| ICMP   | Internet Control Message Protocol                                                 |
| IP     | Internet Protocol                                                                 |
| LAN    | Local Area Network                                                                |
| LLDP   | Link Layer Discovery Protocol                                                     |
| MAC    | Media Access Control                                                              |
| MC     | Multicast                                                                         |

|      |                                                               |
|------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| MC   | Master Clock                                                  |
| MIB  | Management Information Base (used by SNMP)                    |
| MMRP | Multiple MAC Registration Protocol                            |
| MSTP | Multiple Spanning Tree Protocol                               |
| MTBR | Mean Time Between Repairs                                     |
| MTTR | Mean Time To Repair or Mean Time To Recovery                  |
| MTTF | Mean Time To Fail                                             |
| MU   | Merging Unit (Logical Device), merging unit (physical device) |
| MVRP | Multiple VLAN Registration Protocol                           |
| NAT  | Network Address Translation                                   |
| NTP  | Network Time Protocol, NTPv4                                  |
| OC   | Ordinary Clock                                                |
| OAM  | Operation, Administration, Maintenance                        |
| OSI  | Open System Interconnection                                   |
| PCP  | Priority Code Point carried in the tag                        |
| PFD  | Probability to Fail on Demand                                 |
| PI   | Process Interface (Primary technology to/from process bus)    |
| PIA  | Process Interface Analog                                      |
| PIB  | Process Interface Binary                                      |
| PICS | Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement                 |
| PIO  | Process Interface Output (to the Primary Technology)          |
| PMC  | Protection, Measurement and Control combined IED              |
| PPCP | Port Priority Code Point, default port priority               |
| PPS  | Pulse Per Second (1 PPS time synchronization)                 |
| PRP  | Parallel Redundancy Protocol                                  |
| PTP  | Precision Time Protocol version 2                             |
| PVID | Port VLAN identifier                                          |
| PVMS | Port VLAN member set                                          |
| QB   | QuadBox                                                       |
| RB   | RedBox                                                        |
| RSTP | Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol                                  |
| SAN  | Singly Attached Node                                          |
| SAMU | Stand-Alone Merging Unit                                      |

|       |                                                                            |
|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| SCADA | Supervisory Control And Data Acquisition                                   |
| SCD   | Substation Configuration Description                                       |
| SCL   | Substation Configuration Language                                          |
| SLD   | Single Line Diagram                                                        |
| SNMP  | Simple Network Management Protocol                                         |
| SNTP  | Simple Network Time Protocol                                               |
| STP   | Spanning Tree Protocol                                                     |
| SSH   | Secure SHell                                                               |
| SV    | Sampled (measurement) values                                               |
| TC    | transparent clock                                                          |
| TCP   | Transmission Control Protocol                                              |
| TLV   | Type-Length-Value, the ASN.1 structuring used e.g. in MMS and PTP messages |
| UDP   | User Datagram Protocol                                                     |
| VID   | VLAN identifier                                                            |
| VLAN  | Virtual Local Area Network                                                 |
| VT    | Voltage Transformer (for measurement)                                      |
| WAN   | Wide Area Network                                                          |

### **3.3 Conventions**

#### **3.3.1 Network diagram symbols**

The symbols shown in Figure 1 are used throughout this document in an effort to provide diagrammatic consistency. These symbols are sometimes combined to create more advanced network nodes. This allows the illustration of fairly complex concepts in a single, uncluttered diagram.




Figure 1 – Network symbols

### 3.3.2 Port and link symbols

To specify the ports and links, the symbols of Figure 2 are used in this document.

- 100BASE-TX (100 Mbit/s copper)
- 100BASE-FX (100 Mbit/s fibre)
- ⊗ 1000BASE-LX (1 Gbit/s multimode fibre)
- ⊙ 1000BASE-LX (1 Gbit/s single mode fibre)

Figure 2 – Port symbols

### 3.3.3 Bridges symbols

The bridges can be drawn as beams to simplify the drawings, as shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3 – Bridge symbol as beam

To simplify even more crowded drawings, the bridges can be drawn as a line, although switched Ethernet is not a bussed technology, as shown in Figure 4.




Figure 4 – Bridge symbol as bus

## 4 Overview of IEC 61850 networks

### 4.1 Logical allocation of functions and interfaces

IEC 61850-5 defines three different levels (station, bay, process) and the logical allocation of functions and interfaces. This part is repeated here for convenience. Figure 5 depicts these traffic types and flows.




**Figure 5 – Levels and logical interfaces in substation automation systems**

The cloud in Figure 5 symbolizes a WAN outside of a substation. The meaning of the interfaces is detailed in Table 1.

**Table 1 – IEC 61850-5 interface definitions**

| IF | IEC 61850-5 Definition                                                                                                       | Comment                                                                                 |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1  | Protection-data exchange between bay and station level                                                                       | The SCADA Gateway / HMI is not involved in protection functions                         |
| 2  | Protection-data exchange between bay level and remote protection (outside the scope of this part of IEC 61850)               | Tunnelled GOOSE defined in IEC/TR 61850-90-1                                            |
| 3  | Data exchange within bay level                                                                                               | Relates to station bus                                                                  |
| 4  | CT and VT instantaneous data exchange (especially samples) between primary equipment and bay level                           | Relates to process bus so are not considered for station bus                            |
| 5  | Control-data exchange between primary equipment and bay level                                                                | Relates to process bus so are not considered for station bus                            |
| 6  | Control-data exchange between bay and station level                                                                          | Station bus to SCADA Gateway / HMI communications                                       |
| 7  | Data exchange between substation (level) and a remote engineer's workplace                                                   | IED and SCADA Gateway / HMI configuration, monitoring from external like engineering PC |
| 8  | Direct data exchange between the bays especially for fast functions such as interlocking                                     | Relates to station bus                                                                  |
| 9  | Data exchange within station level                                                                                           | SCADA gateway / HMI communications                                                      |
| 10 | Control-data exchange between substation (devices) and a remote control centre (outside the scope of this part of IEC 61850) | SCADA gateway / HMI to control centre communications                                    |
| 11 | Control-data exchange between substations, e.g. binary signals for interlocking or other inter-substation automatics         | Substation to substation, see IEC/TR 61850-90-1                                         |

## 4.2 IEC 61850 protocol stack

### 4.2.1 General

Figure 6 shows the basic IEC 61850 protocol stack. It is divided into a hard real time stack supporting the services of Sampled Values, GOOSE and the Precision Time Protocol, and a soft real-time stack supporting the network time synchronisation SNTP, the MMS communication and ancillary services mentioned in IEC 61850-8-1. These protocols rely on the services of the MAC layer, which may support 802.1Q VLANs and priorities, redundancy and possibly data security (not shown).




Figure 6 – IEC 61850 protocol stack

### 4.2.2 IEC 61850 traffic classes

IEC 61850-8-1 and IEC 61850-9-2 define three kinds of traffic:

- MMS traffic defined in IEC 61850-8-1, which allows an MMS client such as the SCADA, an OPC server or a gateway to access “vertically” all IED objects. This traffic flows both on the station bus and on the process bus, although some process bus IEDs do not support MMS.
- GOOSE traffic defined in IEC 61850-8-1, which allows IEDs to exchange data “horizontally” between the bays or “vertically” between process level and bay level, especially for the status signals and tripping signals, and often for interlocking. This traffic flows normally over the station bus and/or the process bus.
- SV traffic defined in IEC 61850-9-2, which carries voltage and current samples. This traffic flows normally on the process bus but can also flow over the station bus, for instance, for busbar protection and phasor measurement.

### 4.2.3 MMS protocol

The MMS protocol is a client-server (unicast) protocol operating at the network layer (layer 3). Therefore, it operates with IP addresses and can cross routers. In one operating mode, the MMS client (generally the SCADA or the gateway) sends a request for a specific data item to the MMS server of an IED, identified by its IP address. The server returns the requested data in a response message to the IP address of the client. In another mode, the client can instruct the server to send a notification spontaneously upon occurrence of an event (see Figure 7).

To ensure that no event is lost, MMS relies on the TCP protocol for error detection and recovery.

An MMS server can support several clients simultaneously, each client is treated individually.




Figure 7 – MMS protocol time/distance chart

### 4.2.4 GOOSE protocol

GOOSE messages are exchanged at layer 2 (link layer), taking advantage of the multicast functionality provided by Ethernet. The GOOSE communication consists of a fast event-driven transmission and of a slow cyclic transmission as shown in Figure 8 (time/distance chart) and Figure 9 (time chart).

Upon occurrence of a preconfigured event condition, an IED sends immediately a GOOSE message carrying the values of the variables to be communicated for that event.

Since GOOSE messages are multicast, they are not acknowledged by the destination. To overcome transient errors, the same GOOSE message is retransmitted several times in a row, at interval  $T_1$ , then  $T_2$ , then  $T_3$  (application specific).

To assess the presence of the source, GOOSE messages are retransmitted at low rate  $T_0$ .

Since GOOSE messages operate on layer 2, they do not leave the LAN and cannot cross routers. They are identified by their source MAC address and an identifier in the message.

GOOSE operates on the publisher/subscriber principle. The new value received replaces the former value, as opposed to being queued if the old value could not be processed in time. However, overwriting is not prescribed, so queuing is also used.




**Figure 8 – GOOSE protocol time/distance chart**

Figure 9 explains the same GOOSE protocol with a time chart.




Figure 9 – GOOSE protocol time chart

#### 4.2.5 SV protocol

The Sampled Values protocol (specified in IEC 61850-9-2) is mainly used to transmit analogue values (current and voltage) from the sensors to the IEDs.

The SV protocol, like GOOSE, uses layer 2 multicast, messages are identified by their MAC addresses (and possibly VLAN ID) and an identifier (in the message body).

NOTE IEC 61850-9-2 also foresees a unicast SV transmission, but it is seldom used.

Like for GOOSE, there is no retransmission protocol: a lost sample is overwritten by the next successful one.

The SV messages, unlike GOOSE, are transmitted purely cyclically at high frequency. UCA 61859-9-2LE prescribes a period of 250  $\mu$ s in a 50 Hz grid, respectively 208,3  $\mu$ s in a 60 Hz grid.

With a typical size of 160 octets, an SV message takes some 12  $\mu$ s at 100 Mbit/s (6 % of the bandwidth at 4 800 SV messages per second [15]<sup>5</sup>). The period should accommodate a maximum size FTP message of 123  $\mu$ s at 100 Mbit/s (60 % of the bandwidth at 4 800 SV messages per second), thus limiting the number of attached SV senders on a bus to around 6. Therefore, SV messages must be kept small.

To avoid spurious jamming, all SV sources on the same bus should operate at the same period and preferably implement a time division multiplex scheme as shown in Figure 10.




Figure 10 – Example of SV traffic (4 800 Hz)

#### 4.3 Station bus and process bus

The two main interfaces are the station bus and the process bus (see Figure 11). IEC 61850-5 uses the terms “station bus” and “process bus” with no precise definition. Common understanding is:

- The station bus interconnects the whole substation and provides connectivity between central management and the individual bays. It also connects the protection and control devices within a bay, the different bays among themselves, and the bays with the SCADA

<sup>5</sup> Figures in square brackets refer to the Bibliography.

or Grid Control gateway. The station bus connects up to hundreds of IEDs. In large networks, the station bus is segmented, but all segments come together at the station level. Several physically distinct station busses are often used, e.g. one per voltage level, with or without horizontal connection.

The station bus typically carries GOOSE (layer2 multicast) traffic and TCP/UDP (unicast) traffic (MMS, SNTP, SNMP, FTP, etc.), as well as non-IEC 61850 traffic such as video surveillance.

The station bus typically provides only soft real-time quality of service, i.e. some jitter in delivery time is acceptable.

- The station bus can also carry SV traffic (layer 2 multicast) e.g. for busbar protection, and in this case, it provides the same quality of service as a process bus. It could then be beneficial to separate the station bus into two networks, one dedicated to SV traffic and the other to the soft real-time traffic.
- The process bus connects the primary measurement and control equipment to the IEDs. It takes different topologies, from a fan of point-to-point links to a classical network. The process bus is generally limited to a bay; in particular applications such as busbar protection and differential protection, it spans several bays. The process bus typically carries SV (multicast), GOOSE (multicast) and often MMS (unicast) traffic. The process bus is expected to provide hard real-time quality of service, i.e. the transmission guarantees a worst case delivery time. How to provide it is not in the scope of the standard.

NOTE The term “bus” is historical and only reminds that each “bus” is a broadcast domain.

Figure 11 shows a typical structure, the leftmost bay being equipped with a process bus, attached to a Process I/O for analog values (PIA) and a Process I/O for binary values (PIB).




Figure 11 – Station bus, process bus and traffic example

While it is possible to fit station bus and process bus into one network structure if sufficient bandwidth is available (e.g. 1 Gbit/s), it is prudent to separate them for various reasons, e.g.

to reduce the station bus load due to SV traffic or to avoid single points of failure when coupling tightly process bus and station bus.

## 5 Network design checklist

### 5.1 Design principles

The network design has to satisfy the high-level system requirements and the detailed design requirements. A suitable network design requires understanding all the functional and non-functional requirements of the system. The network design could be dictated by application requirements such as the mandatory use of GOOSE for the exchange of trip signals between IEDs. The interfacing capability of the IEDs constrains the design. Establishing design principles assists in resolving conflicting requirements (e.g. safety could be rated higher than cost).

### 5.2 Engineering flow

The engineering process of a substation communication is a balance between the aspects of:

- application requirements;
- topology and design constraints;
- available products;
- costs.

There exist several engineering flows; one example is described in Figure 12. The highlighted parts concern directly the network components.




Figure 12 – Example of engineering flow

### 5.3 Checklist to be observed

#### 5.3.1 Summary

A network for IEC 61850 based substations is designed taking into account several criteria, of which the most important are listed here and detailed in 5.3.2 to 5.3.19:

- environmental issues;
- EMI immunity;
- form factor;
- physical media;
- substation application and network topology;
- redundancy;
- reliability, availability, maintainability;
- logical data flows and traffic patterns;

- latency requirements for different types of traffic;
- performance;
- network management;
- network supervision;
- time synchronization and accuracy;
- remote connectivity;
- cyber security;
- scalability, upgradeability and future-proof;
- testing;
- cost.

These points are developed after the definition of the basic network architectures.

### 5.3.2 Environmental issues

High levels of pollution, salt, humidity, abrupt temperature changes, etc. impose using hardened networking equipment or adequate protection in cabinets and sheltered locations.

Outdoor devices such as process bus interfaces close to primary equipment require special enclosures or high grade of protection and adequate connectors. This limits the available device choice or imposes relocation. The requirements apply to all involved components, not only network devices.

The environmental conditions valid for substation equipment are defined in IEC 61850-3. This aspect is not further developed.

### 5.3.3 EMI immunity

Network devices operate under EMI, caused e.g. by inductive load connection, lightning strikes, electrostatic discharges from human contact, radio frequency interference due to personnel using portable telephones, ground potential rise resulting from high current fault conditions, etc. IEC 61850-3 specifies the immunity requirements. This aspect is not further developed.

### 5.3.4 Form factor

The limitation of the room available for installations of networking equipment asks for devices with small form factor or specific mounting options (DIN rail, etc.), thus limiting the equipment choice. When using 19" rack mounted equipment, enough room needs to be left at the rear of rack mounted equipment to ensure adequate air flow. This aspect is not further developed.

### 5.3.5 Physical media

The physical medium is chosen based on the EMI conditions, expected traffic, distances to travel and cost. The medium is either copper wiring or optical fibre, while the data rate is either 100 Mbit/s or 1 Gbit/s. Guidance is given in 6.3.

### 5.3.6 Substation application and network topology

The substation application, in particular the busbar protection, interlocking and inter-tripping, the presence of a local or of a remote substation control system, the attachment method of primary equipment, etc. determine the data flows. The application therefore influences the network choice and topology.

The topology of the substation, number of voltage levels, attachment method of the primary equipment (conventional, merging unit or process interface), the electrical equipment technology (AIS, GIS), the location of the cabinets and control system define the physical topology of the network. Guidance is given in Clause 7 and in the case studies of Annex A, Annex B, Annex C and Annex D.

### 5.3.7 Redundancy

The value of the protected equipment for the operation dictates the level of redundancy to be observed. A usual requirement is the avoidance of any single point of failure, which implies the introduction of redundancy.

Guidance is given in 7.2 and 13.1.

### 5.3.8 Reliability, availability, maintainability

Redundancy by itself does not ensure a high availability. Operation can be impaired by long recovery times and lack of maintenance. Equipment must be installed so as to simplify maintenance and reduce the MTTR (Mean Time To Repair).

Utilities want to estimate how often a substation or a bay shuts down due to a failure of the IEDs and how often an electrical element is unable to operate when requested (PFD = Probability to Fail on Demand, see IEC 61508-4).

Utilities want to estimate how often any component of the automation system fails (MTTF = Mean Time To Fail) and how often a repair team must be sent to replace failed parts (MTBR = Mean Time Between Repairs). The MTBR can exceed the MTTF when redundancy is available.

The contribution of the network unavailability to the substation dependability must be evaluated on a function-by-function basis.

Requirements apply to whole or parts of the network are defined as the desired MTTF, MTBR and MTTR.

Methods such as FMEA allow the detection of weak points.

Guidance is given in 13.2.

### 5.3.9 Logical data flows and traffic patterns

The logical data flows depend on the application, and are defined by the SCD file, which allows defining the steady-state traffic, while typical operations such as busbar transfer define the burst traffic patterns. Guidance is given in 10.1.1.

### 5.3.10 Latency for different types of traffic

The protection and control application defines the latency requirements. This influences the segmentation of the network and the allowed number of cascaded devices. This is detailed in Clause 11.

### 5.3.11 Performance

To prevent or minimize overloads, the devices and network elements must handle the foreseen traffic. This influences the choice of elements. Unnecessary traffic can be limited by defining multicast domains or VLANs. Guidance is given in Clause 8.

### 5.3.12 Network management

Network management concerns the configuration of the network and allocation of addresses, while at the same time limiting the traffic through multicast or VLAN domains.

Network management tools set the bridge parameters, in particular multicast and VLAN filters.

### 5.3.13 Network supervision

Network supervision tools monitor the network to detect the presence or absence of devices, link failures or degradation of performance. It allows creating network maps and monitoring the status of the devices. Guidance is found in Clause 16.

### 5.3.14 Time synchronization and accuracy

The method of clock synchronization depends on the accuracy that a given application requires. Timing accuracy for sampled measurement values is much higher than for simple time-stamped events. Further details are found in Clause 14.

### 5.3.15 Remote connectivity

The substation state and events are communicated to external entities such as the control centre, for instance over dedicated lines or through a public or private data network (out of scope). A gateway maintains an image of the substation, so direct access to the IEDs is not needed.

Some operators require that the IEDs be accessible from outside of the substation for maintenance or upgrade. To this purpose, the IEDs have an external (public) IP address that must be mapped to the internal (private) IP address within the substation. This mapping is preferably performed by a dedicated gateway or router with firewall functionality. Remote connectivity is not further considered in this document.

### 5.3.16 Cyber security

When the substation network is connected to the corporate WAN or remotely accessed, cyber security must be ensured. The best way is not to allow external access except through controlled gateways where this is needed. Even where the network is completely isolated and no remote equipment is connected, security mechanisms in the networking equipment are recommended, for instance syslogs, security audit trails, passwords, access control, port security, and encryption since they also increase resiliency against configuration and installation errors.

While encryption of messages may be requested outside of the substation, within the relatively well-sheltered environment of a substation, security through authentication is deemed sufficient. An extensive threat assessment is recommended before deploying security measures, given the added complexity in implementation and management that it costs.

Provisions for security exist in IEC 61850-8-1, IEC 61850-9-2, IEC/TR 61850-90-5 and Annex K of IEC 61588:2009, but most work is at the experimental level. A particular problem is to conciliate the overhead due to security with the high communication and short delays required for real-time communication.

Details can be found in IEC/TS 62351-6, cyber-security is not further considered in this document.

### 5.3.17 Scalability, upgradeability and future-proof

To upgrade firmware or hardware, add new applications or new bays without significant modifications of the core infrastructure, the network must provide reserve capacity (e.g. using 1 Gigabit Ethernet links between bridges where 100 Mbit/s would be sufficient) and must be properly segmented. Selecting equipment with built-in support for upgrades supports future extensions. This aspect is considered in the topologies of Clause 7.

### 5.3.18 Testing

Once the network has been designed, its compliance to the requirements needs to be tested, first as a design verification, then during factory acceptance tests and finally at site acceptance. Guidance is given in Clause 18.

NOTE Conformance testing is out-of-scope and specified in IEC 61850-10.

### 5.3.19 Cost

The network cost is conditioned by the available budget. Cost is usually divided into an investment cost and a maintenance cost. The economic calculations need to consider the materials and engineering costs derived from the complexity of the network design and configuration, as well as the maintenance and replacement costs. For instance, saving through cheaper copper cabling can be more than offset by costs of the time spent in fault seeking. This aspect is not further developed.

## 6 Ethernet technology for substations

### 6.1 Ethernet subset for substation automation

There exist a large number of tutorials on Ethernet technology and Clause 6 is no replacement for them. Substation automation however contemplates only a subset of the Ethernet and Internet protocols and ignores legacy restrictions.

Therefore, engineers need to be aware only of a few options, which are summarized in Clause 6.

Since most of Clause 6 can be applied to any industrial automation network, the application to IEC 61850 or substations is contained in notes, which point to the corresponding detailed application-specific explanations.

### 6.2 Topology

Ethernet is a “switched network”, consisting of “nodes” and “switches” connected by point-to-point links, as shown in Figure 13.

Switches operating at layer 2 (only with MAC addresses) are named “bridges”, so “bridge” is used whenever the layer-2 functionality of a switch is meant.

NOTE Hubs are signal repeaters and even simpler than unmanaged bridges, but they are not in use any more since, unlike bridges, they cannot prevent collisions.

In Figure 13, the yellow “LAN” cloud considers the part of the network that is not a node, but includes the bridges. Nodes are attached by edge links, while bridges are connected among themselves with trunk links that carry a higher traffic than edge links and therefore require more bandwidth. Meshing introduces redundant trunk links (as any of the trunk links in Figure 13) and means such as RSTP exist to prevent “loops”, i.e. frames that circulate indefinitely.




**Figure 13 – Ethernet local area network (with redundant links)**

Nodes called “bridging nodes” incorporate bridge functionality for a limited number of ports (normally two). These devices, in particular Doubly Attached Nodes in HSR (DANHs), combine the properties of nodes and bridges and allow to daisy-chain the devices, as shown in Figure 13 (right side).

Switches are bridging nodes that have multiple ports and replicate the traffic received from one port to one or more other ports if no filtering applies. They can have additional functionalities, such as SNMP and clock synchronization.

Latency increases with the number of bridges in series multiplied by their forwarding delay, so the number of hops is limited in any network. Some protocols such as RSTP limit the number of bridges to about 40.

Figure 14 shows a bridge with connected links.



**Figure 14 – Switch with copper (RJ45) ports)**

NOTE IEC 61850 does not precisely define the Ethernet technology in order to account for technical progress, but switched Ethernet is implicit.

### 6.3 Physical layer

#### 6.3.1 Data rate and medium

A network usually includes links with different speeds. For instance, it makes sense to connect end nodes to bridges with cheaper 100 Mbit/s links and bridges-to-bridge (trunk links) with 1 Gbit/s links. The distance that can be covered decreases with increasing data rate since the product bandwidth  $\times$  distance is a fundamental limit of each medium (optical fibre or copper).

NOTE IEC 61850 considers two physical layers (copper and fibre) and two bit rates (100 Mbit/s and 1 Gbit/s). Future extensions are possible. Special cases such as substation-to-substation communication require different speeds or even LAN protocols. While copper can be used within cabinets, multimode optical fibres are the choice where galvanic separation is needed. Specific applications characterized by large distances between IEDs, such as substation-to-substation differential protection or wind towers separated by several kilometres require special modems, radio connections or single mode fibre.

#### 6.3.2 Full-duplex communication and auto-negotiation

Ethernet controllers operate in full-duplex mode, meaning that they can simultaneously send and receive over the same link. Some older Ethernet controllers start by default in half-duplex mode, a source of configuration error to be checked.

In full-duplex, the limitation to 100 m in IEEE 802.3 imposed by the signal propagation delays of half-duplex does not apply any more, since no collisions are expected.

Ethernet controllers can detect the bit rate and duplex setting of the other party on a link through auto-negotiation. This functionality is generally bound with the ability to detect correct polarity of the cables, i.e. avoid using crossed cables. Caution is needed, since incorrect settings cause duplex mismatch that leads to frame losses.

NOTE 1 Carrier extension to extend slot size to 512 bytes is only required in 1000BASE-X half duplex and does not apply here.

NOTE 2 IEC 61850 assumes that communication is full-duplex and auto-negotiated, i.e. the peer ports are configured to recognize automatically the polarity, the duplex setting and highest common speed.

#### 6.3.3 Copper cabling at 100 Mbit/s

Copper cabling is a cost-effective medium, supported by most PCs, but it can only be used when galvanic separation is not needed. Indeed, the transformer-coupling offers only a limited voltage separation.

The recommended link cable is Cat5e, which contains two twisted pairs and allows spanning about 100 m.

The preferred connector is RJ45 (of which only 4 pins are used) as shown in Figure 15.




**Figure 15 – RJ45 connector**

NOTE 1 The RJ45 connector is popular, but not particularly robust, can fall out of the receptacle under vibrations and does not withstand a shoe stepping on it. More robust connectors such as M12 are foreseen for outdoor applications, but could not impose themselves in substations. The practical solution is to fasten the cables by routing them through trays rather than using binders.

Only direct cables should be used (no crossover cables) since most bridges are capable of recognizing the transmitter and receiver pair in the cable, a capability known as Auto-MDI(X).

Over distance less than 100 m, copper cables are more limited by EMC factors than by the cable attenuation. This kind of cabling should only be used between devices that are galvanically connected and where the EM disturbances are low.

There exist two kinds of cables: wires (single wire) for fixed installation on the wall side of the sockets and cables (multi-wire, stranded wire or litz wire) between sockets and moving equipment such as PCs. Wire is cheaper than litz for building installations, but its use is not recommended since it is not vibration-proof and it cannot be inserted into an RJ45 plug.

NOTE 2 In IEC 61850, copper cabling is recommended within cabinets, e.g. to connect bridges directly with IEDs, where disturbances are small and galvanical separation is uncritical.

### 6.3.4 Optical cabling at 100 Mbit/s (100BASE-FX)

#### 6.3.4.1 Fibre

The 100BASE-FX technology uses a pair of fibres, allowing bridging 2 000 m for multimode fibres and of 10 000 m for single mode fibres.

The recommended optical cable is a paired multimode 50 µm (50/125) fibres.

The colour of the cables is not prescribed. In redundant installations, it is recommended to use cables of different colours and darkness for each LAN, for instance orange and light blue cables. HSR rings have been using yellow cables.

NOTE 1 Individual optical cables using ST connectors are still widely in use, which calls for labelling individually the fibres. The move to paired cables and LC connectors relieves from identifying correctly the fibres.

NOTE 2 62,5  $\mu\text{m}$  (62,5/125) fibres provide a somehow lower bandwidth  $\times$  distance performance than 50  $\mu\text{m}$  fibres, so they are interchangeable with 50  $\mu\text{m}$  fibres in many, but not all applications. Keeping a homogeneous 50  $\mu\text{m}$  fibre repair stock ensures appropriate fibre replacement.

NOTE 3 Single-mode fibres span 10 km or more, but require that the fibre, sender and receiver be matched. They are typically used for trunk links between remote areas, when conditions dictate it since they are more costly, less reliable and transmitter/receiver are special.

Multiple core fibre optic cables can be advantageous for star topologies and for reserving spares.

#### 6.3.4.2 Connector

The recommended connector type is LC (IEC 61754-20), as shown in Figure 16.




Figure 16 – LC connector

NOTE 1 ST-bayonet (IEC 61754-2) were the preferred connectors of IEEE 802.3 and are still widely used, but LC connectors have a smaller form factor and avoid fibre inversion. Patch fibres with ST connector at one end and LC at the other allow connecting bridges and nodes of different type.

NOTE 2 Apart from ST and LC connector there are also SC and MTRJ connectors which are not recommended. SC has the same issue with identifying fibres as ST. MTRJ connector has more complex mechanical design than LC and therefore is more susceptible to losses and poor performance, especially at higher bit rates such as 1 Gbit/s.

Figure 17 shows an example of a bridge with three ports connected by LC connectors.



**Figure 17 – Switch with optical fibres (LC connectors)**

NOTE In IEC 61850, optical cables are used whenever galvanic separation is needed, for instance between remote cabinets or between buildings.

### **6.3.5 Optical cabling at 1 Gbit/s (1000BASE-LX)**

1000BASE-LX is the recommended medium for the trunk links.

The 1 000 BASE-LX10 can use the same fibres as 100BASE-FX.

The recommended fibre type is 50  $\mu\text{m}$  (50/125) multimode (up to 550 m) or mono-mode (up to 10 000 m). The two kinds of fibre have different symbols.

### **6.3.6 Copper cabling at 1 Gbit/s**

This cabling is not foreseen in IEC 61850-8-1 or in IEC 61850-9-2.

NOTE Copper wiring for 1 Gbit/s is possible with high-quality cables, but is not encouraged due to practical problems, such as EMC and a link recovery time in the order of 500 ms after a disruption. Also, keeping two cable types on stock which look similar can cause confusion. Use of optical links is encouraged instead.

## **6.4 Link layer**

### **6.4.1 Unicast and multicast MAC addresses**

Each frame carries a 48-bit source and destination address, the latter either unicast (single destination), multicast (group of destinations) or broadcast (sent to all nodes on the local area network). The IEEE allocates the source addresses to the manufacturers and the multicast addresses bands to the standardization bodies.

Multicast addresses are recognized because the least significant bit of the first octet is set, e.g. 01-1B-19-00-00-00 for PTP.

Some multicast addresses are reserved and may not be forwarded by bridges. These addresses are listed in IEEE 802.1Q-2011 Table 8-1, these addresses are mainly reserved for peer-to-peer traffic such as RSTP, LLDP or PTP.

A multicast domain is the set of all devices that receive a certain multicast address.

An Ethernet controller decodes the destination address of a received frame and only passes the frame to its application if the destination address matches its own or if the multicast address belongs to its table of subscribed addresses. However, not all Ethernet controllers implement multicast filtering.

Setting the controller in promiscuous mode (e.g. for traffic monitoring) causes it to receive all traffic, but burdens correspondingly the processor.

NOTE In IEC 61850, as Table 38 shows, the MMS and FTP traffic use unicast addresses; the GOOSE, the SV and the PTP traffic use multicast addresses; few protocols such as ARP use broadcast addresses.

#### **6.4.2 Link layer and bridges**

All ports of the bridges are assumed to operate in full-duplex mode.

The bridges operate on the basis of the MAC addresses and partially on the Ethertype.

In principle, a bridge repeats a frame received on one port to all its other ports. This is however restricted by several mechanisms:

- loop prevention (e.g. by executing RSTP);
- MAC address filtering, in which a bridge learns the MAC address of the devices attached to a port and only replicates a unicast frame to that port – this mechanism cannot be disabled;
- multicast or VLAN traffic filtering;
- redundancy control (e.g. HSR or PRP).

#### **6.4.3 Bridging nodes**

Bridging nodes implement a subset of the bridges functionality; they at least allow forwarding of the traffic and can sometimes prevent loops.

NOTE In IEC 61850, the bridging nodes protocols considered are RSTP and HSR. PRP is not a bridging protocol.

#### **6.4.4 Loop prevention and RSTP**

Switched Ethernet allows more than one path from one source to a destination, creating redundant paths, intentionally or not. This creates physical loops in the network. Such loops would cause a 'broadcast storm' where broadcast or multicast frames would circulate endlessly and create copies, consuming all available bandwidth and flooding the network. This would also apply to unicast frames at initialization time. To prevent this, a protocol executed by the bridges maintains a logical tree structure (without loops) and ensures that the best path is used. This protocol also ensures recovery from the failure of a bridge or a link.

RSTP is the most widely used loop breaking protocol.

RSTP is executed by the bridges of the network and not by the end devices.

RSTP converts an arbitrarily meshed network to a logical tree, by blocking ports that would introduce loops on the physical level (see Figure 18).




Figure 18 – RSTP principle

To this effect, bridges are assigned priorities and links are assigned costs depending on their speed. Each bridge also has a unique MAC address. Each bridge sends peer-to-peer messages to its neighbours, called BPDUs (Bridge Protocol Data Units) that indicate to its neighbours its priority and the costs to reach the root bridge. BPDUs are periodically exchanged between bridges to detect and correct network topology changes.

Initially, the bridges decide among themselves which bridge plays the role of root. The bridge with the lowest bridge priority will be elected as root. In case two or more bridges have the same priority, the bridge with the lowest MAC address is elected as the root bridge.

The Root Bridge sits at the top of the hierarchy (independently of its physical location).

The path costs consider the number of intermediate bridges to the root, the communication speed of the link between the bridges.

When a bridge can reach the root through several of its ports, it chooses the port with the cheapest costs as root port and blocks the other ports, which become “alternate ports”. The port on the other side of the root port becomes the “designated port”. If path costs are equal, the port number is used to break the tie.

In case a bridge detects that a link to its designated port becomes inactive (no more BPDUs or physical link failure), the bridge unblocks the alternate port with the lowest costs to the root and routes the traffic through this one. However, if the root node fails, a bridge without connection to the root assumes that it is itself the new root and sends BPDUs announcing zero costs to the root (itself). Since several nodes could react the same way at the same time, when two nodes pretend to be root, the one with the lower priority and bridge MAC address demotes the other.

RSTP assumes that the bridges are configurable. Assignment of the root bridge improves performance and lowers the reconfiguration time in case of failure of a link or switch.

The use of RSTP as a redundancy protocol is detailed in 6.4.10.2.

NOTE IEC 61850 considers as loop breaking protocols RSTP and HSR (see 6.4.10.4) which is based on a different principle.

#### 6.4.5 Traffic control in the bridges

Traffic control serves different purposes:

- reducing the traffic that an end device handles, by letting the bridge send only the relevant part of the traffic to the end device. End devices normally do not filter traffic except if their hardware or controller is able to decode the MAC addresses;
- reducing traffic on shared (trunk) links and portions of the network;
- assigning priority to a class of traffic.

#### 6.4.6 Unicast MAC address filtering

To reduce traffic, all bridges filter the unicast traffic towards the egress ports. To this effect, a bridge learns the MAC address of the devices accessible over each port.

When the bridge receives a frame over an ingress port, it registers its MAC address into its “filtering database”. It then searches its filtering database to find out if the MAC destination address of the received frame has been registered and for which port. If it is registered, it directs this unicast frame to that port only; otherwise it sends it to all ports.

Nodes on the other ports are therefore not disturbed by traffic not addressed to them after the first frame exchange. The MAC address filtering is done automatically by the bridges and cannot normally be disabled.

A bridge does not apply MAC address filtering to multicast traffic, since all nodes are the destination.

Address filtering prevents a monitoring device connected to one port to gain access to the whole traffic. For maintenance purpose, port mirroring is used.

NOTE 1 In IEC 61850, the MAC address filtering only reduces the MMS or other IP traffic, since the GOOSE and SV traffic is multicast.

NOTE 2 The performance of MAC address filtering depends on the technology used by the bridge to learn the MAC address of ingressing frames. Bridges that “hash” the 48-bit MAC addresses down to a lower number of bits suffer from occasional hash collisions, causing some frames to be broadcast rather than unicast. Bridges using CAMs (Content Addressable RAMs) or sorted-lists do not have this problem.

#### 6.4.7 Multicast MAC address filtering

##### 6.4.7.1 Principles of multicast filtering

By default, a bridge port forwards all multicast frames.

When multicast traffic is significant, multicast filtering can reduce the processor load of the end devices by letting through only those multicast addresses the end device is interested in. End devices normally have no multicast filtering ability, so the edge port on the bridge does the filtering on their behalf.

To reduce multicast traffic, a port of a managed bridge has a configurable multicast filtering table, which indicates which multicast addresses may egress from that port.

A multicast filter on a port is always associated with a VLAN, since the same multicast address could appear on different VLANs, see 6.4.8. This reminds that a multicast domain is a subset of a VLAN. Multicast filtering however does not require the use of VLANs in the network, and can operate with the default VID =1 (management VLAN) throughout the LAN.

Multicast filtering can also be applied to trunk ports, to limit the traffic to multicast domains.

Therefore, it is important to assign correctly the multicast addresses at the engineering stage.

NOTE The assignment of multicast domains to substation automation regions is described in 12.3. In IEC 61850-6, the multicast addresses an IED is subscribed to can be deduced from the SCD file and from the CID.

#### 6.4.7.2 Static multicast management

In static multicast management, the multicast filters of the ports of the bridges are set explicitly at engineering time.

The bridges are configured using the manufacturer's tools (until generic configuration tools emerge). Configuration loading takes place e.g. via a serial line to the bridge, through a web interface or through the SNMP protocol.

NOTE Clause 19 models bridges as IEC 61850 objects and provides means for a generic bridge configuration. This allows to configure the bridges over MMS. For IEDs that support multicast filtering, the configuration can be loaded through the CID file.

#### 6.4.7.3 Dynamic multicast management

If the end devices are configured with the multicast addresses they are subscribed to, they can claim filtering from the port of the bridge they are attached to using management protocols via GMRP or MMRP, that build a multicast tree.

To this effect, all end devices need to implement at least a "simple applicant" functionality.

While this method allows a certain "plug-and-play", the resulting network traffic can hardly be predicted.

The use of dynamic multicast control protocols is not recommended for inexperienced staff. Indeed, to ensure predictable operation, the whole traffic must be calculated before the plant is ever put into operation. The introduction of dynamic multicast domains could overload the network, especially when the network is being reconfigured after a failure or after reboot. Indeed, such protocols initially operate with all multicast filters removed and establish the traffic limitations as nodes announce their transmission needs.

NOTE Dynamic multicast management offers some benefits for a fast replacement of IEDs or bridges, but is more complex to engineer, less predictive and more difficult to troubleshoot.

### 6.4.8 Virtual LANs (VLANs) traffic control

VLANs is a method to separate types of traffic that share the medium, for instance:

- Management traffic;
- Substation automation traffic;
- Surveillance camera video traffic;
- Industrial automation traffic in mixed plants.

VLANs just separate traffics, there are not intended to reduce trunk traffic. Usually, trunk links have a higher bandwidth than edge links, so it is not necessary to segment them.

In principle, a device on VLAN 1 cannot even see that a device on VLAN 2 exists. Devices on different VLANs influence each other only by the bandwidth they consume because they nevertheless share the same physical medium. If necessary, communication between VLANs takes place over a layer 3 router.

VLANs divide layer-2 broadcast domains (which define how far broadcast, multicast and unicast traffic travels) and serve as a first security barrier, since the access to the VLAN is entirely governed by the bridges. A device connected inadvertently to the wrong port will not be able to communicate. However, VLANs provide only a weak data security, since any misconfiguration in the network is a potential loophole and configuration is not supervised.

The end devices connected to the edge ports are normally VLAN-unaware.

To support VLANs, the IEEE 802.3 frames carry a header, called the VLAN tag according to IEEE 802.1Q, which has two functions:

- prioritization of traffic;
- logical segregation of traffic.

The VLAN tag is announced by a specific Ethertype (0x8100), followed by a 3 bit priority field called Priority Code Point (PCP), a one-bit canonical format indicator that can be neglected here and a 12-bit field containing the VLAN identifier, or VID (see Figure 19).




**Figure 19 – IEEE 802.3 frame format without and with VLAN tagging**

Bridges typically support 64 VLANs, some up to 255 VLANs simultaneously. Several values are reserved:

- VID = 1 is the default management VLAN for VLAN aware bridges. By default, all bridge ports are members in VLAN 1 and are configured to send untagged frames. This enables VLAN aware bridges to work “out of the box” without further configuration. Using VID=1 throughout the LAN yields the same behaviour as if there were no VLANs, except that the bridges supports priority;
- VID = 0 is a particular case, since it means that the frame does not belong to any VLAN, but nevertheless carries a priority. Such frames are called “priority-tagged”;
- VID = 4095 is a reserved VLAN, not to be used.

NOTE 1 In IEC 61850, GOOSE and SV frames are priority-tagged (see 6.4.8.1 and 6.4.8.2).

NOTE 2 The application of these concepts to substation network configuration is described in 12.2.1.

NOTE 3 Double tagging (QinQ) is a special case, in which frames carry two tags, not detailed here, but mentioned in IEC/TR 61850-90-1.

#### 6.4.8.1 Priority and quality of service (QoS)

Giving frames a high priority privileges a certain class of traffic. However, priority alone cannot ensure end-to-end timely delivery, it can only reduce the average delay that a certain traffic suffers. It is a necessary, but not sufficient contribution to the Quality of Service.

Priority means that a bridge that receives several frames simultaneously will forward one frame preferentially, while the others remain queued. To this purpose, bridges use one sending queue per priority per port. Some bridges have one distinct queue per priority. Supporting a large number of queues (8 priorities are allowed by IEEE 802.1Q) imposes a burden on the bridges, so most bridges support only four queues to which the priorities are mapped.

Priority tagging and VLANs are specified in the same standard IEEE 802.1Q, but they are separate concepts that share the same tag. Priority alone is often referred to as IEEE 802.1p (prioritization), an obsolete publication now part of the IEEE 802.1Q-2011 standard.

If the frame carries no IEEE 802.1Q tag, a bridge port assigns it its default priority, the PCP and its default VLAN PVID.

To give some frames a high-priority without associating them with a VLAN different from the port's default, the source sends them as "priority-tagged", with a PCP value >0 and VID = 0 (see 6.4.8.1 and 6.4.8.2).

NOTE IEC 61850 prescribes that GOOSE and SV frames are priority-tagged, so the bridges treat them preferentially, but it does not prescribe VLANs. The default for GOOSE and SV is therefore VID = 0 (no VLAN). The priorities are assigned at engineering stage and recorded in the SCD file.

#### 6.4.8.2 VLAN bridge behaviour

##### 6.4.8.2.1 Ingress to a bridge

From which VLAN a bridge accepts frames on its ingress ports depends on the port settings. According to IEEE 802.1Q-2011, chapter 6.9, a bridge port implements one of the following modes:

- Admit only VLAN-tagged frames;
- Admit only untagged and priority-tagged frames;
- Admit all frames (not VLAN-aware).

To support IEDs that send a mixed tagged (GOOSE, SV) and untagged (MMS, other) traffic, the default option is "Admit all frames".

The tagging of untagged and priority tagged frames is based on the Port VLAN ID, called the PVID and its default priority PCP.

NOTE 1 Even if the priority configured at a specific bridge port is 'better' than the PCP received in a frame, the bridge still uses the PCP received in the frame and not the port priority PCP for VLAN encapsulation.

NOTE 2 An ingress port of a VLAN-aware bridge always tags the internal bridge traffic.

NOTE 3 The IEEE 802.1Q method called "Ingress filtering" allows bridge ports with enabled ingress filtering to discard all frames upon ingress which do not have a VLAN ID that is a member in the PVMS of that port. This feature is used to offload bridges, e.g. prevent VLAN tagged traffic from being introduced into the bridge when the bridge has no member port configured for that particular VLAN, as the introduced traffic would be discarded inside the bridge anyway. This feature has been ignored since most traffic is unacknowledged.

When a VLAN-aware port receives a frame, it considers three cases:

- a) The frame is VLAN-tagged with VID ≠ 0. In this case, the port accepts the frame (except if it implements ingress filtering, which is ignored here, see Note 3). This is the normal situation on the trunk ports;

- b) The frame is priority tagged only (with VID = 0). The port ignores its own PPCP and inherits the frame's priority and inserts its PVID to form the VLAN tag. This is shown in Figure 57, where both GOOSE and MMS from SAN A are encapsulated into VLAN 3.
- c) The frame is untagged. The port prefixes the frame by a VLAN tag with its own PVID and priority PPCP; the frame will now transit with a VLAN tag.

The tagging of untagged and priority tagged frames is based on the Port VLAN ID, called the PVID and its default priority PPCP.

Table 2 shows an example of a bridge ingress table showing the modes and port properties.

**Table 2 – Example of port ingress setting table**

| VID    | Ingress             | PVID | PPCP |
|--------|---------------------|------|------|
| Port 1 | Admit all           | 1    | 4    |
| Port 2 | Admit only tagged   | 3    | 6    |
| Port 3 | Admit only tagged   | 3    | 6    |
| Port 4 | Admit only tagged   | 3    | 4    |
| Port 5 | Admit only tagged   | 3    | 5    |
| Port 6 | Admit only untagged | 2    | 5    |
| Port 7 | Admit all           | 1    | 4    |
| Port 8 | Admit all           | 1    | 4    |

#### 6.4.8.2.2 Egress from a bridge

Once the frame has entered the bridge, it is forwarded to all other ports of the bridge if the frame has a broadcast or multicast destination address or to one specific port if the frame has a unicast MAC address that the bridge already registered, as explained in 6.4.5.

The egress from a port of a bridge is controlled by the port VLAN member set (PVMS), which defines from which VLANs this port will forward the frames, and if it sends them tagged or untagged.

- An egress port sends the frame only if the VID belongs to the port membership set PVMS. Thus, if no port has been configured to be member of a certain VLAN, network traffic in this VLAN is dropped inside the bridge.
- A port can forward frames either tagged or untagged. If configured to forward tagged, the port sends the frame without a change. If configured to forward untagged, the port removes the VLAN tag including the PCP (and recalculates the frame's FCS).

If VID and priority has to be preserved throughout the network, forwarding untagged should only be used if the port is an edge port linked to a node that cannot interpret VLAN tagged frames.

Usually, the PVMS is defined as a port / VLAN matrix, as shown in Table 3.

**Table 3 – Example of port egress settings**

| VLAN | Traffic | Port 1 | Port 2 | Port 3 | Port 4 | Port 5 | Port 6 | Port 7 | Port 8 |
|------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1    | Mgmt    | U,D    | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      | T,D    | T,D    |
| 2    | Video   | U      | -      | -      | -      | -      | T,D    | T      | T      |
| 3    | App     | U      | U,D    | U,D    | U,D    | U,D    | -      | T      | T      |

U: send untagged  
T: send tagged  
-: do not send  
D: default (PVID)

In the example of Table 3,

- Port 1 is a member of 3 VLANs, PVMS = {1, 2, 3}, its PVID is 1, its default priority is 4. It could be a management device. This device cannot access the video and application traffic.
- Ports 2-5 send App traffic untagged. It does not send Mgmt traffic nor video traffic.
- Port 6 is for video traffic, its traffic is tagged.
- Ports 7 and 8 are trunk ports, they send all traffic tagged and include all VLANs.

#### 6.4.8.3 VLAN configuration

The complexity of the configuration depends on the network topology and the number of nodes and bridges. Assistance through an engineering tool is highly recommended.

Static VLAN configuration using a network management and configuration tool assigns explicitly the priority and VIDs to all device ports. For this, the tool evaluates the (redundant) paths from a publisher to all subscribers (see 12.3.1).

Dynamic VLAN configuration by registration protocols such as GVRP or MVRP avoid the explicit configuration of the bridges, since all devices broadcast their VLAN settings (which have been previously configured by the tools). Therefore replacement of bridges is simplified. Dynamic allocation requires that all bridges and nodes support this protocol. Traffic bursts during initialization and reconfiguration are however hard to predict.

Substation automation should avoid dynamic VLAN assignment. Rules for VLAN configuration are given in 12.2.

#### 6.4.8.4 VLANs and spanning tree protocols

Bridge vendors implement spanning tree in different manners such as one spanning tree for all VLANs or one spanning tree for a defined number of VLANs or a spanning tree per VLAN. Whenever possible, a single spanning tree for all VLANs should be used. A per-VLAN spanning tree, as for instance standardized as MSTP, is useful in special cases, but one must be aware that the loss of one bridge can affect several VLANs, since the VLANs share the same physical hardware.

Substation automation should avoid multiple spanning tree if not mandated by application requirements.

### 6.4.9 Comparison VLAN versus multicast filtering

Filtering by VLAN and by multicast filtering are complementary concepts, at different levels. Multicast filtering is applied within the broadcast domain of one VLAN.

Table 4 summarizes the pros and cons of VLAN and multicast filtering.

**Table 4 – Advantages and drawbacks of VLAN versus multicast filtering**

| Multicast filtering advantages                                                                                                                                                                                         | VLAN usage advantages                                                                                                                                                 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| No need for an 802.1Q support in IEDs<br>Works with default switch settings (VID=1, PCP =0).<br>Easy to use and supervise without port mirroring.<br>Multicast addresses are always used and form the bulk of traffic. | Applies to all traffic, including unicast.<br>Separation by VLANs ensures a first level of security, or at least some protection against bad configuration.           |
| Multicast filtering drawbacks                                                                                                                                                                                          | VLAN usage drawbacks                                                                                                                                                  |
| Is useful only when a large part of the traffic is multicast traffic.                                                                                                                                                  | Rigid network partition.<br>Top level devices such as SCADA must send VLAN-tagged frames to communicate with devices in different VLANs.<br>Large engineering effort. |

### 6.4.10 Layer 2 redundancy protocols

#### 6.4.10.1 Overview

To increase dependability, additional network elements, bridges and links are introduced. These redundant elements would not be needed in the fault-free state, but they impact procurement and maintenance costs.

Redundancy has a strong impact on the network infrastructure, since in the extreme case it implies duplicating all network elements. Redundancy restricts the possible network topology to avoid single point of failure, which also impacts the non-redundant parts of the network.

A network should be designed from the start with full redundancy, but in such a way that redundancy can be removed for the parts that do not need it. The reverse approach requires in most cases a complete reengineering of the network.

Numerous protocols provide partial or full network redundancy; the concepts are described in IEC 62439-1.

The remaining of Clause 6 gives an overview over the different redundancy protocols, which are then applied in Clause 7.

NOTE In IEC 61850-8-1, the redundancy protocols are RSTP, PRP and HSR, in IEC 61850-9-2 these are PRP and HSR.

#### 6.4.10.2 RSTP and IEC 62439-1

Although RSTP is primarily intended for automatic LAN configuration and loop prevention, it provides redundancy against link and bridge failures. It does however not provide resiliency against link failures to end devices. Loss of a bridge usually causes the loss of all attached devices.

Although RSTP does not provide seamless recovery in case of trunk link or bridge failure, it recovers fast enough for most applications that use the station bus.

IEC 62439-1:2010 shows how to calculate the worst case recovery time of RSTP in generalized meshed or tree topologies, knowing the actual topology of the network, the installation diameter (number of participating devices) can be computed at design time and the maximum recovery time can be computed.

IEC 62439-1:2010 shows that RSTP can achieve recovery times upon a root bridge failure that are suitable for the IEC 61850-8-1 traffic in selected topologies, provided that several parameters, in particular the response delay to BPDUs and the deactivation of RSTP on non-ring ports be restricted beyond what IEEE 802.1D-2011 requires.

EXAMPLE RSTP specifies a time-out of 2 s per bridge, which is impracticable for substation automation. However, if all bridges respond to a BPDU in less than 5 ms, as many do today, a ring of such bridges exhibits a recovery time below 200 ms for 40 nodes.

#### 6.4.10.3 PRP and IEC 62439-3

PRP is a layer 2 redundancy protocol that provides seamless operation in case of loss of any link or bridge. PRP is specified in Clause 4 of IEC 62439-3:2012.

PRP relies on complete duplication of the LAN (see Figure 20). Both LANs operate in parallel and each individually can use RSTP.

A PRP node (called a doubly attached node or DANP) has two ports, one for each redundant LAN. Within a node, both ports are merged at the link layer and presents themselves to the upper protocol stack as one single network interface.

A source DANP sends the same frame on both LANs, appending to the payload a six-octet trailer which contains a protocol identifier and a sequence number.

The destination nodes receive the first frame of a pair and discard the duplicate frame on the base of its source address and of its sequence number.




Figure 20 – PRP principle

The 6-octet PRP trailer is ignored by SANs and passed on by bridges. To this effect, all devices in the network must be able to handle oversize frames. Although IEEE 802.3 prescribes a maximum frame size of 1 518 octets, all modern Ethernet controllers and bridges

are able to handle oversize frames of 1 528 and more octets. But some care is needed here for legacy devices.

The protocol is thus transparent to the upper layers. PRP can be implemented in software with two standard Ethernet controllers since a node does not forward frames from port to port.

Since both ports of a DANP use the same MAC address, the two LANs must not be connected, so PRP devices regularly send supervision frames to detect a wrong configuration.

Non-PRP nodes with a single port (Singly Attached Nodes, SAN), e.g. off-the-shelf printers or laptops, can be attached to any LAN and communicate within that LAN with all other devices, but without benefiting from redundancy. Bridges do not need to be PRP-aware.

Non-PRP nodes can be attached to both LANs through one – and only one – RedBox (RB).

NOTE In IEC 61850, PRP is principally applied to the station bus in complex substations, due to the presence of numerous singly-attached nodes, coexistence of duplicated and simplex network segments and extension capability.

#### 6.4.10.4 HSR and IEC 62439-3

HSR is specified in Clause 5 of IEC 62439-3:2012 and provides seamless failover. HSR applies the principle of frame duplication of PRP to a ring of nodes, achieving redundancy through only one single additional link. Nodes in HSR have (at least) two ports, the nodes are daisy-chained, with each one node connected to two neighbour nodes, the last node being connected to the first node and closing the line to a physical ring structure (see Figure 21).

NOTE 1 HSR is not restricted to a ring topology.

To achieve seamless redundancy, a node sends the same frame into both directions on the ring and the destination nodes receive – in the fault-free case – two frame duplicates on both network ports.

To achieve this, an HSR node (called a doubly attached node or DANH) has two ports, one for each direction. Within a node, both ports are merged at the link layer and present themselves to the upper protocol stack as one single network interface. The protocol is thus transparent to the upper layers.

Since HSR forwards frames from port to port in less than 5  $\mu$ s, it is supported by hardware.

To allow cut-through, HSR frames use a special tag that is not understood by off-the-shelf devices, therefore singly attached nodes (SANs) must be attached through a RedBox.

HSR can operate without dedicated bridges and thus save hardware.




Figure 21 – HSR principle

NOTE 2 In IEC 61850, HSR is principally applied to small substations and to the process bus.

#### 6.4.10.5 HSR/PRP Redundancy Boxes (RedBoxes)

IEC 62439-3:2012 describes how to couple non-redundant network segments to PRP networks and HSR rings through PRP/HSR Redundancy Boxes (RedBoxes). These devices take a delegate function for all non-HSR or non-PRP devices on the networks “behind” the respective RedBox. These devices can also be used to couple HSR to PRP and/or HSR to HSR, as shown in Figure 22.




Figure 22 – HSR and PRP coupling (multicast)

## 6.5 Network layer

### 6.5.1 Internet protocol

Routers interconnect LANs at layer 3, using the IP addresses. Routers are borders of layer 2 broadcast/multicast domains, thus blocking the transmission of layer 2 multicast messages.

Routers execute the Internet Protocol and route the messages through the internet. The end devices only execute a small subset of the Internet Protocol.

The Internet Protocol version 4 (IPv4) has been the backbone of the internet since 1981. It has an address size of 32 bits. It is being replaced by IPv6 which offers a wider address space and additional services such as cyber-security.

NOTE Within a substation, IPv4 is sufficient. IPv6 is only considered outside of the substation, see 8.3.

### 6.5.2 IP public and private addresses

IPv4 addresses have a size of 32 bits. IPv4 distinguishes public (internet) addresses and private (intranet) addresses. Private addresses are reusable in several places, but are not

recognized over the internet, while public addresses are unique worldwide and registered with IANA. IPv4 public addresses are now exhausted, IANA only allocates IPv6 addresses.

Private IP addresses are taken from the block allocated by IANA, as specified in RFC 1918 (Table 5).

**Table 5 – IANA private IP address blocks (copied from RFC 1918)**

| Block | Range                          | Block type   | Device quantity |
|-------|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| A     | 10.0.0.0 to 10.255.255.255     | 24-bit block | 16 777 214      |
| B     | 172.16.0.0 to 172.31.255.255   | 20-bit block | 1 114 111       |
| C     | 192.168.0.0 to 192.168.255.255 | 16-bit block | 65 535          |

The size of the private address block depends on the number of devices that need to be connected:

A block C type is sufficient for most installations, but since many companies use a 10.X.X.X address for their intranet, development environments frequently use block A addresses, although this is not recommended if the production part is to be kept separated from the administrative part.

NOTE IEC 61850 specifies the MMS protocol which based in IP, TCP and uses layer 3 addresses. The IP address is selected to match the partition of the network, see 8.1.

### 6.5.3 Subnet masks

IPv4 subnet masks are used to determine whether the target IP address is within the same subnet (layer 2 multicast domain), in which case frames are sent directly to the MAC address of that device, or if the frame is sent to a router, in which case the frame is sent to the MAC address of the router.

A mask is a bit pattern that acts as a filter for ingoing and outgoing traffic. Devices can only directly communicate (via layer 2 MAC addresses) if the bits of the IP address that correspond to the “1”s in the mask are identical.

Since the mask is a local issue, all devices that need to communicate must be configured with the same subnet mask. Devices on different subnets can only communicate over a router, whose address must belong to the same subnet.

As an example, Table 6 shows that Device X can ping Device Y, but not Device Z.

**Table 6 – IP address and mask example**

| Device     | IP address (decimal) | IP address (hex) | IP address (binary)                 |
|------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Device X   |                      |                  |                                     |
| IP address | 192.168.1.15         | C0.A8.01.0F      | 11000000 10101000 00000001 00001111 |
| Net mask   | 255.255.255.224      | FF.FF.FF.E0      | 11111111 11111111 11111111 11100000 |
| Device Y   |                      |                  |                                     |
| IP address | 192.168.1.16         | C0.A8.01.10      | 11000000 10101000 00000001 00010000 |
| Net mask   | 255.255.255.224      | FF.FF.FF.E0      | 11111111 11111111 11111111 11100000 |
| Device Z   |                      |                  |                                     |
| IP address | 192.168.1.128        | C0.A8.01.40      | 11000000 10101000 00000001 01000000 |
| Net mask   | 255.255.255.224      | FF.FF.FF.E0      | 11111111 11111111 11111111 11100000 |

NOTE In a substation, the subnet mask is selected to match the partition of the network, see 8.1.

#### 6.5.4 Network address translation

If a subnet uses private addresses, they can only be accessed from the outside by introducing between the intranet and the internet a router that performs Network Address Translation (NAT), i.e. maps the intranet address to a temporary extranet address.

NAT and DHCP were originally introduced to allow reuse of IPv4 addresses, which are in short supply, assuming that only few users in a subnet would need to access the internet at the same time. This limitation does not exist anymore in IPv6.

NAT allows separating the addresses used within the private subnet from the address visible outside of the substation. For instance, two substations could use exactly the same private IP addresses internally, while engineering tools access them over different public addresses from the outside. The mapping is done by the router.

However, users are warned that such a router presents a security threat and that it should be used only if necessary and if used, be secured by firewalls and other intrusion protections. Proxy gateways allow to limit access to authorized users and roles and aggregate data.

NOTE Use of proxy gateways and security in substation is addressed in IEC/TR 61850-90-1 and IEC 62351-6.

### 7 Network and substation topologies

#### 7.1 General rule

The substation electrical topology is related with the voltage level and the protection application. The primary equipment layout and the physical location of IEDs make certain network topologies more suitable.

Although Ethernet can be configured freely, the topology of the communication system mirrors in general the topology of the electrical substation. For instance, there is ideally one group of IEDs per bay attached to a bridge and one network segment for each voltage level, as Figure 23 shows as an example.




**Figure 23 – Mapping of electrical grid to data network topology**

Exceptions to this rule are however numerous, the most common being that several voltage levels can be handled by the same subnet and IEDs can serve several bays.

The interconnection between IEDs varies between the extremes of a star topology to a daisy-chain or ring, with several variants. Usually, IEDs located in cabinets are connected star-wise to bridges, while the bridges are connected to form a ring.

In an extreme case of a wind farm, the geographical placement of wind towers favours daisy-chain or ring topologies. Sometimes, the physical topology is not externally visible, for instance in the case of multi-core fibre optical cables which are laid out like a bus, but provide a star connection to a central device.

## 7.2 Reference topologies and network redundancy

As a framework for network engineering, 7.3 analyses several reference network topologies for the station bus, the process bus and the connection of station bus with the process bus(es).

These reference topologies were chosen based on common practice in substation automation systems ranging from small distribution systems to large multi-voltage level substations. They are representative of the various networking issues described in this document.

Countless other topologies can be realized in actual IEC 61850 networks. There is no 'best' network topology and no 'best' redundancy protocol. They all have strengths and weaknesses and the correct choice for a given application depends on many factors.

Each reference topology depicts the network graphically as well as various characteristics of the topology including:

- benefits and disadvantages;
- ability to withstand points of failure;
- application suitability;
- protocol dependencies;
- relative cost;
- administration issues;
- redundancy.

Table 7 lists the reference topologies in terms of:

- simplicity of topology (configuration, number of nodes and links);
- traffic control;
- latency;
- redundancy;
- specificity (peculiarity) of a device or protocol;
- limitations.

NOTE The advantages are stated in relative terms, all of these architectures have been successfully used.

Table 8 lists the reference topologies detailed in 7.3 in terms of redundancy protocols.

**Table 7 – Summary of reference topologies**

| Topology                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                               | Ease of traffic control | Low latency | Availability | Low cost (from simplicity and specificity) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------|
| station bus                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | station bus as single bridge (7.3.1.1.1)                                      | +                       | +           | –            | +                                          |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | station bus as hierarchical star (7.3.1.1.2)                                  | +                       | +           | –            | +                                          |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | station bus as dual star with PRP and RSTP (7.3.1.1.3)                        | +                       | +           | +            | –                                          |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | station bus as ring of RSTP bridges (7.3.1.2.1)                               | 0                       | 0           | 0            | 0                                          |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | station bus as separated bridges for Main 1 and Main 2 protection (7.3.1.2.2) | 0                       | 0           | +            | 0                                          |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | station bus as ring of bridging nodes (7.3.1.2.3)                             | –                       | 0           | +            | +                                          |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | station bus as ring and subrings with RSTP (7.3.1.3.1)                        | 0                       | 0           | 0            | 0                                          |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | station bus as parallel rings (7.3.1.3.2)                                     | 0                       | +           | +            | 0                                          |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | station bus as ring of rings (7.3.1.3.3)                                      | 0                       | +           | +            | 0                                          |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | station bus as ring and subrings with HSR (7.3.1.3.6)                         | 0                       | 0           | +            | +                                          |
| process bus                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | process bus as connection of merging units (7.3.2.3.4)                        | +                       | +           | –            | +                                          |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | process bus as a single star (7.3.2.3.5)                                      | +                       | +           | –            | 0                                          |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | process bus as single bridge (7.3.2.3.5)                                      | +                       | +           | –            | +                                          |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | process bus as separated LANs for Main 1 and Main 2 (7.3.2.3.8)               | +                       | +           | +            | –                                          |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | process bus as dual redundant stars (7.3.2.3.6)                               | +                       | +           | +            | –                                          |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | process bus as ring of HSR (7.3.2.3.9)                                        | –                       | –           | +            | 0                                          |
| <p>“+” means that this topology has a relative advantage in target column.</p> <p>“0” means that this topology has characteristics similar to those of other topologies.</p> <p>“–” means that this topology has a relative disadvantage in target column.</p> <p>NOTE 1 Costs reflect capital expenditures, not operational expenditures or maintainability.</p> |                                                                               |                         |             |              |                                            |

**Table 8 – Reference topologies and redundancy protocols used**

|                             | Topology                                                                                    | Redundancy             | Remarks                                                                                                                      |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| station bus                 | station bus as single bridge (7.3.1.1.1)                                                    | none                   | No redundancy.                                                                                                               |
|                             | station bus as hierarchical star (7.3.1.1.2)                                                | none                   | No redundancy.                                                                                                               |
|                             | station bus as dual star with PRP and RSTP (7.3.1.1.3)                                      | RSTP                   | RSTP not needed in a star topology.                                                                                          |
|                             |                                                                                             | PRP                    | Devices without PRP interface use PRP RedBoxes for communication to both LANs.                                               |
|                             | station bus as ring of bridges (7.3.1.2.1)                                                  | RSTP                   | Deterministic reconfiguration according to IEC 62439-1:2010.                                                                 |
|                             | station bus as separated bridges for Main 1 and Main 2 protection (7.3.1.2.2)               | RSTP                   | Deterministic reconfiguration according to IEC 62439-1:2010.                                                                 |
|                             | station bus as ring of bridging nodes (7.3.1.2.3)                                           | RSTP                   | Deterministic reconfiguration according to IEC 62439-1:2010.                                                                 |
|                             |                                                                                             | HSR                    | Non HSR devices need to be connected via RedBoxes.                                                                           |
|                             | station bus as ring and subrings with RSTP (7.3.1.3.1)                                      | RSTP                   | See IEC 62439-1:2010 for network engineering aspects and recovery time.                                                      |
|                             | station bus as parallel rings (7.3.1.3.2)                                                   | RSTP                   | See IEC 62439-1:2010 for network engineering aspects and recovery time.                                                      |
| process bus                 | station bus as ring of rings (7.3.1.3.3)                                                    | RSTP                   | See IEC 62439-1:2010 for network engineering aspects and recovery time.                                                      |
|                             | station bus as ring and subrings with HSR (7.3.1.3.6)                                       | HSR                    | Coupling of rings must be done with QuadBoxes.                                                                               |
|                             | process bus as connection of merging units (7.3.2.3.4)                                      | NA                     | No redundancy.                                                                                                               |
|                             | process bus as single star topology (7.3.2.3.5)                                             | NA                     | No redundancy.                                                                                                               |
|                             | process bus as single bridge (7.3.2.3.7)                                                    | NA                     | No redundancy.                                                                                                               |
|                             | process bus as separated LANs for Main 1 and Main 2 (7.3.2.3.8)                             | application redundancy | Redundancy is provided by duplication of sensors, IEDs, Ethernet bridges and links.                                          |
| station bus and process bus | process bus as dual redundant stars (7.3.2.3.6)                                             | application redundancy | Redundancy is provided by duplication of sensors, IEDs and links.                                                            |
|                             | process bus as ring of HSR (7.3.2.3.9)                                                      | HSR                    | Non HSR devices need to be connected via RedBoxes (RSTP bridging nodes do not provide zero failover time).                   |
|                             | station bus Ring and separated process bus based on multiple point-to-point links (7.3.3.1) | RSTP                   | Can be used on the station bus ring. See IEC 62439-1:2010 for RSTP engineering and recovery time.                            |
|                             |                                                                                             | HSR                    | Can be used on the station bus ring.                                                                                         |
|                             | Separated station bus and process bus rings (7.3.3.3)                                       | RSTP                   | See IEC 62439-1:2010 for network engineering aspects and recovery time.                                                      |
|                             |                                                                                             | HSR                    | Process bus segments may be isolated by QuadBoxes.                                                                           |
|                             | Combined station bus and process bus by coupled HSR rings (7.3.3.4)                         | HSR                    | Rings must be coupled with QuadBoxes (RSTP bridging nodes do not provide zero failover time).                                |
|                             |                                                                                             | PRP                    | PRP can be used to redundantly connect single devices to the HSR network.                                                    |
|                             | station bus as dual ring and process bus as HSR ring (7.3.3.5)                              | RSTP                   | Only as redundancy protocol in the station bus rings.                                                                        |
|                             |                                                                                             | HSR                    | Devices without HSR interface need to use HSR RedBoxes to communicate to both LANs.                                          |
|                             |                                                                                             | PRP                    | Devices without PRP interface need PRP RedBoxes for communication to both LANs. Can be used for redundant station bus rings. |

## 7.3 Reference topologies

### 7.3.1 Station bus topologies

#### 7.3.1.1 Station bus star topologies

##### 7.3.1.1.1 Station bus as single bridge

The most basic station bus topology is the one-level bridge as shown in Figure 24, which can be applied only to very simple substations. Even simpler, the bridge could be implemented in a multiport SCADA device, resulting in a single-level star topology.




**Figure 24 – Station bus as single bridge**

The characteristics of this topology are summarized in Table 9.

**Table 9 – Station bus as single bridge**

| Property                         | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Simplicity                       | Very simple topology with a single bridge.<br>The number of links to the bridge is equal to the number of end nodes.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Traffic control                  | Easy to ensure adequate bandwidth. Except during the initial network discovery, inter-bay communication is not affected by other inter-bay communication.<br>Within a subnetwork, end-to-end communication is not affected by other end-to-end communication.<br>However, the bridge becomes a bottleneck when the number of IEDs is large because traffic converges on a particular port. |
| Latency                          | Very low latency, because the number of bridge hops is one.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Redundancy                       | No redundancy. A single fault of a link, a bridge or an end node disrupts communication.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Specificity of a device/protocol | No special communication equipment.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Limitations                      | The bridge becomes a bottleneck when the number of IEDs is large. The number of ports per bridge is limited.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |

##### 7.3.1.1.2 Station bus as hierarchical star

A star network as shown in Figure 25 has no redundant physical paths or loops in the network; its physical structure is identical to its logical structure.

The key benefit of a star topology is its simplicity. Simple applications operate with unmanaged bridges, reducing costs and engineering, and increasing reliability. However, large networks require means to limit traffic, and need managed bridges.

The disadvantage of a simple star topology is the lack of network path redundancy. Any single point of failure of a cable, bridge port, or entire bridge interrupts a network path with subsequent loss of automation functionality. Because of the lack of redundancy, the simple star is only used when the downtime of the communication system is tolerable, e.g. in uncritical SCADA applications.




**Figure 25 – Station bus as hierarchical star**

The characteristics of this topology are summarized in Table 10.

**Table 10 – Station bus as hierarchical star**

| Property        | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                                       |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Simplicity      | Simple topology. Fits well the cabinet structure. Bays can be easily disconnected.<br>The number of links approximately equals to the number of end nodes plus the number of bridges. |
| Traffic control | Easy to ensure adequate bandwidth. Unmanaged bridges are used in small networks.<br>In large networks, traffic can be reduced by multicast islands.                                   |
| Latency         | Low latency. The number of hops is not affected by the number of end nodes, unlike ring topologies.                                                                                   |
| Redundancy      | No path redundancy. A single fault of a link, a bridge or an end node disrupts communication.                                                                                         |
| Specificity     | No special communication equipment.                                                                                                                                                   |
| Limitations     | Large number of bridges is required because the number of bridges is proportional to the number of subnetworks.                                                                       |

#### 7.3.1.1.3 Station bus as duplicated star

When the network requests a very high availability (no single point of failure), each critical device should be attached to two independent LANs, preferably using the PRP protocol. Each of these networks must comply with the propagation requirements that would exist if only one network would be in use. Non-critical devices can be attached to one LAN only. It is also

possible to attach the main protection to one LAN and the back-up protection to the other LAN to achieve fail-independence, as shown in Figure 26.




**Figure 26 – Station bus as dual star with PRP**

The characteristics of this topology are summarized in Table 11.

**Table 11 – Station bus as dual star**

| Property        | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                                    |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Simplicity      | Simple configuration (each PRP LAN is configured as a simple LAN).<br>Singly attached devices can be attached to different LANs.<br>Duplicate and non-duplicated segments coexist. |
| Traffic control | Since LAN A and LAN B are independent, the traffic characteristics are not affected by redundancy.<br>The individual LANs can be operated with RSTP when redundant paths are used. |
| Latency         | Same as for a hierarchical bridge topology. Redundancy marginally speeds-up communication in the average, since one path is faster than the other.                                 |
| Redundancy      | Bumpless failover between DANP; SANs can provide redundancy through device redundancy, as the clocks in Figure 26.                                                                 |
| Specificity     | DANPs or RedBoxes are needed for redundancy.                                                                                                                                       |
| Limitations     | Doubles the network infrastructure for full redundancy, requires PRP-equipped devices.                                                                                             |

### 7.3.1.2 Single ring topologies

#### 7.3.1.2.1 Station bus as ring of RSTP bridges

In a ring, each bridge is connected to two and only two neighbour bridges to form a physical loop (see Figure 27). Rings offer full redundancy against link failures, but not against edge port or bridge failure, unless each device is dual ported and connected to two different bridges.

A redundancy protocol such as RSTP ensures that frames do not circulate indefinitely.

A topology with a bridge per bay is a common arrangement.




**Figure 27 – Station bus as ring of RSTP bridges**

The characteristics of this topology are summarized in Table 12.

**Table 12 – Station bus as ring**

| Property        | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Simplicity      | Simple topology. The number of bridges is proportional to the number of bays.<br>The number of links is determined by the number of end nodes and the number of bridges.<br>Maps well to the cabinet arrangement.<br>A bay can be removed from the network for maintenance with minimal effect to other bays. |
| Traffic control | Slightly difficult to ensure adequate bandwidth. Inter-bay communication is affected by other inter-bay communication because the traffic is forwarded over shared links.<br>The number of subnetwork has an impact to ensure bandwidth.                                                                      |
| Latency         | Latency increases with the number of bridges in series, in the worst case the number of hops will be N-1 the number of bridges.<br>Unlike rings with DANH, the number of hops does not depend on the number of end nodes.                                                                                     |
| Redundancy      | Provides redundancy against trunk link failures in the ring. However, as IEC 62439-1:2010 shows, the availability increase is negligible since the unavailability of the links to the end devices and of the bridges dominates.                                                                               |
| Specificity     | To reduce latency, bridges with cut-through are required, although this only improves the average, not the worst-case latency.                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Limitations     | Not flexible when cable routing demands another topology.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |

#### 7.3.1.2.2 Station bus as separated bridges for Main 1 and Main 2 protection

Demanding applications require redundant protection devices (1 = Main 1 and 2 = Main 2) that do not present a single point of failure. To ensure fail-independence of the Main 1 and Main 2 protections, they are attached to different LANs and to different bridges, as shown in Figure 28.

NOTE Bus 1 and Bus 2 refer to application redundancy with each its network (see Figure 28), LAN A and LAN B refer to the redundant path within the same PRP network, see Figure 26.

Such separation reduces considerably common mode failures, but some connection between Bus 1 and Bus 2 still exist, since both Main 1 and Main 2 devices are controllable from the same SCADA and receive the same GOOSE messages. Bus 1 and Bus 2 should be treated like different bays.

Figure 28 assumes that Bus 1 and Bus 2 are connected at layer 2 by different bridges, which themselves are connected through the station bus, introducing a possible single point of failure.

This represents a limit case between network redundancy (through the redundant link in the ring) and device redundancy (through the presence of a second bridge). It also presents a boundary case of a process bus since Bus 1 and Bus 2 can carry process bus traffic (note the PI in Figure 28).




**Figure 28 – Station bus as separated Main 1 (Bus 1) and Main 2 (Bus 2) LANs**

The characteristics of this topology are summarized in Table 13.

**Table 13 – Station bus as separated Main 1 and Main 2 protection**

| Property        | Characteristics                                                                                                                                |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Simplicity      | Same as 7.3.1.2, using only two bridges per bay.                                                                                               |
| Traffic control | Similar to 7.3.1.2, but worst-case traffic in the trunk is more difficult to evaluate, since the bridges carry process bus traffic.            |
| Latency         | More latency than 7.3.1.2 since the number of bridges in series is doubled.                                                                    |
| Redundancy      | Same as 7.3.1.2, with the additional advantage that the separation of Bus 1 and Bus 2 provides a high availability of the protection function. |
| Specificity     | Same as 7.3.1.2.                                                                                                                               |
| Limitations     | Same as 7.3.1.2.<br>Needs cautious engineering of the connection between Bus 1 and Bus 2.                                                      |

### 7.3.1.2.3 Station bus as ring of bridging nodes

A ring structure saves wiring and bridges; it is not used primarily for redundancy. It is well suited for medium-voltage substations with typically one IED per bay.

Bridging nodes, for instance using HSR, reduces the number of bridges and still provides full network redundancy, as shown in Figure 29.

Bridging nodes that use cut-through reduce the average forwarding delay of a frame, although cut-through does not improve the worst case, which occurs when all bridges are forwarding long frames at the same time. Cut-through needs hardware support.

The number of nodes per ring is limited by the cumulative latency introduced by the bridging nodes, the maximum being defined by the application.

For instance, if every node introduces a worst-case forwarding delay of 123  $\mu$ s (maximum length frame in transit at 100 Mbit/s), putting 50 nodes in series results in a worst case delay of 6,159 ms, which is acceptable only for devices complying with transfer time class TT4 or lower (see 11.1.3 of IEC 61850-5:2013).

Since each node introduces a forwarding delay from port to port, the number of nodes per ring should be kept small.

In medium voltage substations, one IED can combine the protection, measurement and control functions usually found in several devices in high-voltage substations, thus reducing the number of devices in the ring.




**Figure 29 – Station bus as ring of HSR bridging nodes**

The characteristics of this topology are summarized in Table 14.

**Table 14 – Station bus as ring of bridging nodes**

| Property        | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Simplicity      | <p>Simple topology.</p> <p>Reduced number of dedicated bridges.</p> <p>Reduced wiring.</p> <p>Maps well to the cabinet arrangement.</p> <p>A bay or voltage level can be disconnected from the substation network for maintenance if loss of redundancy during that time is tolerable.</p> <p>Small number of nodes and links. The number of links is determined by the number of DANs and RedBoxes (or bridges with RSTP).</p> |
| Traffic control | <p>Difficult to evaluate bandwidth.</p> <p>Traffic of DANs and RedBoxes on the ring is shared. Inter-bay communication and intra-bay communication are affected by communication of other bays and nodes. The number of DANs has critical impact to ensure bandwidth.</p>                                                                                                                                                       |
| Latency         | <p>Latency increases with the number of bridges in series, but this is not critical for a station bus.</p> <p>Using cut-through reduces average latency but does not improve its worst case.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Redundancy      | <p>Resilient against any single link failure and some bridge failures.</p> <p>A RedBox is a single point of failure, but a pair of them can be used.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Specificity     | <p>Requires IEDs with double attachment, hardware support to keep latency low and possibly a by-pass relay.</p> <p>In the case of HSR, HSR RedBoxes are necessary to connect SANs.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Limitations     | <p>Not flexible when cable routing demands another topology.</p> <p>Splitting of rings is recommended when the number of bays becomes large, e.g. one ring per voltage level.</p> <p>When more than one IED per bay is used, the ring bridges would be replaced by HSR RedBoxes with multiple ports, and the ring would carry HSR traffic.</p>                                                                                  |

NOTE Bridging nodes at the station bus, where longer recovery time is tolerated, could use RSTP as well, but bridging IEDs using RSTP are more complex than those using HSR.

### 7.3.1.3 Station bus as multiple ring topology

#### 7.3.1.3.1 Station bus as ring and subrings with RSTP topology

A hierarchy of two rings uses one ring at the station level (primary ring) and a ring for each voltage level (secondary rings). Figure 30 shows such a topology implemented with RSTP.




**Figure 30 – Station bus as ring and subrings with RSTP**

The characteristics of this topology are summarized in Table 15.

**Table 15 – Station bus as ring and subrings**

| Property        | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Simplicity      | Moderate complexity, conventional layout with one bridge per bay.<br>Large number of bridges. The number of bridges in secondary ring is the number of bays plus two. The total number of bridges is the number of bridges of secondary rings plus the number of other bridges in the primary ring.<br>The number of links is approximately the number of end nodes plus the number of bridges plus the number of subrings. |
| Traffic control | Traffic is segmented by the bridges (multicast filters).<br>Bridge priority should be configured to ensure the root bridge is on the upper ring.<br>Slightly easy to ensure adequate bandwidth unless traffic of numerous secondary rings is forwarded to the primary ring.<br>Inter-bay communication on each secondary ring is affected by unrelated inter-bay communication on the same secondary ring.                  |
| Latency         | Slightly low latency if the number of bridges which construct primary ring or secondary ring is small and the traffic is not detoured.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Redundancy      | One path redundancy on the rings.<br>It is advantageous to use two bridges per bay to connect main 1 and main 2 independently to the station bus.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Specificity     | Cut-through bridges help reducing latency.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Limitations     | Engineering the connection between the voltage levels is difficult.<br>Location of bridges is not obvious.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |

#### 7.3.1.3.2 Station bus as parallel rings

This topology extends the single ring and provides multiple ring redundancy with two external bridges and integrated IED bridges using optical links, as shown in Figure 31.

The two main bridges ensure a short propagation delay. If RSTP is used, the main bridges should be designated as Root Bridge 1 and Root Bridge 2 to lower recovery time from root bridge failure. The main bridges can be directly connected to lower delays.

In real applications, the subrings are smaller due to installation arrangement restrictions.

The network structure and therefore the ring size are determined by the physical/logical structure of the application. Rings can be set up suiting the bay structure or the voltage level of a substation. Some mix the IEDs between the bays, so that at the loss of one ring, parts of the bay are still visible.

The SCADA and the back-up SCADA are also DANs, implementing RSTP or a subset thereof.




**Figure 31 – Station bus as parallel rings with bridging nodes**


The characteristics of this topology are summarized in Table 16.

**Table 16 – Station bus as parallel rings**

| Property        | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Simplicity      | Moderately complex topology. The number of bridges is two. The number of links equals the number of end nodes plus the number of bridges.<br>Reduces total installed cost by using IEDs with embedded bridge functionality.<br>Supports several rings. |
| Traffic control | Difficult to evaluate, since part of the ring traffic is routed between the bridges over the common high-speed link, creating a dependency between the rings.                                                                                          |
| Latency         | Latency increases with the number of DANs in a ring.                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Redundancy      | One path redundancy with dependence on one backup link.                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Specificity     | Needs doubly attached nodes in the ring with a support for at least an RSTP subset.<br>To reduce latency, DANs can benefit from cut-through hardware support.                                                                                          |
| Limitations     | Although the extremity bridges can be connected by high capacity links, they can become a bottleneck.                                                                                                                                                  |

### 7.3.1.3.3 Station bus as parallel HSR rings

Figure 32 shows a station bus structure in which one HSR ring serves each bay. To reduce the worst-case latency, both ring halves should have the same number of IEDs.

**Figure 32 – Station bus as parallel HSR rings**

The characteristics of this topology are summarized in Table 17.

**Table 17 – Station bus as parallel HSR rings**

| Property        | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Simplicity      | Moderately complex topology. The number of bridges / redboxes is two. The number of links equals the number of end nodes plus two for each ring, plus twice the number of end devices in PRP.<br><br>Reduces total installed cost by using IEDs with embedded bridge functionality.<br><br>Supports several rings. |
| Traffic control | Difficult to evaluate, since part of the ring traffic is routed between the bridges over the common high-speed link, creating a dependency between the rings.                                                                                                                                                      |
| Latency         | Latency increases with the number of DANHs in a ring, but is kept low by HSR cut-through.                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Redundancy      | Full redundancy within the rings.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Specificity     | Needs DANHs in the ring.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Limitations     | Although the extremity bridges can be connected by high capacity links, they can become a bottleneck.                                                                                                                                                                                                              |

#### 7.3.1.3.4 Station bus as ring of rings

The Ring-Ring topology in Figure 33 shows a network with a primary ring and secondary rings connected to the primary ring. This can also be considered a meshed topology and illustrates one of the possible variants of this topology.

This topology follows the way a traditional protection and control system is installed in electrical substations, i.e. bay by bay. Each sub-ring can represent a bay of the substation.

The Ring-Ring provides additional redundancy beyond the simple ring. The Ring-Ring topology is more adaptable than simple ring since it can map to physical wiring constraints of any application.

The Ring-Ring is a more complicated topology requiring a more sophisticated redundancy protocol to manage it, when deterministic network recovery times need to be achieved.




**Figure 33 – Station bus as hierarchical rings with RSTP bridging nodes**

The characteristics of this topology are summarized in Table 18.

**Table 18 – Station bus as ring of rings with RSTP**

| Property        | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Simplicity      | <p>This topology can map to physical wiring constraints of any application and is suitable for very large substations.</p> <p>Complex topology. Some bridges are needed for constructing primary ring and secondary rings.</p> <p>The number of links is approximately determined by the number of end nodes plus the number of links forming the primary ring.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Traffic control | Multicast filtering in the bridges. Evaluation of bandwidth is difficult since inter-bay communication is affected by non-related inter-bay communication.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Latency         | Latency low if the number of DANs is small.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Redundancy      | Tolerates a single failure at least, tolerates some multiple failures with no loss of connectivity.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Specificity     | DANs with cut-through hardware support are needed to reduce average latency.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Limitations     | <p>Needs special care to achieve a deterministic recovery time with RSTP.</p> <p>If RSTP is applied to the primary ring, the protocol has to be deactivated on the links connecting the secondary rings, since otherwise this would introduce loops in the network.</p> <p>If RSTP was active on the links to the secondary ring and the RSTP domain would stretch out from the primary ring to the secondary rings, the network reconfiguration time would only be determinable as an upper bound value as described in IEC 62439-1:2010.</p> <p>There are several vendor-specific solutions which allow the implementation of a topology as shown in Figure 33 with deterministic recovery times, but relying on them complicates engineering of heterogeneous systems.</p> |

#### 7.3.1.3.5 Station bus as ring of rings with HSR

The Ring-Ring topology of Figure 33 can be applied to HSR bridging nodes. See Figure 34.




**Figure 34 – Station bus as hierarchical rings with HSR bridging nodes**

The characteristics of this topology are summarized in Table 19.

**Table 19 – Station bus as ring of rings with HSR**

| Property        | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Simplicity      | This topology can map to physical wiring constraints of any application and is suitable for very large substations.<br>Complex topology. Bridges combined with RedBoxes are needed for constructing primary ring and secondary rings.<br>The number of links is approximately determined by the number of end nodes plus the number of links forming the primary ring. |
| Traffic control | Multicast filtering in the bridges. Evaluation of bandwidth is difficult since inter-bay communication is affected by non-related inter-bay communication.                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Latency         | Latency low if the number of DANHs is small.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Redundancy      | Tolerates a single failure at least, tolerates some multiple failures with no loss of connectivity.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Specificity     | DANHs with cut-through hardware support allow to reduce average latency.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Limitations     | Availability of special bridges with modular HSR support.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |

#### 7.3.1.3.6 Station bus as ring and subrings with HSR

Figure 35 shows a three-level ring hierarchy with HSR rings and RedBoxes / QuadBoxes as coupling elements. The upper level is station-wide, the second voltage level specific, while the third is confined to a bay.




**Figure 35 – Station bus as ring and subrings with HSR**

The characteristics of this topology are summarized in Table 20.

**Table 20 – Station bus as ring and subrings with HSR**

| Property        | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                                              |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Simplicity      | Topology suitable for large substations.<br>Large number of QuadBoxes.                                                                                                                       |
| Traffic control | Allows segmentation of the traffic and confinement of traffic to a ring.<br>Easy to ensure adequate bandwidth unless huge amount of traffic is forwarded to secondary rings or primary ring. |
| Latency         | Relatively low latency if the number of DANHs is small.                                                                                                                                      |
| Redundancy      | Provides redundancy at all levels.<br>It is advantageous to connect main 1 and main 2 to different QuadBoxes                                                                                 |
| Specificity     | DANHs and RedBoxes are needed.                                                                                                                                                               |
| Limitations     | Uses conventional architecture (one bridge per bay).<br>Complicated engineering of the connection between the voltage levels.                                                                |

### 7.3.2 Process bus and attachment of primary equipment

#### 7.3.2.1 Process bus peculiarities

The process bus architectures are still under study and a variety of pilot projects exist. Therefore, 7.3.2 describes a number of possible architectures for the process bus with a higher level of detail than for the station bus.

The process bus structure can be quite different from the station bus due to the much higher traffic it has to carry. As 10.2 and Annex A show, a 100 Mbit/s Ethernet segment can only sustain about 6 devices producing SV traffic at 4 800 kHz transmission rate.

For the special case of busbar protection, the process bus follows the structure of the station bus: To detect busbar faults, the instantaneous value of the current of all bays is summed and this sum should normally be zero. The process bus interconnects all bays attached to the

same busbar and uses the same medium as the station bus or uses a parallel wiring. When the number of bays becomes large, the process bus must be segmented, and the different segments connected either hierarchically with a busbar protection unit at the hub, or horizontally, in which case the different zones preferably exchange phasors information rather than sampled values. This application is not considered further here since interoperability is not easy to achieve.

First the double busbar, single bay is described as an introduction, and then the more complex 1 ½ circuit breaker arrangement is detailed.

### 7.3.2.2 Double busbar arrangement

#### 7.3.2.2.1 Double busbar bay without process bus (conventional)

Conventional bays use voltage and current transformers that usually have multiple, independent cores, each core being used for a separate function as shown in Figure 36. The conventional attachment is by direct cabling.




Figure 36 – Double busbar bay with directly attached sensors

### 7.3.2.2.2 Double busbar bay with merging units and main 1 / main 2 protection

To allow a retrofit from analog to digital measurement, IEC 61869-9 proposes to attach the analog output of the instrument transformers to SAMUs as shown in Figure 37.




**Figure 37 – Double busbar bay with SAMUs and process bus**

There are two process busses, one for main 1 and one for main 2; the process busses themselves are not duplicated, their traffics are distinct.

Figure 37 shows the connection to the busbar protection, which is attached here to the station bus.

Due to the small number of ports, it is feasible to attach the SAMU directly to the IEDs, for instance with multiport SAMUs, thereby saving the bridges.

### 7.3.2.2.3 Double busbar bay with electronic current and voltage transformers

Electronic current transformers (ECTs) and electronic voltage transformers (EVTs) can be attached directly to the process bus, as shown in Figure 38 if they are PIAs.




**Figure 38 – Double busbar bay with ECT/EVTs and process bus**

If three-phase EVT/ECTs are used, the number of connections to the IEDs is small, so it would be feasible to move the bridge into the IED. If single phase attachment is used, the number of PIAs connected to the process bus is six per bridge.

### 7.3.2.3 One-and-a-half circuit breaker instrument attachment

#### 7.3.2.3.1 1 ½ CB conventional IED attachment (no process bus, no protection redundancy)

The reference is a 1 ½ circuit breaker diameter (2 lines). This configuration is considered as the worst case for the attachment of instrument transformers.

The conventional protection consists of two line protection and one busbar protection. Due to the coupling, IEDs need the current and voltage values of two feeders. The control signals (trip) of the circuit breakers are not shown.

Figure 39 shows the structure for a non-redundant protection to explain the basic data flows. For a fair comparison with the former and later topologies, the measurement cores should be detailed and the IEDs should be duplicated, as in Figure 40.




Figure 39 – 1 1/2 CB diameter with conventional, non-redundant attachment

#### 7.3.2.3.2 1 1/2 CB SAMUs with main 1 & main 2 redundancy

To attach legacy instrument transformers, SAMUs can be attached to a different process bus for main 1 and main 2 protections (see Figure 40).




**Figure 40 – 1 1/2 CB diameter with SAMUs and process bus**

NOTE If there were one SAMU per IED, there would be no need for busses or bridges.

#### 7.3.2.3.3 1 1/2 CB with ECT/EVTs and main 1 & main 2 redundancy

Figure 41 shows the arrangement when Electronic Instrument Transformers are used. The wiring with respect to Figure 40 is simplified, Electronic Instrument Transformers are duplicated for redundancy.





**Figure 41 – 1 ½ CB diameter with ECT/EVT and process bus**

#### 7.3.2.3.4 1 ½ CB process bus as star with PI

The simplest version of the process bus is a direct connection of PIs to the IEDs, as shown in Figure 42. Conventional (CIT) as well as non-conventional instrument transformers (NCIT) are attached to the PIAs. The PIAs have a process bus output connected directly to the IEDs, which serve as bridge. The PIAs are located close to the primary technology and convert analogue signals from the current and voltage sensors into SV frames. PIBs understand and generate GOOSE frames. No traffic expected from PIA to PIA and little traffic from PIB to PIB.



**Key**

- CIT Conventional instrument transformer
- NCIT Non-conventional instrument transformer
- PIA Process Interface, Analog (voltage and current measurement)
- PIB Process Interface, Binary (circuit breaker and disconnector control)

**Figure 42 – Process bus as connection of PIA and PIB (non-redundant protection)**

The characteristics of this topology are summarized in Table 21.

**Table 21 – Process bus as connection of PIA and PIB**


| Property        | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Simplicity      | Simple topology.<br>Simple retrofit, since the current and voltage transformers can be replaced by non-conventional instrument transformers without change of the interface.<br>With three-phase attachment, the number of ports in the IEDs is small and it is feasible to put the bridges into the IEDs, reducing costs. |
| Traffic control | Allows 100 Mbit/s links between MUs and IEDs.<br>Easy to evaluate bandwidth.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Latency         | Low latency.<br>No bridges exist between process-level devices and bay-level devices.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Redundancy      | No redundancy.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Specificity     | IEDs needed with a large number of ports and high processing power.<br>All process interfaces (PIA, PIB) are specific.                                                                                                                                                                                                     |

| Property    | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                                        |
|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Limitations | Physical location of devices on the primary technology dictates the topology.<br>Number of devices limited by the SV traffic.<br>Single phase devices require a large number of ports. |

### 7.3.2.3.5 1 ½ CB process bus as single star (point-to-point)

The topology can be simplified if the PIAs and PIBs can be integrated into the instrument transformers and moved close to the primary technology (e.g. directly mounted on a circuit breaker).

As shown in Figure 43, if IED is a combined Protection, Measurement and Control (PMC) device, a PI needs only a single link to that IED and the process bus is reduced to multiple point-to-point links. The IED performs the bridge function, since there is little horizontal traffic between the PIs.



#### Key

PMC Protection, Measurement and Control IED

**Figure 43 – Process bus as single star (not redundant protection)**

The characteristics of this topology are summarized in Table 22.

**Table 22 – Process bus as single star**

| Property        | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Simplicity      | Simple topology.<br>No need for standalone bridges in the process bus.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Traffic control | Easy to ensure adequate bandwidth.<br>Allows 100 Mbit/s links between PIs and IEDs.<br>The product of the number of PIs and the sampling rate is only limited by the capacity of the IEDs to absorb the traffic.                                                                                                                               |
| Latency         | Very low latency. No bridges exist between process-level devices and bay-level devices.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Redundancy      | No redundancy.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Specificity     | IED needs a large number of Ethernet ports and becomes quite complex.<br>Only one IED per bay, protection and control merged into the same device (not always accepted).<br>IED must perform the function of a bridge and filter multicast traffic.<br>If PIs are simple devices, the IED must act as their proxy, which increases complexity. |
| Limitations     | The number of ports per IED is limited.<br>Connection of single-phase devices is difficult.<br>Acceptance of PMC devices is limited, since some prefer independent devices for protection, measurement and control.                                                                                                                            |

**7.3.2.3.6 1 ½ CB process bus as dual star (Main 1 and Main 2)**

This topology differs from 7.3.2.3.6 by the separation of Main 1 protection and Main 2 protection into independent channels connected to two separate redundant IEDs, as shown in Figure 44.




**Figure 44 – Process bus as dual star**

The characteristics of this topology are summarized in Table 23.

**Table 23 – Process bus as dual star**

| Property        | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Simplicity      | Same as 7.3.2.3.5.                                                                                                                                              |
| Traffic control | Same as 7.3.2.3.5.                                                                                                                                              |
| Latency         | Same as 7.3.2.3.5.                                                                                                                                              |
| Redundancy      | Full, independent redundancy at the application level.                                                                                                          |
| Specificity     | Same as 7.3.2.3.5, application-specific failover for control.                                                                                                   |
| Limitations     | Same as 7.3.2.3.5.<br>Communication between process bus1 and process bus 2 must be carefully engineered, since it involves communication between PMC1 and PMC2. |

### 7.3.2.3.7 1 ½ CB process bus as single bridge

When customer do not accept PMCs and when the number of ports on the IEDs becomes large, a bridge structure is advantageous, as shown in Figure 45. The process bus is restricted to the connection between the protection and control devices and the PIOs. The SCADA access the PI devices directly or otherwise using the IEDs as proxies.




**Figure 45 – Process bus as a single bridge (no protection redundancy)**

The characteristics of this topology are summarized in Table 24.

**Table 24 – Process bus as single bridge**

| Property        | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Simplicity      | Simple topology, a single bridge is sufficient.<br>Bridge allows a variable number of ports.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Traffic control | Easy to evaluate bandwidth.<br>Since the bulk of traffic is from the PIs to the IEDs, PIs can be attached with 100 Mbit/s links if multicast is filtered properly.<br>The product of the number of PIs and the sampling rate is limited by the bridge's capacity and if this one is sufficient, by the capacity of the IEDs to absorb the traffic.<br>The bridge can connect process bus and station bus if proper multicast filtering is applied. |
| Latency         | Low latency – one single bridge hop.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Redundancy      | No redundancy – the bridge is a single point of failure (but this can be addressed with a Main 1 / Main 2 scheme as 7.3.2.3.8 shows).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Specificity     | PIAs and PIBs are specific to the primary technology.<br>If the IEDs are acting as their proxies, they must be adapted.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Limitations     | In case of significant number of PIs, especially with single-phase attachment of PIAs, IEDs require 1 Gbit/s connection.<br>The multicast filtering must be effective during initialization and / or recovery.<br>If PIs are simple devices, IEDs must act as their proxy, which increases the complexity.                                                                                                                                         |

**7.3.2.3.8 1 ½ CB process bus as dual bridge (Main 1 and Main 2)**

This topology is similar to the one described 7.3.2 with the difference that Main 1 protection and Main 2 protection each have their own network, bridge and sensors as shown in Figure 46. With duplicated protection, there is no incentive to duplicate each process bus.




**Figure 46 – Process bus as separated LANs for main 1 and main 2**

The characteristics of this topology are summarized in Table 25.

**Table 25 – Process bus as separated LANs**

| Property        | Characteristics                                                                                                               |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Simplicity      | Duplicates the topology of 7.3.2.3.7.<br>Duplication of sensors, duplication of bridges and links                             |
| Traffic control | Same as 7.3.2.3.7.                                                                                                            |
| Latency         | Same as 7.3.2.3.7.                                                                                                            |
| Redundancy      | Complete independence of Main 1 and Main 2 protection ensures application-level availability.                                 |
| Specificity     | Same as 7.3.2.3.7.                                                                                                            |
| Limitations     | Connection of the LAN1 and LAN2 traffic and failover operation are difficult to engineer, since this is application-specific. |

#### 7.3.2.3.9 Process bus as single ring

The process bus can be built as an HSR ring, as shown in Figure 47. In this case, all PI units support the IEC 61850-9-2 protocol. A process bus HSR ring can be connected to the station bus by an IED or by an HSR RedBox.




**Figure 47 – Process bus as ring of HSR nodes**

The characteristics of this topology are summarized in Table 26.

**Table 26 – Process bus as simple ring**

| Property        | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Simplicity      | Simple topology.<br>No bridges.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Traffic control | Difficult to evaluate bandwidth.<br><br>The product of the number of PIs and the sampling rate limits the number of PIs or MUs in the ring, since the whole traffic flows through each device, see 10.2.<br><br>To comply with the sampling rate of UCA 9-2LE, some 6 devices are supported at 100 Mbit/s; otherwise, a 1 Gbit/s connection is needed, except if the sampling rate is lowered. |
| Latency         | Latency increases with the number of PIs in the ring.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Redundancy      | Provides redundancy with only one additional link.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Specificity     | Doubly attached IEDs and PIs needed.<br><br>IEDs must perform the function of a bridge and do multicast filtering. If PIs do not support MMS, the IED must act as their proxy, which increases its complexity.                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Limitations     | The number of devices in the ring is limited by the SV traffic.<br><br>Careful design must exclude common mode failures such as malfunctioning devices producing high traffic.                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |

### 7.3.3 Station bus and process bus connection

#### 7.3.3.1 Separating versus connecting the station bus and the process bus

The main reasons to separate the station bus and the process bus are as follows.

- Except in very small substations, the station bus is unable to carry the sum of the SV traffic of all process busses connected to it.
- Segmenting the network into multiple redundancy domains increases the resiliency of the network. A ring tolerates one failure, but not a second failure, which becomes more probable as the number of devices and links in the ring increases. If the network is separated into several rings, each ring being its own redundancy domain, a second failure won't affect the other rings.

Station bus and process bus can be separated physically or logically:

- Physical separation means that there exist two separate communication networks with no connectivity. The process bus becomes a private domain of some IEDs and the SCADA cannot access directly the process bus devices. To give the SCADA some control over these devices, an IED can implement a Proxy Logical Node which maps the data model of process bus devices to IEC 61850-7-4:2010 objects. This solution is useful in case of merging units and process interfaces with no MMS stack but also increases the complexity of the proxy IED. Pros and Cons are summarized in Table 27.

**Table 27 – Advantages and drawbacks of physical separation**

| Advantages                                                  | Drawbacks                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Fail-independence<br>Process bus devices can be very simple | Proxy IED needed.<br>No interoperable access to download firmware.<br>Difficult to introduce a redundant proxy.<br>No standardized procedure if one proxy represents several devices or several proxies exist. |

- Logical separation means that the process bus and the station bus belong to one communication network. Bridges filter the traffic between station bus and process bus domains, allowing some devices to exchange specific traffic between the domains. A bridge is needed when GOOSE or SV messages are to be exchanged. A bridge with multicast filtering ability or a layer 3 router separates the process bus and station bus domains and by default prevents GOOSE and SV messages from transiting, except through a protocol gateway. The layer 3 solution requires that all process bus devices are capable of layer 3 communication, which rules out simple PIs. Pros and Cons are summarized in Table 28.

**Table 28 – Advantages and drawbacks of logical separation**

| Advantages                                    | Drawbacks                                                                                                               |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Simpler IED<br>Operates also when IED is down | Process bus devices must implement an IP stack<br>Process bus devices and bridges or routers need careful configuration |

#### 7.3.3.2 Station bus ring and process bus with point-to-point links

The process bus can be implemented as multiple point-to-point links as shown in Figure 48. One MU with multiple ports can be connected to several IEDs, which allows the reception by multiple IEDs of the SV data sent by each MU. The MUs must not implement an internal bridge, since this would create loops.




**Figure 48 – Process bus as star to merging units and station bus as RSTP ring**

The characteristics of this topology are summarized in Table 29.

**Table 29 – Process bus as star to merging units**

| Property        | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Simplicity      | Slightly complicated topology. Point-to-point connections require more links.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Traffic control | Easy to ensure adequate bandwidth.<br>100 Mbit/s links are sufficient for attaching MUs.<br>High performance even in very large applications since point-to-point links are deterministic.<br>Not sensitive to sampling rate.                                                                                 |
| Latency         | Very low latency between process-level devices and bay-level devices.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Redundancy      | If needed, the redundancy of the process bus can be changed by connecting additional MUs or IEDs to them with multiple point-to-point links. However, MUs or IEDs need to have more interfaces of multiple point-to-point links.<br>In the station bus, one path redundancy is provided by the ring topology. |
| Specificity     | The number of ports and point-to-point links is limited, which makes such topology of limited flexibility.<br>Needs multiport IEDs and MUs.                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Limitations     | Number of connections limited by the number of ports.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |

### 7.3.3.3 Separated station bus and process bus rings

This is a use case that combines a station bus network and a process bus network as rings.

Separation of station bus and process bus rings avoids flooding the station bus with high traffic generated by SV publishers such as merging units. The IEDs have separate ports for station bus and for process bus. The internal implementation of both interfaces in each IED is vendor specific. The two interfaces of the IED must not bridge frames, since this would create loops in the network. If they would bridge the bus – assuming they implement RSTP on both the station bus and/or process bus side, these integrated bridges would couple the process bus and the station bus rings and increase reconfiguration time possibly beyond tolerable values.

This network setup effectively separates the station bus and process bus into two completely separate physical networks. To enable a reach-through (if needed) from the SCADA, engineering or other layer 3 application to devices on the process bus, e.g. the MUs, an IP router connects the two layer 2 networks through a layer 3 connection. In Figure 49, an IP router is included into the topology design via dotted lines. The dotted lines represent the connection of the router into the two LANs. These connections and the router can be designed redundantly (utilizing e.g. the Virtual Router Redundancy Protocol VRRP) to provide fault tolerance, if desired. Alternatively, multicast filtering bridges can be used.

station bus: RSTP is recommended.

process bus: Use HSR, either connect nodes via RedBoxes or use dedicated HSR client interfaces on the process bus side of the protection/control IEDs. Figure 50 shows a setup with HSR RedBoxes with integrated bridges. The merging units and protection/control units are connected to the HSR ring with single, non-redundant links. To enable link redundancy for a MU, the MU must implement the HSR interface and must be integrated directly into the ring.




**Figure 49 – Station bus and process bus as rings connected by a router**

The characteristics of this topology are summarized in Table 30.

**Table 30 – Connection of station bus to process bus by routers**

| Property        | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Traffic control | Difficult to ensure adequate bandwidth in the process bus because all traffic is shared in the ring. In the station bus, easy to ensure adequate bandwidth unless huge amount of traffic such as SV traffic are forwarded between bridges. |
| Latency         | Low latency if the number of bridges in series in the ring is small.                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Redundancy      | One path redundancy in the rings of the process bus and the station bus. However, application-level redundancy needs redundant MUs, Circuit Breaker IEDs and IEDs.                                                                         |
| Simplicity      | Moderate complexity. Some bridges are needed for constructing rings.                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Specificity     | IEDs equipped with a port for process bus and a port for station bus are needed.<br>MUs are needed.<br>Needs a router to inter-connect both levels.<br>(Multicast filtering can produce the same level of features)                        |
| Others          | Supports differential protection schema (2 to N bays).<br>Allows transmitting the voltage SV to many bays.                                                                                                                                 |

### 7.3.3.4 Combined process bus and station bus by coupled HSR rings

The basic structure from 7.3.3.4 is retained, with the exception that this topology is specifically designed for HSR usage both in the station bus and in the process bus. The process bus HSR rings and the station bus HSR ring are interconnected via QuadBoxes in a redundant manner.

- Station bus network: For attachment of the DANs on the station bus, HSR and PRP can be used. Depending on the client interface of e.g. the NTP server in Figure 50, the connecting Bridge/RedBox components have to be either RedBoxes in HSR mode of operation or in PRP mode of operation. The SANs can be connected to the RedBoxes. Choosing HSR attachments for the DANs on the station level provides no drawback, only the advantage of flexibility of usage, either as PRP style node or HSR style node.
- Process bus network: Only HSR nodes are present on the process bus HSR rings. The QuadBoxes interconnecting the individual rings must implement multicast filters to prevent unnecessary flooding of traffic to the whole network.




**Figure 50 – Station bus ring and process bus ring with HSR**

NOTE SCADA do not need seamless redundancy; in Figure 50 dual links (warm standby) are shown.

The HSR bridge is combined with an integrated RedBox, working in either PRP A/B or HSR mode of operation, depending on the network interfaces on the client devices on the network, depicted as SCADA and NTP devices in this example.

For this topology to work efficiently, it has to ensure that SV traffic from the process bus is not unnecessarily propagated into the station bus. This can be done with multicast filtering on the QuadBoxes.

The characteristics of this topology are summarized in Table 31.

**Table 31 – Connection of station bus to process bus by RedBoxes**

| Property        | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                          |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Traffic control | In HSR rings, to ensure adequate bandwidth multicast filtering is needed. In PRP network of station bus, easy to ensure adequate bandwidth.                              |
| Latency         | Relatively high latency unless the number of nodes in the ring are small.                                                                                                |
| Redundancy      | One path redundancy is provided by HSR or PRP.                                                                                                                           |
| Simplicity      | Slightly simple topology.<br>The number of QuadBoxes is determined by twice the number of bays.                                                                          |
| Specificity     | DANs are needed.<br>QuadBoxes are needed.<br>For connecting SANs to the ring, RedBoxes are required.<br>Multicast filtering allows to separate the GOOSE and SV traffic. |
| Others          | Fits mainly the differential protection scheme (2 to N bays).<br>Fits also the case for transmitting the voltage SV to many bays.                                        |

### 7.3.3.5 Hierarchy with different redundancy principles

This topology shows a combination of a station bus and process bus network, but it could also be a second-level station bus.

High availability throughout both the station and the process bus network is achieved using a double LAN network on the station bus and a ring of bridging end nodes according to the HSR protocol (IEC 62439-3:2012) on the process bus, as shown in Figure 51.

The redundant networks A and B may be closed into a ring structure using RSTP (but the added complexity is not justified). They are connected to the rings through redundancy boxes working in PRP “A” and “B” mode.

NOTE With the RedBoxes connecting the station bus and the process bus networks working in HSR-PRP mode, the looping of frames originating from a SAN in either LAN A or LAN B is prevented through the configuration of the HSR-PRP RedBoxes as a coupled RedBox pair. Frames injected into the ring from the station bus via RedBox A will not be reflected back to the station bus via RedBox B and vice-versa.




**Figure 51 – Station bus as dual PRP ring and process bus as HSR ring**

Variants: The LAN A and LAN B can also be merged into one HSR ring, as shown in Figure 50.

The characteristics of this topology are summarized in Table 32.

**Table 32 – Connection of duplicated station bus to process bus by RedBoxes**

| Property        | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Simplicity      | Simple topology.<br>One link plus one in the process bus, no bridges. Two RedBoxes per bay.<br>For the station bus, PRP can be used.                                                                                                                                                                |
| Traffic control | Adequate bandwidth multicast filtering in the RedBoxes is needed to prevent the SV traffic from the process bus from being unnecessarily injected into the station bus.<br>The RedBoxes operate in “HSR-PRP” mode to prevent loops originating from single attached nodes in the station bus rings. |
| Latency         | Relatively high latency if the number of nodes in the ring is small.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Redundancy      | In the case of DANs, one path redundancy is provided.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Specificity     | For redundancy, nodes need to have two Ethernet ports being compliant with HSR protocol or PRP protocol.<br>RedBoxes are needed.                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Limitations     | Sharing of sensor values require a signification portion of the process bus traffic.                                                                                                                                                                                                                |

## 8 Addressing in the substation

### 8.1 Network IP address plan for substations

#### 8.1.1 General structure

Within a substation, only private IP addresses are used. This allows to set up an address plan and assigns the IP addresses according to the same scheme in all substations.

The allocation of IP addresses should take place after assignment of names to primary objects according to IEC 81346. It is the first measure to organize and partition the network. The wiring of the devices according to the structures given in Clause 7 is deduced from this network structure.

The scheme proposed here does not apply to all topologies.

The IP addresses and IP masks are a property of each device. In case of device failure, the replacement device receives the same IP address.

The IP addresses are registered in the SCD and in the CIDs; they should not be allocated dynamically.

The IP address should be structured to reflect the physical plant as follows:

172.NET.BAY.DEVICE

NOTE Methods such as DHCP and Domain Name Servers are widely used to assign IP addresses dynamically, address the devices by their names, and deduce their IP address from the name for further data exchanges. Dynamic IP address assignment is however not used in IEC 61850 for several reasons:

- IEDs are servers. DHCP applies to clients, not to servers to which administrators assign a fixed IP address, such as printers or data storages. DHCP requires a Domain Name Server. There is however no unique identifier or URL for IEDs.
- IEC 61850's SCD assigns a fixed IP address to the devices. This allows finding a device according to its location. It also facilitates debugging and replacement of failed devices.
- An IP address plan such as suggested in this document would not be possible with dynamic IP address assignment. It allows the maintenance staff to locate the devices.
- Substation automation is not dynamic in nature; configuration changes are seldom and must be planned, approved and accepted.

### 8.1.2 IP address allocation of NET

The NET field is allocated according to the example of Table 33, using the rules:

- the IEDs are allocated to the different NETs depending on the voltage level;
- the first NET starts by the highest voltage level available in the related substation;
- the different NETs are assumed to be physically independent (even if cross-connections exist).

**Table 33 – Example IP address allocation of NET**

| IP address     | Network                                            |
|----------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| 172.16.xxx.xxx | Voltage level 1, C1                                |
| 172.17.xxx.xxx | Voltage level 1, D1                                |
| 172.18.xxx.xxx | Voltage level 2, E1                                |
| 172.19.xxx.xxx | Voltage level 3, H1                                |
| 172.20.xxx.xxx | Voltage level 4, K1                                |
| 172.30.xxx.xxx | Station-wide traffic (non-IEC 61850 communication) |

In small substations, the SCADA uses a single Ethernet board and a mask of 255.240.0.0.

In larger substations, the SCADA keeps the networks separate by using different Ethernet boards with distinct addresses, for instance 172.16.xxx.xxx, 172.17.xxx.xxx, etc., all using the same mask 255.255.0.0.

### 8.1.3 IP address allocation of BAY

The BAY field is allocated according to the example of Table 34:

- Bay address 0 is used for station level or system function dedicated devices;
- Bay addresses 201 up to 250 are used for station level IEC 61850 switches;
- Bay addresses 170 up to 179 are used for "virtual bays" (substitution of actual devices by simulation or calculation, see IEC 61850-6).

**Table 34 – Example IP address allocation of BAY**

| IP address                                                             | Bay                                                       |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| 172.16.0.xxx*                                                          | Voltage level 1, Station level (PCs, etc.)                |
| 172.16.201.xxx                                                         | Voltage level 1, Bay 201 (station level IEC 61850 bridge) |
| 172.16.1.xxx                                                           | Voltage level 1, Bay 1 -> D1Q01                           |
| 172.16.2.xxx                                                           | Voltage level 1, Bay 2 -> D1Q02                           |
| 172.16.x.xxx                                                           | Voltage level 1, Bay x -> D1Q0x                           |
| 172.17.0.xxx*                                                          | Voltage level 2, Station level (PCs, etc.)                |
| 172.17.1.xxx                                                           | Voltage level 2, Bay 1 -> E1Q01                           |
| 172.17.2.xxx                                                           | Voltage level 2, Bay 2 -> E1Q02                           |
| 172.18.n.xxx                                                           | Voltage level 3, Bay n -> E1Q0n                           |
| 172.30.0.xxx*                                                          | Station LAN (non IEC 61850 communication)                 |
| * Bay address 0 is reserved for station level communication equipment. |                                                           |

### 8.1.4 IP address allocation of device

The device address is allocated according to the example of Table 35.

The address is related to the functionality of an IED in the following order:

- Control IEDs, AVRs or Feeder terminals;
- Main Protection;
- Backup Protection;
- The device address of a bay or station level switch is 100.

**Table 35 – Example IP address allocation of device**

| IP address     | Device                                                    |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| 172.16.1.100   | Voltage level 1, Bay 1, bay level Switch-> D1Q01          |
| 172.16.1.1     | Voltage level 1, Bay 1, 1. Control IED -> D1Q01A1         |
| 172.16.1.2     | Voltage level 1, Bay 1, Main Protection IED -> D1Q01FP1   |
| 172.16.1.3     | Voltage level 1, Bay 1, Backup Protection IED -> D1Q01FP2 |
| 172.16.2.100   | Voltage level 1, Bay 2, bay level Switch-> D1Q02          |
| 172.16.2.1     | Voltage level 1, Bay 2, 1. Control IED -> D1Q02A1         |
| 172.16.2.2     | Voltage level 1, Bay 2, 2. Control IED -> D1Q02A2         |
| 172.16.2.3     | Voltage level 1, Bay 2, Main Protection IED -> D1Q02FP1   |
| 172.16.2.4     | Voltage level 1, Bay 2, Backup Protection IED -> D1Q02FP2 |
| 172.17.1.100   | Voltage level 2, Bay 1, bay level Switch-> H1Q01          |
| 172.17.201.100 | Voltage level 2, Bay 201, station level IEC 61850 switch  |

The least significant octet of a host address should not be set to “0” to avoid confusion with network addresses.

### 8.1.5 IP address allocation of devices with PRP

In PRP, two separate, similar LANs operate in parallel.

Each doubly attached device has the same IP address as it would use for a non-redundant network over both its ports.

The components present in one LAN only, in particular the bridges and RedBoxes, must have a distinct IP address.

The general rule is to add 100 to the unit number of the LAN A switch, as in the example of Table 36.

**Table 36 – Example IP address allocation of switches in PRP**

| IP address     | Switch                                                           |
|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 172.16.1.100   | Voltage level 1, Bay 1, bay level Switch-> D1Q01-> LANA          |
| 172.16.1.200   | Voltage level 1, Bay 1, bay level Switch-> D1Q01-> LANB          |
| 172.17.1.100   | Voltage level 2, Bay 1, bay level Switch-> H1Q01-> LANA          |
| 172.17.1.200   | Voltage level 2, Bay 1, bay level Switch-> H1Q01-> LANB          |
| 172.17.201.100 | Voltage level 2, Bay 201 (station level IEC 61850 switch) ->LANA |
| 172.17.201.200 | Voltage level 2, Bay 201 (station level IEC 61850 switch) ->LANB |
| 172.17.202.100 | Voltage level 2, Bay 202 (station level IEC 61850 switch) ->LANA |
| 172.17.202.200 | Voltage level 2, Bay 202 (station level IEC 61850 switch) ->LANB |

## 8.2 Routers and GOOSE / SV traffic

GOOSE and SV operate uniquely on the base of MAC addresses (layer 2). Only very simple devices operate only with GOOSE or SV traffic, e.g. Process Interface nodes.

However, GOOSE and/or SV may be intentionally distributed over the station bus. To allow GOOSE and SV traffic to bypass the router, a layer 2 network bridge (IEEE 802.1D) could be used in parallel to a router (see 7.3.3). Such a bridge possibly provides filtering capabilities.

Therefore, using a router only for limiting the broadcast domains and having a bridge in parallel for GOOSE/SV is more complicated than simply using a managed switch. The efforts for managing the MAC filters in the switch are comparable to the filter setup in the bridge.

## 8.3 Communication outside the substation

A substation communicates with the outside world at the network layer through one or more routers or gateways. IEC 61850 contemplates several kinds of communication of a substation towards the outside world:

- Substation to substation communication using layer 2 and layer 3 communication, described in IEC/TR 61850-90-1;
- Communication between Phasor Measurement Units and Phasor Data Concentrators, as described in IEC/TR 61850-90-5;
- Communication for remote configuration, monitoring and asset management. To this effect, IEDs may act in addition to MMS as a web server.

For communication outside of the substation, both IPv4 (legacy) and IPv6 are considered.

Layer 2 traffic (GOOSE and SV) can be sent directly on layer 2 if a dedicated path exists or tunnelled over layer 3.

When protocols other than Ethernet are involved, methods such as layer-2 tunnelling can be applied, e.g. with RFC 2661 L2TP.

## 9 Application parameters

### 9.1 MMS parameters

It is recommended to use only the default value of the parameters.

A set of recommended parameters (SCL file representation) is:

```
<P type = "OSI-AP-Title">1,3,9999,23</P>
<P type = "OSI-AE-Qualifier">23</P>
<P type = "OSI-PSEL">00000001</P>
<P type = "OSI-SSEL">0001</P>
<P type = "OSI-TSEL">0001</P>
```

### 9.2 GOOSE parameters

GOOSE messages have a fixed structure indicated in the SCD file. To reduce encoding and decoding overhead, it is recommended to use fixed-length fields (always encode values with the maximum number of octets).

GOOSE messages have several identifiers:

- Multicast addresses follow Annex B of IEC 61850-8-1:2011, they should be allocated so as to allow multicast filtering.
- APPID (16 bits) identifies the application that generates the message. IEC 61850-8-1 defines only its two most significant bits. The APPID should be unique within a substation (see Annex C of IEC 61850-8-1:2011). It can be used as a 14-bit filter to select the relevant GOOSE messages, thereby offloading the processor.
- datSet (VisibleString 129) identifies the data set whose values are transmitted, as defined in the SCD.
- goCRef (VisibleString 129) references the GOOSE control block as defined in the SCD.
- gold (VisibleString 129) is a system wide, unique identification of the application to which the GOOSE message belongs (e.g. "interlocking"), see IEC 61850-7-2 and IEC 61850-8-1. Its value is called "appID" in the SCD (see IEC 61850-6) and GOOSEID in the GOOSE control block (see IEC 61850-7-2). Its usage is left to the user, but since it is mandatory, at least a placeholder is inserted. It is redundant with the 16-bit APPID which is also unique system-wide.

### 9.3 SV parameters

SV messages have several identifiers:

- Multicast addresses follow Annex B of IEC 61850-9-2:2011 (which is the same as Annex B of IEC 61850-8-1:2011), they should be allocated so as to allow multicast filtering.
- APPID (16 bits) identifies the application that generates the message. IEC 61850-9-2 defines only its two most significant bits. The APPID should be unique within a sub-network (see Table 8 and 5.3.3.4.2 of IEC 61850-9-2:2011). It can be used as a 14-bit filter to select the relevant SV messages, thereby offloading the processor.
- DatSet (VisibleString 129) identifies the data set whose values are transmitted, as defined in the SCD. It is optional.

- MsvID (VisibleString 129) is a system wide, unique identification of the sampled value message to be used as a handle for the receiving application (see IEC 61850-9-2). It is mapped to MulticastSampleValueID (see 6.4.3.5 in 61850-7-1).

The SV frames carry a high overhead in form of application strings. These should be reduced to the minimum size that allows to distinguish the SV frames system-wide, for instance by leaving out the optional DataSet, reducing the mandatory MsvID to a few characters and using the APPID to uniquely identify the SV message.

## 10 Performance

### 10.1 Station bus performance

#### 10.1.1 Logical data flows and traffic patterns

Knowledge of data flows and traffic patterns allows detecting bottlenecks and planning the network segmentation, filtering and segregation of traffic.

Logical data flows are determined by the protection and control application. Engineering defines the communication relationships between Logical Devices and IEDs, in particular:

- which data sets are used for GOOSE, for SV and for MMS reports;
- which IEDs communicate with which IEC 61850 clients;
- which IEDs are subscribed to each of the GOOSE publishers and
- which IEDs are subscribed to each of the SV publishers in the process bus.

This information extracted from the SCD file allows determining the traffic base load in the steady state. In addition, the traffic peaks can be estimated for certain conditions, such as busbar fault or general update.

Table 37 shows the expected IEC 61850 traffic.

**Table 37 – IEC 61850-5 interface traffic**

| Function Type/Message |           | Interface (Table 1) | Protocol              | Max. delay ms | Bandwidth | Priority    | Application          |
|-----------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|----------------------|
| 1A. Trip              | GOOSE     | 3,8                 | L2 Multicast          | 3             | Low       | High        | Protection           |
| 1B. Other             | GOOSE     | 3,8                 | L2 Multicast          | 10 to100      | Low       | Medium High | Protection           |
| 2. Medium Speed       | MMS       | 6                   | IP/TCP                | <100          | Low       | Medium Low  | Control              |
| 3. Low Speed          | MMS       | 6                   | IP/TCP                | <500          | Low       | Medium Low  | Control              |
| 4. Raw Data           | SV        | 4                   | L2 Multicast          | 4             | High      | High        | process bus          |
| 5. File Transfer      | MMS       | 6,7                 | IP/TCP/FTP            | >1 000        | Medium    | Low         | Management           |
| 6. Time Sync          | Time Sync |                     | IP (SNTP)<br>L2 (PTP) |               | Low       | Medium High | General Phasors, SVs |
| 7. Command            | MMS       | 6                   | IP                    |               | Low       | Medium Low  | Control              |

However, non-IEC 61850 traffic is not modelled nor considered in the SCD files. Other applications can be present in the network, for example for disturbance recording, network management, clock synchronization, video surveillance, etc. Part-time devices (e.g. for maintenance) can influence the performance of the network. Table 38 summarizes the expected traffic mentioned in IEC 61850 documents in a substation, other traffics also coexist.

**Table 38 – Message types and addresses**

| Traffic                  | Type                     | Ethertype/<br>length (hex) | Addressing                                | Description                |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| GOOSE                    | IEEE 802.3/<br>Multicast | 88B8                       | 01-0C-CD-01-00-00 to<br>01-0C-CD-01-01-FF |                            |
| SV                       | IEEE 802.3/<br>Multicast | 88BA                       | 01-0C-CD-04-00-00 to<br>01-0C-CD-04-01-FF |                            |
| MMS                      | TCP/IP                   | 0800                       | TCP/102                                   | Uses                       |
| Time Sync – SNTP         | UDP/IP                   | 0800                       | UDP/123                                   |                            |
| Time Sync – PTP          | IEEE 802.3<br>/Multicast | 88F7                       | 01-1B-19-00-00-00<br>01-80-C2-00-00-0E    | IEC 61588 over layer 2     |
| Monitoring – Ping        | ARP<br>ICMP              | 0806<br>0800               | ICMP/Echo<br>ICMP Echo-Reply              | Ping bridges and IEDs      |
| RSTP                     | IEEE 802.2               | length / LLC               |                                           | BPDUs for<br>configuration |
| Monitoring – SNMP        | UDP/IP                   | 0800                       | UDP/161                                   | Device management          |
| Monitoring –<br>SNMPTRAP | UDP/IP                   | 0800                       | UDP/162                                   | Events from bridges        |
| Monitoring – SYSLOG      | UDP/IP                   | 0800                       | UDP/514                                   | Logs from bridges          |
| File transfer            | IP/TCP/FTP               | 0800                       | TCP/20                                    | Event logs from IED        |
| Administration – SSH     | TCP/IP                   | 0800                       | TCP/22                                    | Bridge management          |
| HSR                      | IEEE 802.3               | 892F                       | NA                                        | HSR tag                    |
| PRP – HSR<br>Supervision | IEEE 802.3               | 88FB                       | NA                                        | IEC 62439-3:2012           |

### 10.1.2 GOOSE traffic estimation

Although GOOSE messages are smaller than MMS messages, GOOSE traffic impacts more the station bus. GOOSE transmits messages cyclically and retransmits spontaneous messages, usually two times, to overcome possible frame losses. This increases traffic over what is actually needed. Since links have a very low loss rate, the recovery mechanism of GOOSE actually increases the probability of losing frames due to congestion in the switches. The buffer size in the bridges must be sized accordingly.

A “short” GOOSE message handling just one digital status information (one Boolean value and the related Quality bit string) in the data set has an approximate size of 124 octets. The actual size depends on the configured parameters in the GOOSE Control Block such as GoID, name of the data set and the reference object of the GOOSE Control Block. Typically the size of GOOSE messages is within 92 octets to 250 octets.

One GOOSE application in an IED generates about 1 kbit/s in steady-state and about 1 Mbit/s during bursts. Bursts are often correlated since one switching operation can trigger several GOOSE frames.

### 10.1.3 MMS traffic estimation

The MMS traffic generated by IEDs consists of a polling part from the SCADA and an event-driven part that depend on reports the MMS servers send to the MMS clients. An IED sends digital values and data counters using reports triggered by data change, quality change or data update. The IEDs can also be configured to send integrity reports with digital values as an additional data check mechanism, the interval of such reports is normally in the range of 60 s to 300 s.

Measurements are commonly sent predictably via Integrity Reports with an interval of approximately 1 s, but the IEDs can also be configured to send measurements triggered by data changes. The latter method is less often used as it requires configuration of deadbands for each analogue value. The size of reports sent via MMS depends on the number of elements in the data set as well as on the configuration of report control block parameters such as OptFlds, RptID and the lengths of object references.

#### 10.1.4 station bus measurements

Figure 52 shows a typical station bus interconnecting 10 bays.




**Figure 52 – Station bus used for the measurements**

The traffic of that substation under steady-state (no switching operation) and burst conditions (simulated busbar transfer) is shown in Figure 53.




**Figure 53 – Typical traffic (packet/s) on the station bus**

This shows that the network hardly becomes a bottleneck in this configuration and that no special measures must be taken in this case.

As a rule of thumb, the MMS traffic generated by a single IED on the station bus in the steady state is below 10 kbit/s. Since MMS traffic is not multicast, it only influences the bandwidth on the network links between the MMS server and the MMS client and it does not influence the edge links to other IEDs.

## 10.2 Process bus performance

The process bus interconnects I/O devices like sensors and merging units on the field level, e.g. in one individual bay. Each bay usually has its own process bus network, coupled to the station bus network. Typical traffic consists of IEC 61850 SV and GOOSE multicast traffic, MMS and PTP.

While GOOSE and MMS put similar requirements on the process bus network as on the station bus network, SV traffic is even more demanding, but it is easily predictable.

As an example, an SV frame as specified in UCA Guideline 9-2LE [15] with a sampling rate of 80 samples per cycle transmitted at 4,0 kHz (for a 50 Hz grid) or at 4,8 kHz (for a 60 Hz grid) have an approximate size of 140 bytes, consuming a bandwidth of approximately 5 Mbit/s (50 Hz) or 6 Mbit/s (60 Hz) per source IED.

NOTE The actual size depends on MsvID and OptFlds values as well as on the lengths of reference objects of Multicast Sampled Value Control Block (MSVCB) and data set name.

Therefore, a process bus at 100 Mbit/s hardly supports more than six devices if time should be left for the longest MMS messages (123  $\mu$ s). Up to 20 devices can be accommodated if the 100 Mbit/s process bus is dedicated to SV traffic. This shows that the process bus must have an own multicast or VLAN domain to separate its SV traffic from the station bus traffic.

For the process bus, some form of source throughput limitation (rate limiting in bridges) must be applied to the real-time traffic since because of its high priority, it could monopolize the network. This is a requirement on the IEDs rather than a requirement on the network.

In applications where the station bus also carries SV traffic, it must provide the same quality of service as the process bus.

Annex A shows an estimation of the traffic for a process bus used in busbar protection.

## 11 Latency

### 11.1 Application requirements

If an IEC 61850 frame is not received in a timely manner, it loses its usefulness; and being late could be worse than being lost. Delays – especially jitters – also affect the clock synchronization.

Three metrics are to be observed:

- Latency of communication, which is the delay between the instant data are ready for transmission and the moment they have been completely received at their destination(s). This is the worst delay of all possible associations.
- Throughput, which is the quantity of data that can be transported by unit of time, while retaining a certain quality of service, for any association, and under worst-case load conditions.
- Reliability, which is the probability that the frame gets lost because of congestion, not because of physical failure. Indeed, physical failures can be dealt with through redundancy, while overload can affect both redundant paths.

For this performance metric, the term dependability has been traditionally used for protection communications, whereas the term QoS (for Quality of Service) is commonly used now by the network community, so it is used here.

The required delivery time depends on the application, with the most demanding being the few milliseconds needed for protection applications. E.g. IEC 60834-1 requires that 99,99 % of commands for inter-tripping protection schemes are delivered within 10 ms.

The correct design of a network to be used for IEC 61850 communications requires knowledge of the application's requirements, plus knowledge of the latencies through the various network elements.

## 11.2 Latency requirements for different types of traffic

### 11.2.1 Latency requirements in IEC 61850-5

IEC 61850-5:2013 provides performance requirements for different types of messages and specifies basic performance requirements for each type of message, which are reproduced for convenience in Table 39.

**Table 39 – Transfer time requirements of IEC 61850-5**

| Transfer time class | Transfer time ms | Application example, transfer of |
|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| TT0                 | > 1 000          | Files, events, log contents      |
| TT1                 | 1 000            | Events, alarms                   |
| TT2                 | 500              | Operator commands                |
| TT3                 | 100              | Slow automatic interactions      |
| TT4                 | 20               | Fast automatic interactions      |
| TT5                 | 10               | Releases, Status changes         |
| TT6                 | 3                | Trips, Blockings                 |

### 11.2.2 Latencies of physical paths

A path delay is caused by the finite speed of the electromagnetic waves through the medium: copper cables, optical fibres or wireless links. To this, media converter delays must be added as shown in Table 40.

**Table 40 – Elapsed time for an IEEE 802.3 frame to traverse the physical medium**

| Medium                             | Time to traverse a link                 |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| CAT-5 and CAT-6 cables             | 0,55 $\mu$ s per 100 m (5,5 $\mu$ s/km) |
| Glass-Fibre cables (Corning smf28) | 0,49 $\mu$ s per 100 m (4,9 $\mu$ s/km) |
| Free air (wireless)                | 0,33 $\mu$ s per 100 m (3,3 $\mu$ s/km) |

### 11.2.3 Latencies of bridges

Whenever a frame arrives at a bridge's ingress port, most bridges wait for the complete frame to be received to check its integrity (using its FCS (CRC) field) before it is forwarded. This technology is called "store-and-forward". A less-frequently used technology called "cut-through" avoids this delay, but requires hardware support.

Store-and-forward bridge latency includes elapsed time of ingress and egress ports (depending on link speed), internal processing delay, in particular protocol processing, and

queuing delays. The delays for various frame lengths and port speeds are shown in Table 41, to which the internal delay is to be added.

**Table 41 – Delay for an IEEE 802.3 frame to ingress or to egress a port**

| Frame length                          | Frame duration at 100 Mbit/s | Frame duration at 1 Gbit/s |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 64 Octets (minimum allowed)           | 7 $\mu$ s                    | 0,7 $\mu$ s                |
| 300 Octets (e.g. compact GOOSE frame) | 25 $\mu$ s                   | 2,5 $\mu$ s                |
| 800 Octets (e.g. large GOOSE frame)   | 64 $\mu$ s                   | 6,4 $\mu$ s                |
| 1 530 Octets (maximum)                | 124 $\mu$ s                  | 12,4 $\mu$ s               |

In cut-through, the delay in Table 41 does not apply, it is replaced by the fixed delay that the bridge needs to recognize the VLAN tag, which is about 1  $\mu$ s at 100 Mbit/s or 0,1  $\mu$ s at 1 Gbit/s.

Whenever a frame arrives at a bridge's egress port that is busy outputting another frame, the frame must be held in a buffer. All bridges have egress queues for this buffering with some bridges having separated queues for frames with different priority levels (usually 2, 4, or 8 priority levels are supported).

For each frame buffered in the egress port's queue at the same or higher priority levels, the elapsed time for the waiting frame increases by the time shown in Table 41.

#### 11.2.4 Latency and hop counts

In a non-congested network, the minimum bridge hop latency at 100 Mbit/s is estimated to 32  $\mu$ s.

NOTE The 32  $\mu$ s latency is calculated as follows. The bridges usually operate in a store-and-forward mode, which means the whole frame is received before it is forwarded. A typical GOOSE message of 300 octets has 2 400 bits, and causes at 100 Mbit/s a delay of 24  $\mu$ s. With a minimum bridge latency of 8  $\mu$ s + frame delay, the latency is 8  $\mu$ s + 24  $\mu$ s = 32  $\mu$ s. To this must be added the queuing latency, which is a variable depending on load and QoS settings on the network bridges.

GOOSE and SV frames could be allocated a high priority on the network and therefore have a minimum wait time of the largest possible frame.

Network latency is caused primarily by egress queuing, the amount of time a frame has to wait before it is transmitted. Forwarding latency is affected by QoS and frame sizes. If no QoS is used then frames are forwarded in a FIFO (first in first out) method, with the assistance of QoS frames can be prioritized and forwarded based on this priority. So if a low priority packet is being transferred at the time a high priority GOOSE packet is sent, the high priority packet can in essence jump the egress queue and be the next frame to be sent, but it must await the end of the current transmission. The worst case latency per bridge, providing the high priority queue is empty, is 124  $\mu$ s based on a 1 530 octet frame at 100 Mbit/s.

#### 11.2.5 Network latency budget

Table 42 records the best and worst case latencies based on a 300 octet frame that receives a high priority on the network and the same with a delay of a 1 530 octet frame queuing wait for each hop. The worst case for the total chain occurs when a 1 530 octet frame waits at each bridge, but the probability that this happens is low.

**Table 42 – Latencies caused by waiting for a lower-priority frame to egress a port**

| Bridge hops | Min. latency<br>(no waiting) | Max. latency,<br>congestion at only<br>one bridge | Average latency<br>with variable<br>congestion | Max. latency,<br>congestion at<br>every bridge |
|-------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| 1           | 32 µs                        | 156 µs                                            | 156 µs                                         | 156 µs                                         |
| 2           | 64 µs                        | 188 µs                                            | 250 µs                                         | 312 µs                                         |
| 3           | 96 µs                        | 220 µs                                            | 344 µs                                         | 468 µs                                         |
| 4           | 128 µs                       | 252 µs                                            | 438 µs                                         | 624 µs                                         |
| 5           | 160 µs                       | 284 µs                                            | 532 µs                                         | 780 µs                                         |
| 6           | 192 µs                       | 316 µs                                            | 626 µs                                         | 936 µs                                         |
| 7           | 224 µs                       | 348 µs                                            | 720 µs                                         | 1 092 µs                                       |
| 8           | 256 µs                       | 380 µs                                            | 814 µs                                         | 1 248 µs                                       |
| 9           | 288 µs                       | 412 µs                                            | 908 µs                                         | 1 404 µs                                       |

The total budget for a fast trip is 3 ms as per IEC 61850-5 Class TT6. Assuming a maximum processing time for each IED of 1,2 ms, and two IEDs concerned, this leaves the network with a maximum budget of 3 ms – (2 × 1,2 ms) = 0,6 ms of latency. Hence, for a fast trip, the number of hops in the network between the concerned IEDs should be lower or equal to 5 according to Table 42.

NOTE The minimum latency would improve using cut-through in the bridges, but not the maximum latency.

### 11.2.6 Example of traffic delays

At each egress bridge port, a high-priority frame has to wait in the worst-case for a maximum-length lower-priority frame to egress.

If one hop delay is 124 µs at 100 Mbit/s (12,4 µs at 1 Gbit/s), a potential 2 ms extra delay could therefore be incurred in a network path comprising 16 hops at 100 Mbit/s (160 hops at 1 Gbit/s).

### 11.2.7 Engineering a network for IEC 61850 protection

A protection engineer needs to know what latencies to use for the various protection events so that they can be correctly coordinated.

To meet the IEC 60834-1 standard, the network must be designed so that the latencies of the critical protection GOOSE frames be less than 10 ms 99,99 % of the time. This requires the following:

- Identification of all bridge egress ports in the circuit paths carrying critical protection GOOSE traffic.
- Identification of all other circuits sharing these critical egress ports (e.g. SCADA, surveillance, corporate).
- Classification of all circuits as known-pattern or unknown-pattern (pattern being the frame length(s) and inter-frame gap statistics).
- If any circuits are unknown-pattern, these must be assigned a lower-priority.
- Unless its pattern is known, MMS traffic should be assigned a priority lower than that of critical protection traffic.
- For the known-pattern circuits, use Table 40, Table 41 and Table 42 to calculate the probability that a frame latency exceeds 10 ms; if worse than 99,99 %, then:

- assign several priority levels to the known-pattern circuits (e.g. critical protection GOOSE traffic to the highest priority), or
- use (more) VLANs to restrict multicast and broadcast frames to only the network paths needed, or
- rearrange the network;
- implement a policy to ensure that the performance is not compromised by future changes to the network (new traffic sources, network topology changes etc.).

## 12 Network traffic control

### 12.1 Factors that affect performance

#### 12.1.1 Influencing factors

Performance and real time response from end to end are affected by:

- Number of hops (bridges and routers) and amount of traffic in the layer 2 network, which affects latency and jitter;
- Ratio of edge ports to trunk ports based on traffic load patterns. E.g. 100 Mbit/s for devices to bridge and 1 Gbit/s for inter-bridge communication;
- Use of multicast filtering to confine traffic within multicast domains and VLANs to segregate traffic;
- Use of QoS parameters to meet the real-time requirements of various traffic flows;
- Capacity of the end devices to deal with the traffic. This last point is not considered as a network issue, see 6.4.7.

#### 12.1.2 Traffic reduction

Removing bottlenecks on the trunk links requires a careful analysis to configure correctly the bridges in the network.

Client/Server traffic (C/S, unicast) can only be reduced by VLANs and by the natural filtering of the bridges through MAC source address filtering.

The bulk of IEC 61850 traffic however consists of multicast GOOSE and SV messages.

Multicast traffic can be filtered or restricted to specific domains in the network by VLAN and Multicast filtering, in the generic scheme of Figure 54. This will be detailed in the sequel.




**Figure 54 – Generic multicast domains**

### 12.1.3 Example of traffic reduction scheme

Figure 55 shows a "full blown" scenario utilizing all communication mechanisms of IEC 61850: MMS Client/Server (C/S), GOOSE (GO), and Sampled Values (SV). The substation communication network is segregated into a dedicated station bus and a dedicated process bus.

The station bus carries primarily C/S traffic between the station control and bay devices (IEDs) for SCADA purposes and GOOSE messages between the bays.

The process bus carries the real time communication, which are the SVs from the merging units (MUs) to the bay devices and the GOOSE messages. GOOSE messages are exchanged between the bay devices within the bay level and between the bay devices and the process close IEDs (PIBs). The bay devices (protection IEDs) publish e.g. trip commands by GOOSE for the PIBs, which in turn publish their breaker positions by GOOSE as well.

When SVs are not utilized, the dedicated process bus possibly does not exist and the peer-to-peer communication via GOOSE between the bay devices also runs over the station bus.

In case of high load due to SVs, it might be necessary to limit the broadcast domains in the process bus. This is indicated in Figure 55 by the segmented process bus, which is connected by bridge 2 and bridge 3. The link between is permeable for GOOSE messages, while filters are applied for SVs to separate the streams crossing the link from those confined to a process bus segment.

The PIBs communicate not only with the bay devices by GOOSE, but also with the station control by C/S communication. Typical tasks are to send reports with the CB position to the station control while the station control commands the PIBs to trip or close the CBs.

Thus, C/S communication must traverse vertically from the station control to the process level through all layers. To accommodate this, the link between bridge 1 and bridge 2, respectively bridge 3 is provided. This link can be implemented in different ways.




Figure 55 – Traffic patterns

#### 12.1.4 Multicast domains in a combined station bus and process bus network

A filtering or segregation mechanism in the bridges allows to restrict multicast traffic only to those IEDs that are subscribers of the GOOSE or SV traffic. If this filtering is not applied, the network is flooded by multicast messages which results in excessive bandwidth consumption and overhead of IEDs by unnecessary processing of unwanted traffic. Figure 56 shows a representation of the multicast domains in a combined station bus and process bus network.




**Figure 56 – Multicast domains for a combined process bus and station bus**

NOTE PI (Process Interfaces) are explained in 7.3.2.

Flooding the whole network with multicasts like SV could overload bridges and devices that cannot handle this traffic. If e.g. SV traffic has to pass through the station bus, e.g. from one process bus network to another, it is rigorously restricted to the network path it has to travel. If several such traffics use the station bus segment, they can overload the station bus.

In large substations, it becomes necessary to limit the multicast domain to devices that must communicate with each other.

The bridges between the multicast domains should segregate the GOOSE traffic based on the multicast address of the frames.

Typically, the IEDs of a voltage level form one specific multicast domain.

When used for communication between multicast domains, GOOSE frames should be configured with one specific global multicast domain address.

## 12.2 Traffic control by VLANs

### 12.2.1 Trunk traffic reduction by VLANs

NOTE VLANs are introduced in 6.4.8.

VLANs can reduce the amount of messages that the end devices must process, but this is not a network traffic issue.

VLANs reduce the network traffic only when distinct zones can be built. This requires careful analysis, since the network can reconfigure itself upon failure of a link, and restrictive VLAN filtering could hamper communication.

### 12.2.2 VLAN usage

VLANs require careful engineering and deployment, they should be avoided unless justified.

IEC 61850 does not mention nor does it mandate the use of VLANs. It requires to send the GOOSE and SV frames as priority-tagged frames to ensure priorities. Preserving these priorities requires at least default VLAN support.

IEC/TR 61850-90-1 suggests using VLANs for tunnelling substation-to-substation communication, to prevent burst situations from propagating to another substation.

To reduce the traffic load on end devices, multicast filtering is often sufficient since the bulk of IEC 61850 communication is multicast.

### 12.2.3 VLAN handling at the IEDs

IEC 61850-8-1:2011 requests that an IED sends the GOOSE and SV messages with a 802.1Q tag; it may send MMS messages untagged. The other traffic is unspecified.

An IED may also send messages with VLAN tags, in which case the bridge will treat it as explained in 6.4.8.2.

At reception, the edge port of the bridge to which the IED is connected is expected to be configured to remove the 802.1Q tags, but this is not required.

An IED is requested to accept VLAN tagged frames regardless of the VLAN tag for any message, while it may use the priority field internally.

### 12.2.4 Example of correct VLAN configuration

Figure 57 shows a properly configured network where priority is preserved, in which node A2 sends MMS traffic as untagged and GOOSE traffic as priority-tagged (VID = 0; PCP = 4) to a port at bridge A with (PVID = 3; PPCP = 0) and configured with 'Admit all frames' and ingress filtering turned off.




**Figure 57 – Bridges with correct VLAN configuration**

The ingress port encapsulates the frame carrying MMS traffic with a VLAN tag with (VID = 3; PCP = 0), inheriting the port's configuration. The port encapsulates the frame carrying GOOSE traffic with (VID = 3; PCP = 4), preserving the priority.

Bridge A forwards both the GOOSE and the MMS frame through the trunk port towards bridge B. This port is configured to keep the frame tagged. Thus both frames (MMS and GOOSE) retain the VLAN tag with their respective VIDs and PCP. The port is also member in VLAN 3, but this is irrelevant when ingress filtering is not used.

The receiving trunk port on bridge B is configured as "accept all frames". It thus accepts both frames, regardless of their tag. Bridge B internally forwards the frames towards the edge port to which node B1 is connected. This port is a member of VLAN 3 and is configured to untag frames.

Thus, the egress port removes the VLAN tag from both the MMS and the GOOSE frames. These frames lose their priority information, but it only would matter if the node is able to forward frames like node B2 in Figure 57, which forwards frames of the default VID as tagged.

### 12.2.5 Example of incorrect VLAN configuration

Several misconfigured parameters could prevent the correct reception of the frames from node A at SAN B. An example is shown in Figure 58.




**Figure 58 – Bridges with poor VLAN configuration**

The first configuration error appears at the trunk port of bridge A. The port is not a member port of VLAN 3. This means that the MMS and GOOSE frames from SAN A will not be forwarded by that port.

A second configuration error assumes that trunk port is correctly configured to be member of VLAN 3, but also to untag all frames. This removes all VLAN information (VID and PCP) on all frames sent from that port and also the priority information of GOOSE messages. The ingress port would tag both GOOSE and MMS frames entering the bridge with its PVID and PCP (VID = 1; PCP = 0). The bridge port towards SAN B1 however is still configured to be a member port of VLAN 3 only, which means that frames will be discarded, thus preventing end-to-end communication between SANs A2 and B1.

Other errors could be as follows (this list is not exhaustive):

- the bridge port connected to node A2 could be configured to accept frame types with 'Admit only VLAN tagged'. In this case, the bridge discards both the MMS and the GOOSE frame, since it considers priority-tagged frames with a VID different from zero as "untagged";
- the trunk port on bridge B connected to the bridge A could be configured as 'Admit untagged only'. In that case, bridge B would discard all tagged frames from bridge A;
- the port on the bridge B connected to the end node B2 could be configured to be a member port in VLAN 3 and sends frames with VLAN tag instead of "untagged". However, if the second port of node B2 is not capable of interpreting frames with a VLAN tag of 3, it will not forward them.

To prevent this kind of bad configurations, configuration guidelines should be observed. Such guidelines should distinguish:

- enabling end-to-end connectivity while preserving priorities (e.g. to expedite GOOSE frame delivery compared to MMS);
- restricting traffic flows by VLANs.

### 12.2.6 Retaining priority throughout the network

The following design rules allow to send the traffic from the IEDs within the same VLAN X and to preserve the priority of multicast GOOSE frames throughout the network:

- Choose one VID = X which is to be used by all devices for all network traffic (e.g. VID=1);
- Configure all edge ports to which IEDs are connected to as:
  - “accept all frames”;
  - PVID = X;
  - PPCP = 0 (default).
- Configure all bridge trunk ports connected to other bridges in the network:
  - to be member ports of VLAN X;
  - to “accept all frames”;
  - to keep tags on egress.
- Configure all bridge ports to which IEDs are connected to send frames untagged. The only exception to this rule should be if a specific IED can only interpret VLAN tagged traffic, in which case the port should be configured to send frames tagged.
- Configure the IEDs connected to the ports of VLAN-aware bridges to send high priority traffic either with VID = X or priority tagged (with VID ≠ 0) and with the PCP values as needed according to the traffic (e.g. PCP = 4 for GOOSE). Low priority traffic should be sent untagged.

The PCP value within each frame is given by the IED. High priority traffic should be sent tagged (either priority or VLAN), low priority traffic should be sent untagged.

**NOTE** If an IED is not capable of sending either VLAN or priority tagged frames, all its traffic will be automatically mapped to the best effort class. Configuring port priority could be used to map the whole traffic of that IED to a higher priority upon ingress at a bridge, but this could have a negative impact on overall performance.

### 12.2.7 Traffic filtering with VLANs

In addition to allowing priorities to be retained throughout the network, VLANs can also be used to segregate traffic flow throughout the network. Traffic filtering cannot however be applied to the ring ports of a ring since a ring reconfiguration would make parts unreachable.

Mapping specific traffic from different GOOSE control blocks to individual VLANs and then configuring these VLANs only on bridges that need to forward these frames can be an effective means of limiting traffic to specific parts of a network.




**Figure 59 – Bridges with traffic segmentation through VLAN configuration**

Figure 59 shows such an example configuration. Node A is connected to bridge A, which has its connecting bridge port configured to PVID = 3 and the default PPCP. Node A sends MMS traffic untagged and traffic for two GOOSE control blocks, GOOSE 1 and 2. GOOSE 1 is priority tagged, while GOOSE 2 is VLAN tagged. After ingress at the bridge, the three frames will have the following configuration:

- MMS: (VID = 3, PCP = 0)
- GOOSE 1: (VID = 3, PCP = 4)
- GOOSE 2: (VID = 2, PCP = 4)

The bridge port connecting bridge A to bridge B therefore has to be configured to be a member in both VLANs 2 and 3 in order to make it possible for GOOSE 1 and 2 to travel to bridge B.

In bridge B, the MMS traffic and the GOOSE 1 traffic are forwarded only to the edge port that is connected to node B. The trunk port connecting bridge B to bridge C is configured to be a member port only of VLAN 2. Thus, GOOSE 1 multicast traffic is restricted to bridges A and B without consuming bandwidth on bridge C. GOOSE 2 traffic is forwarded to bridge C and subsequently to node C.

NOTE If VLANs are to be used for GOOSE/SV multicast filtering, IEDs need the ability to transmit different kinds of traffic with different VLAN encapsulation, e.g. one distinct VLAN ID for every GOOSE control block. If this cannot be guaranteed, traffic control through multicast filters is preferred.

### 12.3 Traffic control by multicast filtering

### 12.3.1 Trunk traffic reduction by multicast filtering

IEC 61850 uses 802.1Q priority tagging to privilege time critical bus traffic for protection relevant applications over low priority MMS and management traffic.

GOOSE and SV traffic use layer 2 multicast. This traffic propagates across the whole network reaching all bridges and all IEDs. It impacts the bandwidth of all links in the network and adds latency to processing times in all bridges and all IEDs.

Therefore, when the station bus extends to numerous devices, it is advisable to divide it into segments separated by bridges that can filter out multicast traffic. A natural way is to split the station bus according to the different voltage levels, as shown in Figure 60.




**Figure 60 – Station bus separated into multicast domains by voltage level**

### 12.3.2 Multicast/VLAN management and redundancy protocol reconfiguration

When multicast traffic is restricted via multicast control and/or VLANs, a network redundancy reconfiguration could decouple devices or whole network segments from e.g. a multicast tree.

For both VLAN and multicast control, the transition points between station bus and process bus need to be identified. Transition points are most likely redundant network elements like e.g. HSR Redundancy Boxes or network bridges which employ redundant coupling, which have to be fitted with the same multicast control information. Furthermore, the actual physical topologies need to be taken into account, as is explained in 12.4.

### 12.3.3 Physical topologies and multicast management implications

#### 12.3.3.1 Ring

On a ring, multicast cannot be controlled on the ring ports, as a multicast publisher cannot determine where in the physical ring a network port has been blocked by a redundancy control protocol. Therefore, it has to send the multicast traffic in both directions inside the ring to reach all possible subscribers (see Figure 61).

For actual implementation, this means that specific MAC multicast filtering cannot be done on the ring ports of ring devices. If multicast management is done via VLANs, all VLANs containing multicast groups must be configured on all ring ports of the ring devices (analogous for dynamic MC management via GMRP/MMRP, forward-all must be implemented on ring ports).

This applies to RSTP rings, while on HSR rings the frame transmission is done automatically. As each frame is already being transmitted over two network paths by the HSR protocol itself, only VLANs need to be configured. Multicast management is done on the non-ring ports, connecting single subscribing devices or attached network segments.




Figure 61 – Multicast traffic on an RSTP ring

### 12.3.3.2 Parallel topology

In parallel topologies, two distinct, independent networks provide redundant paths from source to sink. In the case of PRP, the networks are operated completely in parallel. This means that the redundant networks need to be configured identically regarding multicast filtering at the junction points to other network systems and regarding subscribed end nodes.

E.g. the transition point from the process bus to LAN A is configured identically to the other transition point to LAN B in terms of multicast filtering. LAN A and LAN B themselves just must ensure that the transmission of multicast or VLAN tagged messages is transparent to the outer networks and to the publishers/subscribers. In case the parallel networks are not identical, the multicast configuration must still ascertain that a subscribing doubly attached end node receives the multicast messages from a MC group over both networks on both interfaces.

### 12.3.3.3 Separation of process bus and station bus

Figure 62 shows a generic network structure: On the station level, an RSTP ring is used and on the primary equipment level, a HSR ring is redundantly connected via two HSR Redundancy Boxes that are part of the RSTP ring structure and at the same time are participants in the HSR ring. The HSR redundancy boxes are therefore the points of interconnection between the process bus and the station bus and therefore, a multicast configuration has to be made on these devices.

For instance, SV multicast traffic from the process bus HSR network must not be transmitted into the station bus RSTP network. Therefore, for all configured multicast addresses, filtering entries need to be added into the configuration of both Redundancy Boxes. If the multicast configuration is done via VLANs, the corresponding VIDs can only be configured on the HSR ring interfaces, not on the RSTP ring interfaces, thus restricting SV traffic to the HSR ring. On the other hand, GOOSE traffic from the protection devices on the station bus need not be forwarded to the HSR ring.




Figure 62 – RSTP station bus and HSR ring

Figure 63 shows a top level station bus network with RSTP (doubly attached using the SRP protocol to two switches) and two redundantly coupled HSR Rings on the process bus. SV data from HSR 1 needs to be transmitted to HSR 2. This means that a strict segmentation of multicasts into transmission on station bus and on process bus is not possible. Therefore, a strict multicast configuration on the RSTP station bus level ring needs to be implemented for the SV traffic. The SV traffic does not leave the top level redundant ring.




Figure 63 – RSTP station bus and HSR process bus

The junction points between individual rings are the only place to evaluate whether a specific multicast group has to pass from one network segment into another. Which multicast group has to be transmitted to which segment depends on the devices situated at each segment. With this information, the junction points between the network segments can be configured to not forward multicast traffic to network segments where there are no subscribers. The network configuration could be done e.g. with a network management tool which visualizes the network structure.

NOTE Caution is needed in network structures with multiple rings that are chained and not all directly interconnected, for instance when one or several rings do not contain subscribers for a specific multicast group, but a ring further downstream does. In this case, the intermediate rings are transit rings; multicast configuration has to be done accordingly.

## 12.4 Configuration support from tools and SCD files

Traffic control can be engineered with Network Engineering Tools that can graphically visualize or edit a network topology, can evaluate and modify SCD files and extract which IEDs are subscribed to which multicast groups. If the SCD file does not already contain a communication section with physical topology, the engineer wires bridges and IEDs, graphically, identifying the ports and completing the communication section of the SCD file.

The tool can configure the bridging devices (VLANs or Multicast Addresses) automatically based solely on the SCD file.

To this purpose, the SCD file should include the following:

- The topology of the network in the communication section;
- “Inputs” section in the LLN0 and other Logical Nodes as described in 9.3.13 of IEC 61850-6:2009 (not necessary if all control blocks have a complete subscriber list);
- for each control block, the subscriber list (can be deduced from the input section of all other IEDs if not present);
- for each “GSEControl” and “SampledValueControl” block defined in the IED section, the “P” elements of the type “MAC-Address”, “APPID”, “VLAN-PRIORITY” and “VLAN-ID” in the communication section.

## 13 Dependability

### 13.1 Resiliency requirements

The required behaviour of a substation automation system upon a failure of one of its parts depends on the consequence of that failure. In general, the voltage level and the importance of the connected loads dictate the dependability requirements.

A common criterion is “N-1”, meaning that complete functionality is sustained when any single component fails. The “N-1” requirement applied to the network as a whole says that the network connectivity is maintained in spite of any single failure.

A finer analysis identifies failure-prone individual functions of the automation system and how long their outage is tolerated, and which loss of production results.

For instance, a less stringent network resiliency requirement is that the loss of any single element affects only one bay.

The consequence of an unintended function is a safety issue.

This analysis yields the level of redundancy expected in the network to overcome the failure of a component.

Redundancy of the network must be separated from redundancy of the end devices. Failure of an end device can only be overcome by a back-up device. Device redundancy allows e.g. for the protection of a valuable circuit breaker by two independent IEDs (Main 1 / Main 2 protection). This is not required when enough redundancy exists in the protection hierarchy, so that another IED can trip a circuit breaker if the IED in charge is momentarily incapacitated.

The fail-independence of the two application devices must not be impaired by any network failure.

Typically, the level of redundancy required for the station bus and the process bus are different, depending on the consequences of a loss of communication on the application.

All permanent failures should be detected and reported to SCADA and network management.

### **13.2 Availability and reliability requirements**

Utilities rarely prescribe reliability and availability figures for the network alone. Concrete figures must be derived from the functional dependability requirements.

Sometimes, only a redundant layout of the network is required.

The dependability requirements in substations are described in IEC 61850-5.

See Clause 13 for more details.

### **13.3 Recovery time requirements**

A key parameter is how long a substation application tolerates an interruption of the communication due to recovery from a failure without consequences on the plant.

Failure to deliver in time because of traffic congestion is treated as a performance (or security) issue.

IEC 61850-5 specifies in particular the different requirements on recovery time between station bus (and more precisely IEC 61850-8-1 traffic) and process bus (or more precisely IEC 61850-9-2 traffic).

On the station bus, recovery from a failure needs to complete within a time short enough for GOOSE traffic not to be delayed beyond a critical threshold. In an engineered network, an upper bound for this recovery time must be calculable and low enough to meet IEC 61850-5. RSTP is a widely used protocol that fulfils most requirements when engineered with restrictions specified in IEC 62439-1:2010. The PRP and HSR protocols provide seamless recovery and therefore can be used on the station bus for demanding applications.

On the process bus, the tolerated disruption time must be short enough that the flow of SV is not disturbed. This calls for a redundancy scheme that provides seamless failover. For the process bus, network redundancy needs to be approached not on a basis of failover times at all but on the basis of a seamless redundancy concept with no failover time at all. Both PRP and HSR are redundancy protocols fulfil these requirements.

### **13.4 Maintainability requirements**

Maintenance is a prerequisite to achieve dependability. According to the N-1 criteria, dependability calculations are dominated by the probability that a faulty device is not yet repaired at the time a second device fails.

Therefore, a comprehensive failure detection and maintenance strategy allows minimizing repair time.

The maintenance cost of substations depends on the criticality of the application and on the geographical constraints. For example, maintenance of remote sites or offshore wind farms is typically ten times more expensive than in case of substations where staff is on stand-by. For such applications, remote maintenance of networking equipment is highly recommended. Also modular design of equipment, field replaceable or hot-swappable modules are recommended.

### 13.5 Dependability calculations

Clause 7 of IEC 62439-1:2010 defines availability models and calculation methods for communication networks. These models and calculation methods can be applied to networks for energy and power utility automation.

The following issues must be addressed when calculating reliability:

- Which are the criteria for declaring the network operational in case not all elements are redundant (the N-1 criteria only holds strictly only if all elements are redundant)?
- Are degraded modes allowed (e.g. losing one bay is less severe than losing the whole substation or a voltage level)?
- Is there on the contrary a higher dependability requirement that calls for an N-2 criterion for parts of the system?
- Are the availability / reliability to be calculated on a per-function basis (protection / control) or on a per-network device basis (bridge, link, IED)?
- Are the IEDs specified as IEC 61508 devices with a Safety Integrity Level and a PFD (Probability to Fail on Demand)?
- To which extend is the critical traffic mixed with non-critical, but unpredictable traffic such as HTTP while still keeping the risk of malfunction calculable?
- What are the offered capabilities to detect a network failure? How long does it take?
- What is the typical maintenance reactivity to be considered on field for repairing a faulty device, once the failure has been detected?

These questions must be answered in the frame of an actual implementation and contract.

### 13.6 Risk analysis attached to "unwanted events"

The level of reliability required for the communication network depends also on the accepted level of risk attached to "unwanted events".

The "unwanted events" the system faces must be clearly specified according to the level of risk the user accepts (probability of occurrence).

The result of such risk analysis influences the level of reliability to allocate to each part of the system, including:

- the reliability requirement attached to the communication network as a whole, and the failure modes;
- the reliability requirement attached to the link of dedicated devices to the communication network and the failure modes;
- the reliability requirement of the device itself and the failure modes.

## 14 Time services

### 14.1 Clock synchronization and accuracy requirements

There is a number of precise clocks at many levels of the substation automation, in particular for time-stamped measurements, sequence-of-events and transient recorders. These clocks need to be synchronized.

Protection functions such as busbar protection and differential protection require a relative clock synchronization. Synchrophasors however require an absolute synchronization to a global time reference.

A clock is characterized by its

- accuracy, which is the mean error from the reference clock expressed in time units, e.g. 1  $\mu$ s;
- precision, which is the deviation of the error from the mean, also expressed in time units, e.g. 1 ns (the clock could have an offset of  $1 \mu\text{s} \pm 1 \text{ ns}$ );
- stability, which is the variation of the precision in time expressed in  $\mu\text{s/s}$ .

IEC 61850-5 defines classes of clock synchronization for different applications. The classes are summarized in Table 43, copied from IEC 61850-5 for convenience.

**Table 43 – Synchronization classes of IEC 61850-5**

| Class | Accuracy              | Usage                                                                                                                          |
|-------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| T1    | $\pm 1 \text{ ms}$    | Event logging.                                                                                                                 |
| T2    | $\pm 100 \mu\text{s}$ | Zero crossing for the distributed synchrocheck.                                                                                |
| T3    | $\pm 25 \mu\text{s}$  | Class P1 protection functions.                                                                                                 |
| T4    | $\pm 4 \mu\text{s}$   | Class P2 protection functions (e.g. busbar protection function) and class M1. This is the class of accuracy specified in [15]. |
| T5    | $\pm 1 \mu\text{s}$   | Class P3 protection functions and classes M2/3.                                                                                |

The accuracy in Table 43 includes the inaccuracy within the device. For instance, IEC 61869-6 defines instrument transformer accuracy classes that comprise the whole measurement chain from the transformer to the digital output, assuming that the network clock messages applied to the device have an accuracy better than 1  $\mu$ s.

### 14.2 Global time sources

The primary time source (called stratum 0 in NTP) relies on time broadcast by atomic clocks.

A dedicated time server within the substation receives the time signal from the outside, e.g. over long-wave radio WVBB (USA), DCF77 (Germany), MSF-60 (UK), short wave (WWV) or microwaves (GNSS: GPS, GLONASS, Galileo) satellites, which provide the highest accuracy.

When absolute time distribution is critical, a substation should rely on two redundant time servers. Some substations lose the reference signal for a longer period due to their geographical location (e.g. in a deep valley). Also, GNSS jamming (intentional or not) can occur. Solar storms have disabled GPS satellites. Therefore, the two time servers should be of different types and it is recommended to use an atomic clock as a backup. Rubidium clocks are available at affordable prices. Several clock types can be used, provided the hierarchy between the clocks is the same for all devices.

The local clock in a device keeps the time within tolerance after an outage of the reference clock only during a certain time, called the holdover time. This time depends on the quality of the quartz and the environmental conditions.

To increase the holdover time, clocks in each node need to be syntonized (tuned to the same frequency) and not only synchronized (set to the same time). This gives a longer grace time for the activation of the back-up clock upon loss of the clock master. For IEC 61850-9-2 (SV) applications, a holdover time of about 5 s is sufficient to overcome a failure of the time server, assuming that a hot standby is available.

For relative clock synchronization, absolute time is not critical as long as all clocks are synchronized to the same master clock.

Most applications do not need a high accuracy and a cost/benefit analysis is recommended.

### 14.3 Time scales and leap seconds

There exist several absolute time scales, in particular TAI and UTC.

TAI is the scientific time scale. It is continuously incrementing and will never be reset or discontinued.

UTC is the legal time. It is the base for the clocks of all countries. It indicates approximately 12:00:00 at solar noon in Greenwich at the spring equinox (it was formerly called Greenwich Mean Time). The Bureau International des Poids et Mesures, Paris, is responsible for the definition of UTC.

UTC and TAI proceed at exactly the same rate, both were identical some time back in 1961. Since 1961, the earth rotation slightly slowed down, days became longer. Additional fractions of seconds were regularly inserted until 1972, when it was decided to insert only full seconds, called leap seconds. Since then, when the difference between UTC and solar noon exceeds 0,9 s, which happens after some years, the Bureau International des Poids et Mesures adjusts UTC by letting all clocks insert a leap second, so the last minute of a day (in principle the 31<sup>st</sup> of December or the 30<sup>th</sup> of June) lasts 61 s. The reverse case is theoretically possible, but is very unlikely that the Earth starts spinning faster.

Leap seconds insertion cannot be anticipated, since irregularities of the earth's rotation are unpredictable. After 2012, June 30<sup>th</sup>, UTC lagged behind TAI by 35 s, so when TAI time says it is 2012-10-17 12:00:00, UTC says it is only 2011-10-17 11:59:25.

When a leap second is introduced, the time counter is set back by one second (or the second counter is not incremented at the end of the last second of a minute). Thus, during one leap second, time stamps can have the same value as in the second before. This means that a measurement taken a few milliseconds before the leap second was inserted will have a time stamp that is later than a measurement taken a few milliseconds after insertion.

To deduce TAI time from UTC time, a table of all elapsed leap seconds is needed. UTC cannot be predicted for a given TAI, since introduction of a leap second is an arbitrary decision. The system of leap seconds is still subject to discussion and could be revised in 2015, according to a decision of the ITU in January 2012.

Some protocols such as NTP/SNTP and IRIG-B transmit UTC time and indicate if a leap second will take place at the end of the current minute. Unfortunately, they do indicate the number of accumulated leap seconds so the calculation of time differences over a long period of time requires an up-to-date table containing all elapsed leap seconds and the date at which they were introduced. Also, a clock that was not connected during some minutes before the leap second will not be informed of the jump and will experience a delay in synchronizing.

Recent protocols such as PTP and GPS use the TAI time scale (with different, but constant offsets). They both indicate the accumulated number of leap seconds and if a leap second is pending, so that UTC can be deduced from TAI.

#### 14.4 Epoch

When expressed in digital form, time is counted since an arbitrary date, called the epoch. For instance, in a Unix machine, the epoch of the time counter is 1970, January 1<sup>st</sup>, 00:00:00. Since the size of the time counter is limited, at some point in time, the time value wraps around, and a new epoch starts.

For instance, Unix uses a signed 32-bit counter for the seconds which will wrap around 19<sup>th</sup> of January 2038 around 03:14:08 UTC. NTP is represented by an unsigned 32-bit integer for the seconds and an unsigned 32-bit integer for the binary fractions of a second. NTP has an epoch of 1900, January 1<sup>st</sup>, 0 h and its 32-bit second counter will wrap around 2036, February 7<sup>th</sup>, i.e. before the Unix wrap.

NOTE In fact, NTP specifies TAI with an epoch of 1st of January 1900, but informatics engineers interpreted this as UTC. Some ask to go back to TAI.

The IRIG-B protocol carries the date in BCD form, so the epoch is day zero of the Julian Calendar.

PTP's epoch is 1970, January 1<sup>st</sup> 0 h while GPS's epoch is 1980, January 6<sup>th</sup>, 0 h, the day the first satellite was launched.

#### 14.5 Time scales in IEC 61850

When engineering a substation, it is critical to use only one time base, preferably TAI, since only TAI allows an unambiguous time-stamping also during leap seconds and the building of time differences across leap seconds. Any other should be derived from TAI.

The UTC time scale should be used for human displays, but not for time-stamping or sequence-of-events recorders. The UTC time transmitted by IEC 61850 messages should be corrected by the amount of leap seconds (indicated by the clock synchronization protocols). Indeed, wide-area systems using phasors or differential protection schemes could suffer disruptions when the clocks of the different measurement units leap at about the same time.

IEC 61850-7-2:2010 defines two time representations:

- TimeStamp counts seconds and binary fraction of seconds in a 56-bit counter since 1970-01-01 00:00:00, plus an 8-bit indication of time quality, which tells if the UTC or the TAI scale (LeapSecondsKnown=false) is in use, if the clock is in operation, if the clock is synchronized to a global reference, and which accuracy (number of significant digits) it has.
- EntryTime which is assumed to be an internal time representation with an epoch of 1984-01-01 00:00:00. This representation is used only for log entries. It carries no time quality.

Annex E of IEC 61850-8-1:2011 describes the mapping of

- TimeStamp to MMS type UtcTime (ASN.1 Type OCTET STRING [8]) which is a 64-bit counter which counts multiples of  $2^{-32}$  s since 1970-01-01 00:00:00, but leaves the use of the least significant 8 bits undefined, possibly random.
- EntryTime to MMS type TimeOfDay (ASN.1 Type Btime6 or OCTET STRING [6]) which counts milliseconds since midnight and days since 1984-01-01 on the GMT scale.

IEC/TR 61850-90-5 (Synchrophasor transmission) and IEC/TR 61850-90-1 use TimeStamp.

NOTE UtcTime is an extension of ISO 9506-1 and ISO 9506-2 defined in IEC 61850-8-1. TimeOfDay is defined in ISO 9506-1 and ISO 9506-2.

Measurement synchronization according to IEEE C37.118-1 and IEEE C37.118-2 uses a representation similar to TimeStamp, but the scale is always UTC and the quality information is coded differently and at another place in the message.

The IRIG-B time representation is complex since it carries the number of seconds since midnight and the same time quality field as IEEE C37.118. There is no fraction of second since IRIG-B is sent always exactly when a second starts. The reception of the header indicates the second.

Table 44 compares the different time representations used in utility automation.

### Table 44 – Time representations

[illegible]

## 14.6 Synchronization mechanisms in IEC 61850

### 14.6.1 Clock synchronization protocols

IEC 61850-8-1 and UCA 61850-9-2LE [15] respectively recommend these clock synchronization protocols:


- 1 PPS (see 14.6.2);
- IRIG-B (see 14.6.3);
- SNTP (see 14.6.4);
- PTP (see 14.6.5).

Figure 64 shows an example of several arrangements of the clock synchronization within in a substation.

The GPS receivers indicate the absolute time, a back-up atomic clock protects against GPS loss. These clocks can generate a 1 PPS signal over a dedicated wiring, and/or send the time information over SNTP or PTP.

The merging units on the process bus are synchronized by 1 PPS, using dedicated wiring, as UCA 61850-9-2LE foresees.

IEC 61869-9 foresees that the merging units are synchronized over PTP through a transparent clock or a boundary clock in the IED that bridges the station bus and the process bus. This IED can become the time source in case the station bus time distribution becomes unavailable. Instead, a dedicated bridge may be used (left device in Figure 64).



#### Key

|    |                                 |
|----|---------------------------------|
| BC | boundary clock (master-capable) |
| MC | master-capable clock            |
| OC | ordinary clock                  |
| TC | transparent clock               |

**Figure 64 – Clock synchronization channels**

### 14.6.2 1 PPS

1 PPS is a frequently used synchronization that sends a continuous train of pulses at a frequency of one pulse per second over a twisted wire pair or an optical fibre. 1 PPS does not carry the absolute time. The rising edge and duration are specified in 6.2 of IEC 60044-8:2002, as shown in Figure 65.




Figure 65 – 1 PPS synchronisation

UCA 61850-9-2LE [15] specifies an accuracy class of T4 and the synchronization by a 1 PPS signal generated by a GPS receiver and possibly carried by a dedicated network, e.g. direct fibre links to each device that needs precise synchronization (e.g. merging units).

UCA 61850-9-2LE makes a distinction between the accuracy of the source of synchronization, which is 1  $\mu$ s, and the accuracy of the actual time stamping of the data, which is 4  $\mu$ s.

IEC 61869-9 defines that the synchronization by 1 PPS may not exhibit more than  $\pm 2$   $\mu$ s of inaccuracy. It also specifies that a pulse width  $T_h$  longer than 5  $\mu$ s implies that the source is a global reference clock while a pulse width  $T_h$  shorter than 1,1  $\mu$ s indicates a local reference clock.

### 14.6.3 IRIG-B

IRIG-B is a time synchronisation protocol which defines a train of pulses encoding the UTC date as BCD numbers, with additional indication of the clock traceability and quality. This train of pulses can be modulated over a radio signal, or sent as baseband over a simple twisted wire pair or optical fibre. Bus topologies and point-to-point are used with different kinds of links.

There exist several variants of IRIG-B. The synchrophasor measurement and transmission standards C37.118-1 and C37.118-2 (formerly IEEE 1344) define the use of IRIG-B 200-04 with the addition of a continuous time quality field that indicates the inaccuracy when the reference signal is lost. These documents contain an extensive description of the protocol.

UCA 61850-9-2LE [15] mentions IRIG-B as an alternative to 1 PPS.

### 14.6.4 NTP/SNTP clock synchronization for IEC 61850-8-1 (station bus)

IEC 61850-8-1 specifies that synchronization is to be achieved through SNTP. SNTP is a simplified version of NTP; both use the same message formats.

SNTP uses a clock hierarchy of several levels, called stratum. The highest stratum is linked to a reference atomic clock.

SNTP is implemented in most PCs as part of the communication stack. Usual implementations provide a precision of about 1 ms within a substation LAN.

SNTP carries UTC, although some interpret it as TAI. The 64-bit timestamps used by NTP consist of a 32-bit seconds counter and a 32-bit fractional second part, giving NTP a time scale that rolls over every  $2^{32}$  s (136 years) and a theoretical resolution of  $2^{-32}$  s (233 ps). SNTP has an epoch of 1<sup>st</sup> of January 1900. The first rollover will occur in the year 2036, prior to the UNIX year 2038 problem (see IETF RFC 4330), introducing a 200 ps interval in which time is invalid (all zero).

This rollover will occur within the lifespan of presently delivered devices. Therefore, unless a NTPv.4 protocol is used, testing and workaround is recommended.

NOTE As there exist probably no archived NTP timestamps before bit 0 was set in 1968, a convenient way to extend the useful life of NTP timestamps is the following convention: If bit 0 (MSB) is set, the UTC time is in the range [1968..2036], and UTC time is reckoned from 0 h 0 m 0 s UTC on 1 January 1900. If bit 0 is not set, the time is in the range [2036..2104] and UTC time is reckoned from 6 h 28 m 16 s UTC on 7 February 2036.

SNTP operates over UDP/IP (layer 3) using port 123, and therefore crosses routers.

The SNTP clock synchronization is initiated by the client (slave clock), who sends a time request to the server (master clock, higher stratum), which responds with the current time, as shown in Figure 66.




**Figure 66 – SNTP clock synchronization and delay measurement**

The client needs to compensate for the delays in the network by calculating the round-trip delay between the clock server and itself. To this effect, the client registers its local time when sending the request (transmit timestamp) and registers its local time when receiving the response (receive timestamp). The server also registers its local time when it received the request and includes this time in the response, together with the time at which it sent the response. The client adds to the clock time the network delay  $\delta$ , which it estimates as:

$$\delta = \frac{(t_4 - t_1) - (t_3 - t_2)}{2}$$

where

- $t_1$  is the time the client sent the request;
- $t_2$  is the time the server received the request;
- $t_3$  is the time the server sent the response;
- $t_4$  is the time at which the client received the response.

This calculation assumes that the delays in the network are symmetrical, i.e. the request and the response take the same path and that each router takes the same amount of time to treat each message. For instance, the lower part of Figure 66 shows an asymmetrical round trip delay which then leads to an incorrect estimation of the network delay. Cleverer algorithms consider the average over several measurements and known asymmetries. This method is limited by uncertainty due to asymmetrical transmission and varying residence delays in the routers and bridges, possibly due to overload. This uncertainty cumulates with the number of hops. The residence delays in NTP are imprecise, because the NTP protocol is handled in software at layer three and the time-stamping is done with no hardware support.

For precise synchronization using SNTP, network engineers should care that the network presents a symmetrical behaviour regarding the path that a time request and time response take. This is best ensured if the routers use pre-established routes rather than routes chosen depending on the network load.

#### **14.6.5 PTP (IEC 61588) synchronization**

##### **14.6.5.1 PTP elements**

PTP distinguishes the following elements as shown in Figure 67:

- Grand master clock (GMC), that is the top-level master clock controlling a time domain and which is usually connected to a reference signal (GPS or atomic clock).
- Master clocks (MC), that is the clock that is sending the time synchronization message Sync within a subdomain, either as grand master or as a boundary clock (on behalf of the Grand Master).
- Ordinary clocks (OC), that can be either slave or master clocks. Master-capable clocks can become master (of a subdomain) or grand-master (of the top subdomain).
- Transparent clocks (TC), that forward the Sync messages and correct the time by evaluating the peer delay and residence delay of Sync messages.
- Hybrid clocks (HC), that combine a transparent clock and an Ordinary Clock
- Boundary clocks (BC), that have a slave port synchronized by a master clock and a master port that controls a time sub-domain. Even if it is a bridge, it does not forward the messages it receives from the upper-level master to its sub-domain, but sends its own PTP messages, possibly with a different period.




Figure 67 – PTP elements

#### 14.6.5.2 PTP operation

Contrarily to SNTP, which relies on a client-server scheme in which the client takes the initiative of asking the server time, PTP relies on a master-slave scheme, in which the time master takes the initiative of time transmission, with no knowledge of the slaves.

The time master broadcasts periodically (e.g. every 1 s) a Sync message containing its reference time. This Sync message transits through the network and suffers a network delay consisting of the link delays  $\lambda$  and of the residence delays  $\rho$  in the bridges, before it reaches the slave clocks. Figure 68 shows the (one-step) PTP clock to explain the principle.




**Figure 68 – PTP one-step clock synchronization and delay measurement**

While SNTP communicates at layer 4 (UDP), the profile of PTP for utility automation uses only layer 2 multicast. This means that routers must implement boundary clocks to keep network segments synchronized.

NOTE 1 PTP also foresees a UDP multicast and unicast communication, but within a substation, only layer 2 communication provides the sufficient accuracy.

Contrarily to SNTP, each clock in the network calculates the link delay to its neighbours. This is called a “peer-to-peer delay” mechanism. All devices must be PTP-aware.

NOTE 2 PTP foresees also an “end-to-end delay” calculation similar to SNTP but within a substation only “peer to peer” delay calculation can provide the required accuracy.

A clock calculates the link delay  $\lambda$  to the next node by sending a Pdelay\_Request, to which the other node responds with a Pdelay\_Response containing the time between reception of the Pdelay\_Request and the Pdelay\_Response. The clock calculates the link delay  $\lambda$  as:

$$\lambda = \frac{(t_4 - t_1) - (t_3 - t_2)}{2}$$

Since the asymmetry of a link is very small (some nanoseconds), the delay can be better estimated than in NTP.

Each bridge holds a transparent clock, which calculates a correction value as the sum of its link delay  $\lambda$  to the clock from which it received the Sync, of any correction  $\kappa$  that came along with the Sync it received and of its own residence delay  $\rho$ :

$$\rho = (t_6 - t_5)$$

NOTE 3 Timestamps for computing the residence delay are taken from the node's local clock. Since these accumulated residence delays are used by a slave to adjust the time provided by a master, errors resulting from differences in the rates of the master and the transparent clocks should be negligible. For instance, if the rates of the master and local clocks differ by 0,01 %, the error introduced can reach 0,01 % of the measured residence delay. For a residence delay of 1 ms, the maximum error amounts to 100 ns in each bridge (a 1 ms residence time is a pessimistic case at 100 Mbit/s when PTP does not have the highest priority). This inaccuracy accumulates in a chain of transparent clocks. Therefore, syntonizing the local clocks of transparent and boundary clocks may be necessary to achieve the required accuracy.

The ordinary (slave) clocks receive the Sync and compensate the received reference time by the network delay.

Two methods exist to correct the transmitted time, “one-step” and “two-step” clocks.

#### 14.6.5.3 PTP one-step clock correction

Each transparent clock adds its correction ( $\lambda + \rho + \kappa$ ) to the Sync message's correction field while the frame is being transmitted over the egress port. This method requires a dedicated hardware to timestamp the start of the message, calculate and modify the correction field and the checksum of the outgoing Sync frame and insert it into the message body while parts of the message have already been transmitted. This “on-the-fly” correction can be applied both to cut-through and to store-and-forward bridges (see Figure 68).

#### 14.6.5.4 PTP two-step clock correction

To avoid a dedicated hardware for modifying the Sync message on-the-fly, a two-step clock records the precise time at which it received the Sync, forwards the Sync message with the uncorrected time, and records the precise time at which it sent the Sync. There exist dedicated PHY chips that time-stamp messages within a few ns. Sending the Sync message is not time-critical, it can be done by a CPU.

The two-step transparent clock calculates the correction field as the sum of the ingress Sync correction  $\kappa$ , the residence delay  $\rho$  and the (previously measured) peer delay  $\lambda$  and sends a Follow\_Up message with a new time correction  $\kappa$  as shown in Figure 69. The same scheme applies to the calculation of the peer delay.

The receiver assumes that Sync and Follow\_Up messages are paired; they have the same PTP sequence number.

NOTE PRP and HSR present a special case that is explained in 14.6.6.2, since pairing of Sync, Follow\_Up and Announce must be observed.




**Figure 69 – PTP two-step clock synchronization and delay measurement**

The engineer is faced with two synchronization methods, depending on the ability of its devices. Commercial clocks use mainly two-step PTP, few offer one-step clocks. The two systems can be mixed if devices accept both one-step and two-step clocks.

#### 14.6.5.5 PTP BMC algorithm vs. alternate master

Initially, the Best Master Clock (BMC) algorithm elects a master clock among the master-capable clock nodes participating in the network. To this effect, each master clock broadcasts Announce messages which carry their clock quality information. The clock with the best clock quality wins and becomes master, while the other master-capable devices keep on listening for its Announce messages, but do not send their own unless a failure occurs.

When a master clock fails or degrades (loss of satellites in GPS), a back-up master clock takes over. The back-up detects failure or degradation of the master by listening to its Announce messages. A time-out on the Announce messages causes the back-up master clocks (if there are several of them) to compete for the role of master by applying the BMC algorithm.

To speed up election, the alternate master option defined by IEC 61588 is recommended. This option allows alternate masters that are not currently the best master to exchange PTP timing information with slave ports, and for a slave port to acquire knowledge of the characteristics of the transmission path between itself and each alternate master, even if it does not use it currently. This allows a fast switchover to an alternate master with a small phase excursion when the master fails.

To speed up recovery, the preferred back-up master is configured with an Announce time-out shorter than the Announce time-out of other master-capable ordinary clocks (e.g. to 2,0 s).

Such mechanism reduces collisions in case several master clocks try to become masters after a failure of the master in charge.

#### **14.6.5.6 PTP Announce interval and holdover time**

In case of loss of the master, a slave clock is required to keep on ticking with a sufficient accuracy during a holdover time (5 s) until the back-up clock takes over. This implies that in addition to synchronization, nodes must syntonize their clocks (tune their frequency).

#### **14.6.5.7 PTP time domains**

It is recommended to consider the substation as a single time domain and not to use user-defined time bases.

#### **14.6.5.8 PTP migration path from legacy protocols**

PTP slave clocks can be used to provide a 1 PPS signal for legacy equipment, without the need for additional GPS receivers or a separate timing network.

The migration path towards systems with PTP based clock synchronization requires a transitional period when networking devices will have to support both the new PTP protocol in parallel with SNTP or IRIG-B. It will take some time until protection and control IEDs receive PTP slave functionality. Therefore a PTP enabled network could let the bridge act as a boundary clock, PTP slave on one side and SNTP, 1 PPS or IRIG-B master towards the legacy devices. Such a scenario has the advantage of being future-proof as in case of upgrades of IEDs to PTP, no modifications would be required to the bridges in all protection and control cabinets as these are already PTP-enabled.

### **14.6.6 PTP clock synchronization and IEC 62439-3:2012**

#### **14.6.6.1 PTP profile in IEC 62439-3:2012**

Annex B of IEC 62439-3:2012 provides a profile of IEC 61588 suitable for industrial automation networks that considers peculiarities of PRP and HSR.

Annex B of IEC 62439-3:2012 aims at reaching an accuracy of 1  $\mu$ s in a maximum size network consisting of a series of up to 16 transparent clocks.

Each transparent clock uses a local oscillator with a drift of less than 15  $\mu$ s/s which, once syntonized, is expected to keep an accuracy of 1  $\mu$ s/s within the holdover time of 5 s.

The master clock accuracy according to 7.6.2.5 of IEC 61588:2009 is expected to be better than class 0x20 (25 ns) during the holdover time.

The profile of IEC 62439-3:2012 is characterized as follows:

- a) all network elements (masters, end nodes, bridges, media converters,...) support transparent clocks;
- b) boundary clocks may exist at the outskirts of the grandmaster multicast domain;
- c) each transparent clock introduces less than 50 ns of inaccuracy in the correction field of forwarded Sync messages;
- d) media converters (e.g. fibre – copper) introduce less than 50 ns of jitter each;
- e) cable asymmetry does not exceed 25 ns;
- f) only Ethernet layer 2 communication is used (UDP communication is not used);
- g) only multicast messages are used (unicast messages are not used);
- h) only peer-to-peer delays are calculated (end-to-end path delay computation is not used);

- i) both 1-step and 2-step synchronization are allowed (one-step is preferred);
- j) best Master Clock algorithm applies to all clocks (including slave clocks);
- k) default settings are specified as:
  - Announce message period (logSyncInterval=0): once every 1 s,
  - Sync messages period (logAnnounceInterval=0): once every 1 s,
  - Timeout for Announce messages (announceReceiptTimeout=3): wait 3 s (can be set to 2 s for the preferred redundant master),
  - Pdelay\_Request period (logMinDelayReqInterval=0): once every 1 s,
  - Holdover time: accuracy kept within 1  $\mu$ s after reference signal loss: at least 5 s,
  - Priority1 is 128 for grandmaster-capable devices, 255 for slave-only devices,
  - Priority2 is 128 for grandmaster-capable devices, 255 for slave-only devices,
  - The grandmaster clockClass is 6 or 7 (when degraded), respectively,
  - DomainNumber is 0.

#### 14.6.6.2 PTP clock synchronization on PRP

The PRP redundancy concept foresees that the grandmaster clock is doubly attached to both LANs, either as DANP or through a RedBox.

It is also possible that two different master clocks emerge, one in each LAN. This situation can occur in a degraded situation in which the link to the master fails on one LAN and a back-up master is elected on that LAN. It may also occur when boundary clocks are used.

Ordinary clocks have two ports (this is the only difference with 3.1.22 of IEC 61588:2009) and are also attached to both LANs.

An ordinary clock receives the Sync (and possibly Follow-Up) and Announce messages from each LAN independently. Duplicate Discard does not apply since intermediate transparent clocks (e.g. in bridges) are not aware of the redundancy trailer and could remove the trailer with its sequence number when forwarding the Sync after modification.

As 9.3 of IEC 61588:2009 prescribes, all PRP ordinary clocks implement the BMCA specified in over both ports to select their master. Under normal conditions, they receive the same master over the two channels and accept both PTP Syncs.

Even if PRP-A and PRP-B have extremely different hop counts, the time correction in each LAN ensures that the time stamp is accurate, with just a higher jitter from the LAN with the largest number of hops. The clock control algorithm can weigh the time information differently depending on the magnitude of the time correction.

A slave ordinary clock treats therefore each side as a different clock, but as long as the clocks on both sides have the same grandmaster identity, it combines the received synchronization messages to improve its accuracy. The slave clock may use for this purpose the sequence numbers of the PTP messages. Otherwise, it selects the best quality master.

#### 14.6.6.3 PTP clock synchronization on HSR

When the master clock is an HSR device, each other HSR node normally receives two Sync messages with different delays (and therefore different contents) from opposite sides of the ring. Therefore, on a HSR network, the duplicate discard scheme does not apply to PTP messages. Each direction is treated as a separate clock, nodes utilize both Syncs to synchronize and syntonize their clocks. When two-step clock correction is used, a node aggregates the Sync and Follow-Up from each direction separately.

From a PTP point of view, an HSR node acts both as a transparent clock and as an ordinary clock; this is called a hybrid clock. A transparent clock can introduce a delay of up to 125  $\mu\text{s}$  (even if the transparent clock treats PTP messages with the highest priority) since ongoing transmissions cannot be interrupted. The worst-case time inaccuracy of a transparent clock as given in its data sheet (PICS) or MIB should not exceed 50 ns.

Since a ring can have up to 50 nodes in series, the delay (ring worst-case 6,25 ms) and jitter (ring worst-case 2,5  $\mu\text{s}$ ) can become significant. Indeed, phasor measurement units require a time inaccuracy of less than 1,0  $\mu\text{s}$ . Therefore, the timing of the network must be checked at engineering time and, if insufficient for the application, the ring must be split.

It is recommended to locate the redundant masters at opposite diameters of the ring to limit the number of hops.

#### 14.6.6.4 Coupling PRP and HSR clocks

When coupling a PRP network to an HSR ring, the two RedBoxes handle the Sync messages coming from the grand master clock. Therefore, in the case the PRP network operates with two-step clock correction, the RedBoxes translate the two-step clock messages Sync + Follow\_Up to a one-step Sync message in the HSR ring. The RedBoxes in the HSR ring should be ideally located at opposite positions in order to limit the number of hops (or transparent clocks) for each node of the ring in the normal case as shown in Figure 70.




Figure 70 – Clocks in a PRP network coupled by BCs with an HSR ring

The RedBoxes can implement a boundary or a transparent clock.

A boundary clock results in only one clock sending over the HSR ring, the other boundary clock is parked because the Best Master Clock algorithm applies and lets only one clock sending over the ring. The HSR tag must carry a sequence number which, together with the MAC address of the RedBox, identifies the duplicates.

NOTE The two RedBoxes have different MAC and IP addresses for all traffic for which they are source or (sole) destination.

If the RedBoxes implement each a transparent clock, then four Syncs circulate through the ring and the HSR nodes must therefore deal with all four of them. The Syncs sent over the HSR ring receive an own HSR sequence number and the MAC address of the RedBox so they can be removed from the ring. The MAC address of the current master is lost, but can be retrieved through the message contents (sourcePortIdentity).

#### **14.6.7 IEEE C37.238-2011 Power profile**

##### **14.6.7.1 IEEE C37.238-2011 Default settings**

The IEEE C37.238 architecture and default settings are identical to IEC 62439-3 (14.6.6).

##### **14.6.7.2 IEEE C37.238-2011 MIB**

The IEEE C37.238 defines SNMP management objects which correspond to IEC 61588. In addition, it includes objects to manage the grandmaster identity and time inaccuracy during engineering, see 19.3 and 19.6.

##### **14.6.7.3 IEEE C37.238-2011 TLVs**

Each master appends two mandatory TLVs (parameters) to its Announce messages, the IEC 61588 compliant TLV `ALTERNATE_TIME_OFFSET_INDICATOR` mentioned in 14.6.7.3.1 and a power-profile-specific TLV.

##### **14.6.7.3.1 IEEE C37.238-2011 `ALTERNATE_TIME_OFFSET_INDICATOR`**

IEEE C37.238-2011 prescribes that each master appends to its Announce messages the IEC 61588-defined `ALTERNATE_TIME_OFFSET_INDICATOR` TLV that carries the offset of the clock with respect to UTC. This facilitates the setting of the HMI of the IEDs at the beginning and end of daylight saving time periods.

NOTE IEEE did not yet decide if the offset is with respect to UTC or TAI. A proposal is to assume that a value that is an exact multiple of 1 800 is an offset to UTC, while a value that has a residue from the division by 1 800 is relative to TAI, e.g. 3 600 would be relative to UTC and 3 632 would be relative to TAI.

##### **14.6.7.4 IEEE C37.238-2011 profile-specific TLV**

IEEE C37.238-2011 prescribes that each master appends to its Announce messages one C37.238-specific TLV that contains two fields: `grandmasterID` and `timeInaccuracy`.

- a) The 16-bit `grandmasterID` field carries in its least-significant octet a short (8-bit) identifier of the current grandmaster that is intended to be copied into the `smSync` field of 19.4.8 of IEC 61850-7-2:2010. Although each Announce message carries a 64-bit `grandMasterIdentifier`, the `smSync` field only accommodates 8 bits. In IEC 61850-9-2:2011, `smSync` specifies that the values 5 to 254 identify the `grandmasterID`.

The allocation of the `grandmasterID` to a master clock is an engineering issue.

- b) The 64-bit `timeInaccuracy` field which optionally carries an estimation of the absolute value of the inaccuracy of the local clock, which is divided into two fields:

- the 32-bit grandmasterTimelnaccuracy, a more precise value of the clockAccuracy of the clockQuality field specified in Table 6 of the IEEE Std 1588:2009, expressed in nanoseconds;
- a 32-bit networkTimelnaccuracy, which is configured at the grandmaster and is set to 0 by default. This value may be set to Timelnaccuracy accumulated in the worst network path, expressed in nanoseconds. The field “networkTimelnaccuracy” is provided for experimental purposes, and its use is undefined.

The TLV is shown in Figure 71.




Figure 71 – C37.238-specific TLV

## 14.7 PTP network engineering

### 14.7.1 PTP reference clock location

The use of PTP is a trade-off between additional costs of PTP-capable bridges and the number of IEDs in a substation to be synchronized with high precision. A very small number of these IEDs calls for a point to point solution with IRIG-B or 1 PPS, while a larger number justifies a network of PTP-capable switches.

When engineering a network, the first step is to locate the reference clocks so as to minimize the clock inaccuracy. Each transparent clock introduces a time inaccuracy – whose worst-case value *LocTimelnacc* is specified in its data sheet. On the path from the grandmaster to an IED: the time inaccuracy of the different transparent clocks through which the Sync message transited increases by the value of each transparent clock. This value at a given node is the *NetTimelnacc*. The worst case value of *NetTimelnacc* is the sum of the *LocTimelnacc* of all transparent clocks in the least favourable path from any master to that node.

Figure 72 shows that the Sync message from the GPS time server to IED z crosses 8 transparent clocks in the worst case, which occurs when the RSTP blocks the right port of the first bridge. If every transparent clock introduces a worst-case time inaccuracy of 50 ns, the total worst-case inaccuracy along that path will be 400 ns.

In case the grandmaster clock (e.g. attached to the GPS) fails, a back-up rubidium clock takes over. Figure 72 shows that one more transparent clock must be crossed, and *NetTimelnacc* for IED z rises to 450 ns.

The effective value of *NetTimelnacc* can be measured for each IED, i.e. by comparing the 1 PPS signal generated by the grandmaster and the 1 PPS signal generated by the ordinary

clock of IED z, as shown in Figure 72. To perform this measurement, it is recommended to generate the 1 PPS signal in all clocks at least for testing.

The maximum clock inaccuracy permitted on an IED is an engineering parameter called EngTimeInacc. This conservative estimate of the inaccuracy considers how accurate an event is time-stamped on a given IED. Therefore, it considers the sum of:

- the network time inaccuracy (which varies from device to device);
- the grandmaster inaccuracy (which varies and is indicated in the Sync messages);
- any inaccuracy introduced by the sensors or the IED itself.

The effective error in time-stamping can be calculated by generating an event on an IED at a given time, e.g. synchronized to the 1 PPS of the reference clock, and comparing it to the value in the time-stamp in the corresponding frame received by the SCADA or a network analyser.




Figure 72 – Hierarchy of clocks

#### 14.7.2 PTP connection of station bus and process bus

While the stricter timing requirements apply to the process bus, the reference clock should be located on the station bus and the process bus devices should be synchronized to it. The device connecting station bus and process bus (Ethernet bridge or IED with bridging functionality) acts as a PTP transparent clock synchronizing the process bus devices.

However, when the reference clock on the station bus becomes unavailable, a device on the process bus, preferably the connecting device, should take over as a grandmaster, both towards the station bus (if it still operates) and towards the process bus. When the station bus resumes operation, the connecting device relinquishes its master role to the reference clock.

It is recommended to locate the redundant clocks so that a common mode of failure is unlikely and so that the worst case number of transparent clocks in the path to an ordinary clock is less or equal to the original grandmaster clock (e.g. at opposed diameters of a ring in a ring topology).

### 14.7.3 Merging units synchronization

Clock synchronization at the process level depends on the considered application and network architecture and topology. Indeed, in the case of local protection functions such as overcurrent, the relevant data are usually collected by the same merging unit and then no external synchronization is required. If the data are coming from different merging units, e.g. differential protection function, the merging units have to be synchronized. How many merging units are required to perform a given function depends not only on the required availability in case of losses, but also on geographical distance and layout of the substation. The number of synchronized merging units should be minimized, e.g. by using synchronization islands. Such synchronization islands could be either suitable for process bus topologies based on multiple rings or multiple stars as well as multiple point-to-point links. The latter case is more complex, as it would require each physical merging unit to host multiple logical devices "Merging Unit" in order for these to belong to different synchronization islands at the same time.

## 15 Network security

Refer to IEC 62351.

## 16 Network management

### 16.1 Protocols for network management

When choosing network elements, consideration should be given to their ability to provide continuous metrics of their health plus their ability to transport the traffic on their incoming ports.

IEC 61850 MMS traffic only offers a time-out on acknowledged messages to monitor the health of the communication path. More sophisticated methods can be used if a common time clock is available that allows estimating the one-way latencies. However, just checking the overall delay does not distinguish communication failures, bridge overload or application errors, and therefore, network-health tools should be used that rely on the ability of the devices to monitor the condition of their network connections.

Two techniques are available to monitor devices health, SNMP and IEC 61850.

- SNMP is the standard network management protocol for bridging devices, but its implementation is now common for any device. When a device supports SNMP, it is said "manageable". Available information is structured into MIBs which are a type of database used to manage the devices in a communication network. The list of the standard MIBs and the selected content which is supported by a device depends on the vendor's choices. In addition, "private MIBs" are supported by some devices in order to offer vendor-specific detailed information (described by the MIB provided by the device's vendor). MIBs contents are described using the ASN.1 grammar and then can be easily imported into a network management tool. An SNMP MIB has been defined also for PRP and HSR. This requires SCADA level nodes to support SNMP, at least through an OPC server.
- An alternative to the SNMP protocol for network management within substations is the use of the IEC 61850 MMS services in case the network elements implement them. Such

services allow seamless integration of networking devices into Substation Control and Monitoring Systems that lack the SNMP protocol functionality. To this effect, objects have been defined in IEC 61850-7-4:2010 to represent communication properties. Additional modelling is proposed in Clause 19 to extend capabilities in configuring and monitoring the networking feature of devices. This requires bridges to support MMS, although they are not classical IEDs.

This continuous monitoring is now being accepted as a technology to mitigate regulatory-board requirements for placing communication networks out of service for annual maintenance tests.

## 16.2 Network management tool

Monitoring the status of the network is essential, as soon as the network handles critical distributed functions and redundancy mechanisms are implemented to overcome a first failure.

Then tools which can continuously monitor the real health of the network, and of the network bridging devices, and detect the occurrence of a network failure are of very valuable usage.

More globally, here are the expected benefits in using a Network monitoring tool:

- Confidence that the network is performing as expected.
- Considering that some advanced properties of Ethernet have been used to manage the Ethernet data flow, this tool helps validating that the networking devices are configured properly, and then that the data flow is spread as desired.
- Reduce downtime – Increase productivity.
- Fast and easy visual identification of failures.
- Faster and more accurate understanding of the cause of a problem and how to repair it.
- Traps degradations and intermittent disturbances to predict future failures.
- Breaks the barrier between electrician and IT related skills.

## 16.3 Network diagnostic tool

The main potential functions of a network diagnostic tool are as follows:

- Retrieve network diagnostic information and check device health.
- Discover and identify any devices connected on the Ethernet network (field devices as well as infrastructure devices).
- Retrieve IEC 61850 network and communication related information from any devices.
- Retrieve MIBs from any manageable devices.
- Learn device capabilities and parameters as soon as there are available remotely through IEC 61850 and/or SNMP.
- Help to validate the correct settings used for managing the data flow such as Multicast Address Filtering settings, VLAN settings.
- Identify any communication links between devices even if logically disabled.
- Compare the discovered topology against the engineered topology defined in the SCD, or against a previously saved topology, i.e. looking for missing devices or links and different parameters.
- In case of network redundancy, check the effective health, i.e. if traffic follows the expected flow. Produce an alarm as soon as the network is changing from the normal flow state.
- Provide to the operator a single synthesis on network health.

- Time-stamp and display alarms on the tool's screen as soon as they occurred (including its source, its label, the time when it occurred, and its status).
- Locate easily on the network map the device or link that generates the alarm.
- Analyse network data flow and identify issues at communication level.
- Analyse network data flow and identify issues at application level (using the application level tags and function naming).

## 17 Remote connectivity

Engineer access allows performing bulk upgrades, management of configuration files, backup or configuration restoration.

There might be a requirement for remote access so that certain or all IEDs can communicate to/from a control centre, another substation or from any place in the corporate utility network or from outside this network. The inter-substation communication, substation to control centre communication or secure remote access is outside the scope of this document.

NOTE Bulk upgrades require considering throughput and security in the configuration of the communication network.

## 18 Network testing

### 18.1 Introduction to testing

Network components are a vital part of the power utility automation since their failure impacts the control functions and even the protection functions. As such, the quality of the network and switches must achieve a similar level as that of the protection relays. IEC 61850-4 defines several stages for ensuring quality, which are repeated in Figure 73.




Figure 73 – Quality assurance stages (copied from IEC 61850-4)

In the product development stage, a manufacturer develops the product and executes product release tests.

An independent test lab executes the type testing, which basically ensures that the device type operates up to the specifications and fulfils the mechanical, electromagnetic and environmental requirements of the claimed standards.

During the market approval stage, the system integrator performs a verification test to select a product. This test verifies if the product meets the functional and performance requirements under worst case conditions. The verification test also checks that the different components of the network interoperate correctly for even the largest envisioned systems.

During the project execution stage, the network of a specific power utility project undergoes a factory acceptance and site acceptance testing.

During operation, a part of the tests continues to monitor the network, so as to detect failures and replace quickly the failed devices.

Subclauses 18.2 to 18.4 describe the network testing activities for each stage more in detail.

## 18.2 Environmental type testing

The type testing of network and other electronic devices checks EMC immunity, EMC emission, environmental and mechanical resilience under the conditions of power utility environments.

The environmental type testing is defined in IEC 61850-3.

## 18.3 Conformance testing

### 18.3.1 Protocols subject to conformance testing

The conformance test verifies if network equipment conforms to the claimed standards. This guideline does not define conformance test procedures, since these belong to the claimed standards.

The applicable protocols and conformance test description for a typical Ethernet switch are listed in Table 45.

**Table 45 – Standards applicable to network elements**

| Protocol                                                             | Reference        | Conformance test                                         |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Priority queuing                                                     | IEEE 802.1Q-2011 | -                                                        |
| Virtual LAN                                                          | IEEE 802.1Q-2011 | -                                                        |
| Rapid spanning tree protocol (RSTP)                                  | IEEE 802.1D-2004 | Clause 8 of IEC 62439-1: 2010 – measure RSTP performance |
| Simple network management protocol (SNMP)                            | RFC 3416         | -                                                        |
| Simple Network Time protocol (SNTP)                                  | RFC 2030         | -                                                        |
| Multiple MAC Registration Protocol (MMRP)                            | IEEE 802.1Q-2011 | -                                                        |
| Multiple VLAN Registration Protocol (MVRP)                           | IEEE 802.1Q-2011 | -                                                        |
| Precision Time synchronization Protocol (PTP) for power applications | IEEE C37.238     | ISPCS Plug fest                                          |

| Protocol                                    | Reference                    | Conformance test             |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Parallel redundancy protocol (PRP) (RedBox) | Clause 4 of IEC 62439-3:2012 | Clause 4 of IEC 62439-1:2010 |
| High-availability Seamless Redundancy (HSR) | Clause 5 of IEC 62439-3:2012 | Clause 4 of IEC 62439-1:2010 |

### 18.3.2 Integrator acceptance and verification testing

Integrator acceptance and verification tests verify which products meet the functional and performance requirements for the intended network configuration under worst case conditions.

Such tests are repeated for several products and, based on the test results, the integrator can decide which products to use for its future projects.

To compare the performance of different products, the tests need to be executed multiple times under well-defined and repeatable conditions, so as to gather comparable statistical data like average, minimum and maximum performance.

Since the integrator uses these products in a number of projects, these tests ensure that a set of products is applicable even for the largest and most demanding projects.

### 18.3.3 Simple verification test set-up

Figure 74 shows a simple test set-up used as a reference in the following tests. It does not replace a full system test, but it is used to specify the necessary steps.

The test set-up is optimized to minimize the number of switches.




Figure 74 – Test set-up for verification test

The test set-up consists of the following components:

- 4 Ethernet switches (using RSTP) in a ring configured for the test;

- IEC 61850 GOOSE Publisher with 100 Mbit/s port;
- IEC 61850 GOOSE Subscriber with 100 Mbit/s port;
- IEC 61850 Analyser with one or more 100 Mbit/s ports;
- Background traffic generator with 100 Mbit/s port;
- Multiple SV publishers;
- Several devices/switches with HSR or PRP functionality;
- One or two PTP master clocks.

The traffic generator allows generating worst case conditions, consisting of 98 % low priority network load and of 2 % high-priority traffic with large GOOSE messages (see 11.2.3) sent with a period of 1 ms.

An SV message generator can also be used to simulate multiple SV publishers. Each publisher creates a network load of about 4 % consisting of SV messages sent with a period of 250 µs in a 50 Hz system.

To calculate the minimum and maximum test results the test procedure is repeated at least 5 times.

#### 18.3.4 Simple VLAN handling test

This simple VLAN handling test verifies the handling of VLANs using GOOSE messages with different VIDs.

| Id    | Test procedure                                                                                            | Verdict |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| VLAN1 | Check whether the VLAN tag in the GOOSE message is correct after passing at least two switches.           |         |
| VLAN2 | Check whether a VLAN tagged message only appears on the corresponding port.                               |         |
| VLAN3 | Check whether a VLAN tagged message with VID=0 is rewritten to the default VID of the corresponding VLAN. |         |

#### 18.3.5 Simple priority tagging test

This simple priority tagging test verifies that higher priority messages prevail over lower priority messages. In a network with mixed priority packages, no high priority packages should be dropped.

| Id    | Test procedure                                                                                                                | Verdict |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Prio1 | GOOSE simulator sends 1 000 messages within 1 s with priority=LOW, with no or less than 5 % network traffic.                  |         |
| Prio2 | GOOSE simulator sends 1 000 messages within 1 s with priority=MEDIUM, with no or less than 5 % network traffic.               |         |
| Prio3 | GOOSE simulator sends 1 000 messages within 1 s with priority=HIGH, with no or less than 5 % network traffic.                 |         |
| Prio4 | GOOSE simulator sends 1 000 messages within 1 s with the priority lower than the 98 % background traffic on a separate VLAN.  |         |
| Prio5 | GOOSE simulator sends 1 000 messages within 1 s with the priority the same as the 98 % background traffic on a separate VLAN. |         |
| Prio6 | GOOSE simulator sends 1 000 messages within 1 s with the priority higher than the 98 % background traffic on a separate VLAN. |         |
| Prio7 | GOOSE simulator sends 1 000 messages within 1 s with the priority lower than the 98 % background traffic on the same VLAN.    |         |

| Id    | Test procedure                                                                                                              | Verdict |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Prio8 | GOOSE simulator sends 1 000 messages within 1 s with the priority the same as the 98 % background traffic on the same VLAN. |         |
| Prio9 | GOOSE simulator sends 1 000 messages within 1 s with the priority higher than the 98 % background traffic on the same VLAN. |         |

### 18.3.6 Simple multicast handling test

This simple multicast handling test verifies that the specific multicast (SV or GOOSE) messages are received on the configured ports and not received on other ports.

| Id   | Test procedure                                                                                                        | Verdict |
|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Mcg1 | Check whether the MC messages are received on the configured ports after disconnecting/reconnecting a backbone cable. |         |
| Mcg2 | Check whether the MC messages are not received on the other ports after disconnecting/reconnecting a backbone cable.  |         |
| Mcg3 | Check that messages with an unknown multicast are received on all ports.                                              |         |

### 18.3.7 Simple RSTP recovery test

This test neither replaces the IEEE 802.1D conformance tests nor the guidelines of IEC 62439-1:2010.

This simple RSTP test verifies the recovery of a single communication failure and measures the recovery time as well as the number of packet drops in function of:

- Packet size;
- Network load;
- Connecting / disconnecting the backbone ring.

The measured recovery time is the difference between the timestamp of the last received message before the failure and the timestamp of the first received message after the failure. The packet drop is the difference in sequence number of the last and first received GOOSE message.

| Id   | Test procedure                                                                               | Verdict | Measurements (min/max) |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|------------------------|
| Rsp1 | Disconnection test with large GOOSE messages and about 98 % network traffic on the backbone. |         |                        |
| Rsp2 | Disconnection test with small GOOSE messages and about 98 % network traffic on the backbone. |         |                        |
| Rsp3 | Disconnection test with large GOOSE messages with no traffic.                                |         |                        |
| Rsp4 | Disconnection test with small GOOSE messages with no traffic.                                |         |                        |
| Rsp5 | Connection test with large GOOSE messages and about 98 % network traffic on the backbone.    |         |                        |
| Rsp6 | Connection test with small GOOSE messages and about 98 % network traffic on the backbone.    |         |                        |
| Rsp7 | Connection test with large GOOSE messages with no traffic.                                   |         |                        |
| Rsp8 | Connection test with small GOOSE messages with no traffic.                                   |         |                        |

NOTE IEC 61850-5 requires a performance class P1 for type 1B messages with a recovery time shorter than 5 ms per switch. Such performance is possible according to IEC 62439-1:2012, Clause 8, except for the loss of the root bridge.

### 18.3.8 Simple HSR test

This test applies to a switch implementing the HSR protocol, which implies that it operates as a RedBox. Devices are subject to more complete conformance tests based on IEC 62439-3:2012.

This simple HSR test verifies that on connection failure, no packets are dropped or delayed.

| Id   | Test procedure                                                                                                  | Verdict |
|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Hsr1 | Check whether HSR mechanism works on a backbone disconnecting a link with multiple SV publishers in a network.  |         |
| Hsr2 | Check whether HSR mechanism works on a backbone reconnecting the link with multiple SV publishers in a network. |         |

### 18.3.9 Simple PRP test

In a PRP LAN, bridges normally operate as IEEE 802.1D elements, so in principle no test is needed. The PRP test is only needed to ensure that the bridges do not remove the PRP trailers. If the bridge is used as a RedBox, it is only connected to two PRP LANs and this test applies.

This simple PRP test verifies that on connection failures, no packets are dropped or delayed.

| Id   | Test procedure                                                                                                 | Verdict |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Prp1 | Check whether PRP mechanism works on a backbone disconnecting a link with multiple SV publishers in a network. |         |
| Prp2 | Check whether PRP mechanism works on a backbone reconnect the link with multiple SV publishers in a network.   |         |

### 18.3.10 Simple PTP test

This test applies when the switch is aware of the PTP protocol, i.e. operates as a transparent clock. Complete conformance tests are previously necessary.

This simple test verifies PTP performance, master clock switchover and start-up convergence time. To measure the offset compared to 1 PPS special hardware/software is required.

| Id   | Test procedure                                                                                                   | Verdict |
|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Ptp1 | Check whether maximum PTP offset and time inaccuracy compared to a reference directly attached are within 50 ns. |         |
| Ptp2 | Verify the switchover from one master clock to another and vice versa.                                           |         |
| Ptp3 | Check the convergence time at start-up.                                                                          |         |

## 18.4 Factory and site acceptance testing

During the project execution stage, the network of a specific project is subject first to a factory acceptance and then to site acceptance testing.

While the factory acceptance test is typically a partial set-up that only tests parts of the network, the site acceptance test checks the totality. Therefore, the factory acceptance test should also be used to test the procedures used for the site acceptance test.

A first test is to check whether all switches are correctly configured with respect to IP address, port settings, multicast and VLAN filtering and clock settings if applicable. This can

be done using the remote access over SNMP or IEC 61850 objects. This test also checks the connectivity of the switches.

A second test checks the connectivity of all other devices. This can be done simply by pinging the devices with ICMP messages.

The presence of the MMS stack and its function on each device is a further connectivity and configuration check. Tools exist to verify that all IEDs in the SCD are present and correctly configured.

A third test checks if devices send the GOOSE and SV messages specified in the SCD. Tools exist to check consistency of these messages with the SCD.

A fourth test checks if all subscribed devices receive the configured GOOSE and SV. To support this, the subscriber devices should be configured to indicate an error (e.g. by sending an IEC 61850 report) in case GOOSE and/or SV messages are not received or not as expected.

Resiliency test should also be performed by removing cables or switches to check that the traffic keeps on flowing and the error is reported.

A network monitoring tool can be used to verify the expected network load on each port during normal operation.

A network analyser can be used to verify packet drops in case of disconnecting/re-connecting a link.

## **19 IEC 61850 bridge and port object model<sup>6</sup>**

### **19.1 Purpose**

Network management analyses the status of the devices and configures their properties. This is traditionally done with SNMP, which describes the devices as a collection of data objects known as the management information base MIB. SNMP also specifies an access protocol based on UDP. Within an IEC 61850 substation, an access protocol already exists in form of MMS. Management accesses network devices over MMS using the equivalent of a MIB in the form of a collection of Logical Nodes.

Subclause 19.2 describes a model of a multi-port device in form of IEC 61850 objects that corresponds to an SNMP MIB. This model applies to data switches, bridges and also to simple IEDs that support a subset of bridge functions, in particular HSR and PRP devices.

This model does not contain all information that is usually available in the SNMP MIBs, but selects configuration and status variables relevant in the context of utility automation.

Subclause 19.3 defines the model of an IEC 61858 clock in terms of Logical Nodes.

Subclause 19.4 defines the Logical Nodes and Common Data Classes for bridging and clock. This subclause is auto-generated out of the UML model and will be moved to IEC 61850-7-4. Until then, it is to be considered as informal and defined within (Tr)IEC 61850-90-4:2012 namespace.

---

<sup>6</sup> The Logical Nodes in Clause 19 will be moved as a normative part into IEC 61850-7-4 in a future revision. Its contents are still experimental. The Logical Nodes and related objects have been copied from an UML-generated model and cannot be changed in this document.

Subclause 19.5 suggests a mapping from the IEC 61850 bridge model to a standardized bridge MIB, where applicable. If no standardized MIB is available, a description of the data objects and attributes allows the bridge manufacturer to map them to its own individual MIB objects.

Subclause 19.6 suggests a mapping from the IEC 61850 model to the IEC 61588 and IEEE C37.238 objects.

NOTE A two-way mapping between IEC 61850 and SNMP has been proposed that can be used for that purpose. Unfortunately, object names are not preserved due to different naming conventions.

## **19.2 Bridge model**

### **19.2.1 Simple model**

A multiport physical device that has a layer 2 bridge functionality according to IEEE 802.1D implements a switching matrix. Bridge functionality is not restricted to dedicated Ethernet switches. For instance, an IED may act as a bridge between station bus and process bus or implement an additional port for connection of a service device. Even a simple IED with HSR redundancy is a small bridge since it forwards frames from port to port.

In a meshed network, a bridge may implement the Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol (RSTP) to keep the data network free of loops and reconfigure it in case of failure. Ethernet switches also perform VLAN and multicast address filtering.

Bridge functions are often extended by the IEEE 802.1AB Link Layer Discovery Protocol that gathers information about adjacent nodes.

These basic bridge functions are modelled as shown in Figure 75.




**Figure 75 – Multiport device model**

The bridge model consists of a collection of Logical Nodes.

- Logical Node LPHD defined in IEC 61850-7-4:2010 represents the physical device properties (e.g. physical health). Since a logical node may only exist within a Logical Device, the LN LPHD is part of a Logical Device. LPHD has been extended to support the bridge functions as defined in 19.4.3.2.2.
- Logical Node LLN0 defined in IEC 61850-7-4:2010 represents the properties (e.g. local/remote operation) of the Logical Device, several of which may exist in a physical device. Typically, a data switch hosts only a single Logical Device.
- Logical Node LBRI (for “Bridge”) defined in 19.4.3.2.3 represents the basic bridge functionality, as of IEEE 802.1D (Bridging and Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol);
- Logical Node LCCF (for “Channel Communication Filtering”) defined in 19.4.3.2.4 represents the VLAN and multicast address filtering if the channel supports IEEE 802.1Q;
- Logical Node LCCH (for “Communication Channel”) defined in IEC 61850-7-4:2010 and extended in 19.4.3.2.5, represents each channel. A channel can consist of one physical port or two paired ports in redundancy. For instance, a simple IED with one redundant channel according to PRP implements one instance of LN LCCH and two instances of LN LPCP.
- Logical Node LPCP (for “Physical Communication Port”) defined in 19.4.3.2.7, is instantiated for each port, and represents the physical properties of a port (e.g. bit rate).

Each port is identified by its port number PortNum (e.g. 3), a port name PortNam (e.g. “J103”) and a port MAC address PortMac (e.g. 00-02-03-AB-04-BC).

- Logical Node LPLD (for “Port Layer Discovery”) defined in 19.4.3.2.8 is instantiated for each port, and represents the properties of the remote device on that link discovered by LLDP (IEEE 802.1AB).
- Logical Node LBSP (for “Port Spanning tree Protocol”) defined in 19.4.3.2.9 is instantiated for each port, and represents the RSTP properties of a port (e.g. RSTP port status: forwarding).

NOTE With exception of LPHD, all system logical nodes (Group L) belonging to the same IED are defined in the same logical device (according to 8.2.1 of IEC 61850-7-1:2011).

An ICD for a bridge contains an LN LLN0, an LN LPHD and an LBRI. It will have as many instances of the LN LPCP as the bridge has physical ports and as many instances of the LN LCCH as the device has logical channels, as the examples in 19.7 show.

## 19.2.2 Bridge Logical Node linking

The Logical Nodes are linked using references, as shown in Figure 76.




Figure 76 – Linking of bridge objects

## 19.3 Clock model

### 19.3.1 IEC 61588 datasets

IEC 61588 represents the clock properties in different datasets:

- a) defaultDS: describes the local clock of a device;
- b) currentDS: describes the path between a slave clock and the master or grandmaster;
- c) parentDS: describes the clock that synchronizes the local clock, either master (boundary clock) or grandmaster;
- d) timepropertiesDS: an extension of the parentDS to account for different time scales;
- e) portDS: applies to each port of a clock.

These datasets are referenced in the MIB specified in IEEE C37.238 which can be accessed at [http://standards.ieee.org/downloads/C37/C37.238-2011/C37.238-2011\\_MIB-D5-8.mib](http://standards.ieee.org/downloads/C37/C37.238-2011/C37.238-2011_MIB-D5-8.mib).

In principle, the MIB objects labelled as “read-only” are statuses, while the MIB objects labelled as “read-write” are settings.

### 19.3.2 Clock objects

The model of an IEC 61588 compliant clock takes the form of Data Objects and Common Data Classes that correspond to the IEC 61588 datasets mentioned in 19.3.1 and the extensions in the IEEE C37.238 MIB, representing e.g.:

- Roles in which the node can be configured (master-enabled, slave-only);
- Domain number;
- Clock Identity;
- Clock Quality;
- ClockPriority1;
- ClockPriority2;
- Announce interval when clock is master (power of two of 1 s (e.g.  $2^4 = 16$  s,  $2^{-2} = 250$  ms);
- Announce time-out in multiples of Announce interval;
- Pdelay\_Request interval (power of two of 1 s), per port;
- Sync interval when clock is master (power of two of 1 s);
- Current UTC offset;
- Time Correction: one-step or two-step;
- GrandmasterId;
- OffsetFromMaster;
- Timelnaccuracy.

### 19.3.3 Simple clock model

A general IEC 61588 clock resides in a multiport device. The structure is similar to that of a bridging device as defined in 19.2, as shown in Figure 77.




Figure 77 – Clock model

- Logical Node LTIM defined in IEC 61850-7-4:2010 represents the clock available to the applications. The source of that clock is not visible in LN LTIM. LN LTIM extensions in 19.4.3.2.10 allow to represent both the UTC and the TAI time scale.
- The Logical Node LTMS defined in IEC 61850-7-4:2010 represents the clock synchronization source, which may be NTP, IEC 61588, IRIG-B or other. LN LTMS extensions in 19.4.3.2.11 indicate from which clock source the synchronisation is received.
- The Logical Node LTPC defined in 19.4.3.2.12 represents:
  - the IEC 61588 ordinary clock's settings on that node, i.e. the clock that would control the node in absence of external synchronization, which could be the master clock of the time domain if it happens to be the best master clock;
  - the IEC 61588 grandmaster clock's status, which represents the primary time source of the time domain, as seen on that node.

The LN LTPC has a Data Object indicating from which ports the IEC 61588 synchronization is received.

- The Logical Node LTPP defined in 19.4.3.2.13 represents the IEC 61588 properties of the port, e.g. the measurement of the peer delay. Each port of a pair in redundancy has one clock object, even if redundancy is applied, since IEC 62439-3 prescribes that the duplicate discard does not apply to the IEC 61588 clock synchronization.

NOTE IEC 61588:2009 indicates that an ordinary clock has only one port, but this is only valid when there is no redundancy.

### 19.3.4 Linking of clock objects

The Logical Nodes are linked using references, as shown in Figure 76.




Figure 78 – Linking of clock objects

## 19.4 Autogenerated IEC 61850 objects

### 19.4.1 General

NOTE This clause is automatically generated from the model file 'wg10uml02v13-wg18uml02v10c-wg17uml02v10a-jwg25uml02v02c.eap', release WG10UML02v13. Name space definition for this specification is: (Tr)IEC 61850-90-4:2012.

### 19.4.2 Abbreviated terms used in data object names

Table 46 shows normative terms that are combined to create data object names.

Table 46 – Normative abbreviations for data object names

| Term  | Description    |
|-------|----------------|
| Addr  | Address        |
| Admin | Administrative |
| Altn  | Alternate      |
| Chs   | Chassis        |
| Clk   | Clock          |
| Desc  | Description    |
| Dft   | Default        |
| Gm    | Grandmaster    |

| Term  | Description                      |
|-------|----------------------------------|
| Hello | "I am alive" signal of a device  |
| Ldp   | Link discovery protocol          |
| Leap  | Leap (second)                    |
| Mac   | MAC address                      |
| Mau   | Medium access unit               |
| Mir   | Mirror                           |
| Ngt   | Negotiation                      |
| Ord   | Ordinary                         |
| Path  | Path                             |
| Port  | Port                             |
| Prio  | Priority                         |
| Rem   | Remote                           |
| Rstp  | Rapid spanning tree priority     |
| Topo  | Topology                         |
| Trunk | Trunk                            |
| Utc   | UTC (Coordinated Universal Time) |
| Vid   | VLAN identification              |
| Vlan  | VLAN                             |
| Vld   | Valid                            |

### 19.4.3 Logical nodes

#### 19.4.3.1 General

(no doc)

Figure 79 shows class diagram LogicalNodes\_90\_4.




Figure 79 – Class diagram LogicalNodes\_90\_4::LogicalNodes\_90\_4

(no doc)

### 19.4.3.2 System logical nodes LN Group: L

#### 19.4.3.2.1 General

This subclause is a placeholder until the object model is moved to IEC 61850-7-4.

Figure 80 shows class diagram LNGroupLExt.




Figure 80 – Class diagram LNGroupL::LNGroupLExt

This diagram shows existing logical nodes (up) and their proposed extensions (down). The extensions have been introduced to support bridge and clock modelling as defined in IEC/TR 61850-90-4.

Figure 81 shows class diagram LNGroupLNew.




Figure 81 – Class diagram LNGroupL::LNGroupLNew

This diagram shows completely new logical nodes, defined to support bridge and clock modelling as defined in IEC/TR 61850-90-4.

These logical nodes should in the end also move to the group L of IEC 61850-7-4.

#### 19.4.3.2.2 LN: Physical device extension Name: LPHDExt

This logical node contains newly proposed extended data objects to be moved into LPHD, after which this LN shall be removed.

Table 47 shows all data objects of LPHDExt.

**Table 47 – Data objects of LNgrouPL::LPHDExt**

| LPHDExt            |                   |   |                                                                                                           |                            |
|--------------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Data object name   | Common data class | T | Explanation                                                                                               | M-O-C nds/ds               |
| Descriptions       |                   |   |                                                                                                           |                            |
| PhyNam             | DPL               |   | inherited from: LPHD                                                                                      | M / na                     |
| Status information |                   |   |                                                                                                           |                            |
| TmpAlm             | SPS               |   | If true, the temperature exceeded alarm level setting 'TmpAlmSpt'.                                        | O / na                     |
| LocChsldTyp        | INS               |   | Type of the local chassis identifier 'LocChsld', according to IEEE 802.1AB.                               | AllOrNonePe rGroup(1) / na |
| LocChsld           | VSS               |   | Local chassis identifier. Interpretation is given through 'LocChsldTyp', according to IEEE 802.1AB.       | AllOrNonePe rGroup(1) / na |
| LocAddrTyp         | INS               |   | Type of the local system management address 'LocAddr', according to IEEE 802.1AB.                         | AllOrNonePe rGroup(1) / na |
| LocAddr            | VSS               |   | Local system management address. Interpretation is given through 'LocAddrTyp', according to IEEE 802.1AB. | AllOrNonePe rGroup(1) / na |
| PhyHealth          | ENS (HealthKind)  |   | inherited from: LPHD                                                                                      | M / na                     |
| OutOv              | SPS               |   | inherited from: LPHD                                                                                      | O / na                     |
| Proxy              | SPS               |   | inherited from: LPHD                                                                                      | M / na                     |
| InOv               | SPS               |   | inherited from: LPHD                                                                                      | O / na                     |
| OpTmh              | INS               |   | inherited from: LPHD                                                                                      | O / na                     |
| NumPwrUp           | INS               |   | inherited from: LPHD                                                                                      | O / na                     |
| WrmStr             | INS               |   | inherited from: LPHD                                                                                      | O / na                     |
| WacTrg             | INS               |   | inherited from: LPHD                                                                                      | O / na                     |
| PwrUp              | SPS               | T | inherited from: LPHD                                                                                      | O / na                     |
| PwrDn              | SPS               | T | inherited from: LPHD                                                                                      | O / na                     |
| PwrSupAlm          | SPS               |   | inherited from: LPHD                                                                                      | O / na                     |
| Controls           |                   |   |                                                                                                           |                            |
| RsStat             | SPC               | T | inherited from: LPHD                                                                                      | O / na                     |
| Sim                | SPS               |   | inherited from: LPHD                                                                                      | O / na                     |
| Settings           |                   |   |                                                                                                           |                            |
| TmpAlmSpt          | ASG               |   | Temperature alarm level setting.                                                                          | O / na                     |
| LdpEna             | SPG               |   | If true, physical device support for link layer discovery protocol (LLDP) is enabled.                     | AllOrNonePe rGroup(1) / na |

**19.4.3.2.3 LN: Bridge Name: LBRI**

This logical node is used to model bridges. It contains data objects in support of both the IEEE 802.1Q and the IEEE 802.1D, since both are usually associated in a bridging device.

Table 48 shows all data objects of LBRI.

**Table 48 – Data objects of LNgrouPL::LBRI**

| LBRI               |                            |   |                                                                                                                                                        |                 |
|--------------------|----------------------------|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Data object name   | Common data class          | T | Explanation                                                                                                                                            | M-O-C<br>nds/ds |
| Descriptions       |                            |   |                                                                                                                                                        |                 |
| NamPlt             | LPL                        |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                                                                                               | O / na          |
| Status information |                            |   |                                                                                                                                                        |                 |
| MacAddr            | VSS                        |   | MAC address of the bridge, as a dash-separated hex number.                                                                                             | O / na          |
| RstpRoot           | SPS                        |   | If true, this bridge is the root in the spanning tree.                                                                                                 | M / na          |
| RstpTopoCnt        | INS                        |   | Number of topology changes that occurred since last device reset and timestamp of last recorded topology change event based on the local bridge clock. | O / na          |
| Beh                | ENS<br>(BehaviourModeKind) |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                                                                                               | M / na          |
| Health             | ENS (HealthKind)           |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                                                                                               | O / na          |
| Controls           |                            |   |                                                                                                                                                        |                 |
| Mod                | ENC<br>(BehaviourModeKind) |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                                                                                               | O / na          |
| Settings           |                            |   |                                                                                                                                                        |                 |
| PortRef            | ORG                        |   | Reference to RSTP port n (LBSP instance) belonging to this bridge.                                                                                     | M / na          |
| RstpPrio           | ING                        |   | Bridge priority setting according to IEEE 802.1D.                                                                                                      | M / na          |
| RstpHelloTm        | ING                        |   | RSTP hello time (typically in [s]).                                                                                                                    | O / na          |
| RstpMaxAgeTm       | ING                        |   | RSTP maximum age (typically in [s]). May be increased depending on the size of the network.                                                            | O / na          |
| RstpEna            | SPG                        |   | If true, RSTP is enabled.                                                                                                                              | M / na          |
| TestPortRef        | ORG                        |   | Reference to test port (LPCP instance).                                                                                                                | O / na          |
| MirPortRef         | ORG                        |   | Reference to mirrored port n (LPCP instance).                                                                                                          | Omulti / na     |
| InRef              | ORG                        |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                                                                                               | Omulti / na     |

#### 19.4.3.2.4 LN: Communication channel filtering Name: LCCF

This logical node is used for VLANs and multicast filtering according to IEEE 802.1Q. When ports are paired for redundancy, the two ports have the same VLAN and multicast settings.

Figure 82 shows custom diagram Usage of VLAN filtering.

|                                    |                                            |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| DftPortVid                         | default VLAN identifier of port            |
| DftPortPrio                        | default priority of port                   |
| VlanFil1                           | filter for VLAN 1                          |
| vid                                | VLAN identifier (e.g. 12)                  |
| numMcAddr                          | number of multicast addresses in the array |
| maxMcAddr                          | maximum number of multicast addresses      |
| mcAddrFil[0]                       | Multicast address (e.g. 01-0C-CD-01-01-xx) |
| mcAddrFil[1]                       | Multicast address (e.g. 01-0C-CD-01-01-yy) |
| mcAddrFil[2]                       | Multicast address (e.g. 01-0C-CD-01-01-zz) |
| (whitelist of multicast addresses) |                                            |
| tagFil                             | tagged, untagged or forbidden              |
| VlanFil2                           | filter for VLAN 2                          |
| vid                                | VLAN identifier (e.g. 23)                  |
| numMcAddr                          | number of multicast addresses in the array |
| maxMcAddr                          | maximum number of multicast addresses      |
| mcAddrFil[0]                       | Multicast address (e.g. 01-0C-CD-01-01-xx) |
| mcAddrFil[1]                       | Multicast address (e.g. 01-0C-CD-01-02-yy) |
| mcAddrFil[2]                       | Multicast address (e.g. 01-0C-CD-01-01-zz) |
| (whitelist of multicast addresses) |                                            |
| tagFil                             | tagged, untagged or forbidden              |
| VlanFiln                           | filter for VLAN n                          |

Figure 82 – Usage of VLAN filtering

This diagram illustrates the intended usage of the VLN common data class for multiple VLAN filters, modelled with the data object 'VlanFil'.

Table 49 shows all data objects of LCCF.

Table 49 – Data objects of LNGroupL::LCCF

| LCCF                      |                         |   |                                                               |              |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Data object name          | Common data class       | T | Explanation                                                   | M-O-C nds/ds |
| <b>Descriptions</b>       |                         |   |                                                               |              |
| NamPlt                    | LPL                     |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                      | O / na       |
| <b>Status information</b> |                         |   |                                                               |              |
| Beh                       | ENS (BehaviourModeKind) |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                      | M / na       |
| Health                    | ENS (HealthKind)        |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                      | O / na       |
| <b>Controls</b>           |                         |   |                                                               |              |
| Mod                       | ENC (BehaviourModeKind) |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                      | O / na       |
| <b>Settings</b>           |                         |   |                                                               |              |
| ChRef                     | ORG                     |   | Reference of the channel (LCCH) to which this filter belongs. | M / na       |
| DftPortVid                | ING                     |   | Default port's VLAN ID.                                       | M / na       |
| DftPortPrio               | ING                     |   | Default port's priority.                                      | M / na       |

| LCCF             |                   |   |                                                                                                                                                               |                          |
|------------------|-------------------|---|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Data object name | Common data class | T | Explanation                                                                                                                                                   | M-O-C nds/ds             |
| VlanFil          | VLN               |   | Information for the supported VLAN filter n. There should be at least one VLAN filter corresponding to the default channel VLAN ID even if no VLANs are used. | MmultiRange (1,255) / na |
| InRef            | ORG               |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                                                                                                      | Omulti / na              |

#### 19.4.3.2.5 LN: Extension of LCCH Name: LCCHExt

This logical node contains newly proposed extended data objects to be moved into LCCH, after which this LN shall be removed.

Table 50 shows all data objects of LCCHExt.

**Table 50 – Data objects of LNGroupL:LCCHExt**

| LCCHExt                   |                              |   |                                                                                    |                   |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Data object name          | Common data class            | T | Explanation                                                                        | M-O-C nds/ds      |
| <b>Descriptions</b>       |                              |   |                                                                                    |                   |
| NamPlt                    | LPL                          |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                           | O / na            |
| <b>Status information</b> |                              |   |                                                                                    |                   |
| ChLiv                     | SPS                          |   | inherited from: LCCH                                                               | M / na            |
| RedChLiv                  | SPS                          |   | inherited from: LCCH                                                               | MFcond(1) / na    |
| OutOv                     | SPS                          |   | inherited from: LCCH                                                               | O / na            |
| InOv                      | SPS                          |   | inherited from: LCCH                                                               | O / na            |
| FerCh                     | INS                          |   | inherited from: LCCH                                                               | O / na            |
| RedFerCh                  | INS                          |   | inherited from: LCCH                                                               | OF(RedChLiv) / na |
| RxCnt                     | BCR                          |   | inherited from: LCCH                                                               | O / na            |
| RedRxCnt                  | BCR                          |   | inherited from: LCCH                                                               | OF(RedChLiv) / na |
| TxCnt                     | BCR                          |   | inherited from: LCCH                                                               | O / na            |
| Beh                       | ENS (BehaviourModeKind)      |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                           | M / na            |
| Health                    | ENS (HealthKind)             |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                           | O / na            |
| <b>Controls</b>           |                              |   |                                                                                    |                   |
| Mod                       | ENC (BehaviourModeKind)      |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                           | O / na            |
| <b>Settings</b>           |                              |   |                                                                                    |                   |
| PortRef                   | ORG                          |   | Reference to the LPCP port instance (port A if paired).                            | M / na            |
| RedPortRef                | ORG                          |   | Reference to the LPCP port instance for redundant port (port B if paired).         | MF(RedChLiv) / na |
| RedCfg                    | ENG (ChannelRedundancy Kind) |   | Port redundancy protocol.                                                          | M / na            |
| RedPathId                 | ING                          |   | Path ID for RedBox or QuadBox functionality (applicable for PRP or HSR protocols). | MF(RedChLiv) / na |

| LCCHExt          |                   |   |                          |              |
|------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------|
| Data object name | Common data class | T | Explanation              | M-O-C nds/ds |
| ApNam            | VSG               |   | inherited from: LCCH     | O / na       |
| ChLivTms         | ING               |   | inherited from: LCCH     | O / na       |
| InRef            | ORG               |   | inherited from: DomainLN | Omulti / na  |

#### 19.4.3.2.6 <<abstract>> LN: Communication port binding Name: PortBindingLN

Abstract type, holding attributes common to all of the bindings for communication ports.

Table 51 shows all data objects of PortBindingLN.

**Table 51 – Data objects of LNGroupL::PortBindingLN**

| PortBindingLN             |                         |   |                                                                      |              |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Data object name          | Common data class       | T | Explanation                                                          | M-O-C nds/ds |
| <b>Descriptions</b>       |                         |   |                                                                      |              |
| NamPlt                    | LPL                     |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                             | O / na       |
| <b>Status information</b> |                         |   |                                                                      |              |
| Beh                       | ENS (BehaviourModeKind) |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                             | M / na       |
| Health                    | ENS (HealthKind)        |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                             | O / na       |
| <b>Controls</b>           |                         |   |                                                                      |              |
| Mod                       | ENC (BehaviourModeKind) |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                             | O / na       |
| <b>Settings</b>           |                         |   |                                                                      |              |
| PortRef                   | ORG                     |   | Reference to the port (LPCP instance) to which this binding applies. | M / na       |
| InRef                     | ORG                     |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                             | Omulti / na  |

#### 19.4.3.2.7 LN: Physical communication port Name: LPCP

This logical node is used to model communication ports.

Table 52 shows all data objects of LPCP.

**Table 52 – Data objects of LNGroupL::LPCP**

| LPCP                |                   |   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |              |
|---------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Data object name    | Common data class | T | Explanation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | M-O-C nds/ds |
| <b>Descriptions</b> |                   |   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |              |
| NamPlt              | LPL               |   | Name plate of the logical node. Note: This data object has been temporarily added because this logical node does not derive from DomainLN (which has NamPlt), but we need the means to specify the data name space (NamPlt.InNs) as long as it is different from IEC 61850-7-4. As soon as this logical node gets incorporated into IEC 61850-7-4, this data object will be removed. | O / na       |

| LPCP               |                   |   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |              |
|--------------------|-------------------|---|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Data object name   | Common data class | T | Explanation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | M-O-C nds/ds |
| PhyNam             | DPL               |   | Physical device name plate.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | M / na       |
| Status information |                   |   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |              |
| PhyHealth          | ENS (HealthKind)  |   | Reflects the state of the physical device related hardware and software.                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | M / na       |
| OutOv              | SPS               |   | If true, a buffer overflow has occurred for the output buffer and important annunciations may be lost for the communication. It comes back to false when the buffer has returned to normal operation. A general interrogation is recommended or an integrity scan is started automatically. | O / na       |
| InOv               | SPS               |   | If true, a buffer overflow has occurred for the input buffer and important annunciations may be lost for the communication. It comes back to false when the buffer has returned to normal operation. A general interrogation is recommended or an integrity scan is started automatically.  | O / na       |
| RxCnt              | BCR               |   | Number of messages received since last reset.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | O / na       |
| TxCnt              | BCR               |   | Number of messages sent since last reset.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | O / na       |
| FerPort            | INS               |   | Frame error rate on this port, defined as the count of erroneous messages for each 1'000 messages received.                                                                                                                                                                                 | O / na       |
| AutoNgt            | SPS               |   | If true, the port is set to auto-negotiation.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | M / na       |
| Mau                | INS               |   | Medium access unit status.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | M / na       |
| Settings           |                   |   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |              |
| PortNam            | VSG               |   | Label of the terminal on the device.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | O / na       |
| PortNum            | ING               |   | Number of the terminal on the device.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | M / na       |
| PortMac            | VSG               |   | MAC address of the port, as a dash-separated hex number.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | O / na       |
| AutoNgtCfg         | SPG               |   | If true, the port is set to auto-negotiation.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | M / na       |
| MauCfg             | ING               |   | Currently supported value from 'MauCfgCap'; valid only if 'AutoNegCfg'=false.                                                                                                                                                                                                               | M / na       |
| MauCfgCap          | ING               |   | Supported medium access unit (MAU) setting n. The value corresponds to the MAU type list bit position in RFC 4836. For example, value 16 corresponds to 100BASE-TX full duplex mode.                                                                                                        | Mmulti / na  |
| AdminCfg           | SPG               |   | If true, the port is administratively up, otherwise it is down.                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | M / na       |

#### 19.4.3.2.8 LN: Port link discovery Name: LPLD

This logical node is used to model layer discovery protocol according to IEEE 802.1AB. Its data objects contain data relevant to the remote port connected to a local port.

Table 53 shows all data objects of LPLD.

**Table 53 – Data objects of LNGroupL::LPLD**

| LPLD             |                   |   |                          |              |
|------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------|
| Data object name | Common data class | T | Explanation              | M-O-C nds/ds |
| Descriptions     |                   |   |                          |              |
| NamPlt           | LPL               |   | inherited from: DomainLN | O / na       |

| LPLD               |                         |   |                                                                                                            |              |
|--------------------|-------------------------|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Data object name   | Common data class       | T | Explanation                                                                                                | M-O-C nds/ds |
| Status information |                         |   |                                                                                                            |              |
| RemPortDesc        | VSS                     |   | Textual description of the remote port, according to IEEE 802.1AB.                                         | M / na       |
| LocPortDesc        | VSS                     |   | Textual description of the local port, according to IEEE 802.1AB.                                          | M / na       |
| RemPortIdTyp       | INS                     |   | Type of the remote port identifier 'RemPortId', according to IEEE 802.1AB.                                 | M / na       |
| LocPortIdTyp       | INS                     |   | Type of the local port identifier 'LocPortId', according to IEEE 802.1AB.                                  | M / na       |
| RemPortId          | VSS                     |   | Remote port identifier. Interpretation is given through 'RemPortIdTyp', according to IEEE 802.1AB.         | M / na       |
| LocPortId          | VSS                     |   | Local port identifier. Interpretation is given through 'LocPortIdTyp', according to IEEE 802.1AB.          | M / na       |
| RemChsIdTyp        | INS                     |   | Type of the remote port chassis identifier 'RemChsId', according to IEEE 802.1AB.                          | M / na       |
| RemChsId           | VSS                     |   | Remote port chassis identifier. Interpretation is given through 'RemChsIdTyp', according to IEEE 802.1AB.  | M / na       |
| RemSysDesc         | VSS                     |   | Textual description of the system name of the remote device, according to IEEE 802.1AB.                    | M / na       |
| RemAddrTyp         | INS                     |   | Type of the remote system management address 'RemAddr', according to IEEE 802.1AB.                         | M / na       |
| RemAddr            | VSS                     |   | Remote system management address. Interpretation is given through 'RemAddrTyp', according to IEEE 802.1AB. | M / na       |
| Beh                | ENS (BehaviourModeKind) |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                                                   | M / na       |
| Health             | ENS (HealthKind)        |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                                                   | O / na       |
| Controls           |                         |   |                                                                                                            |              |
| Mod                | ENC (BehaviourModeKind) |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                                                   | O / na       |
| Settings           |                         |   |                                                                                                            |              |
| PortRef            | ORG                     |   | inherited from: PortBindingLN                                                                              | M / na       |
| InRef              | ORG                     |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                                                   | Omulti / na  |

#### 19.4.3.2.9 LN: Bridge spanning tree port Name: LBSP

This logical node is used to model rapid bridge spanning tree protocol according to IEEE 802.1D.

Table 54 shows all data objects of LBSP.

**Table 54 – Data objects of LNGroupL::LBSP**

| LBSP               |                         |   |                                                                                   |              |
|--------------------|-------------------------|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Data object name   | Common data class       | T | Explanation                                                                       | M-O-C nds/ds |
| Descriptions       |                         |   |                                                                                   |              |
| NamPlt             | LPL                     |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                          | O / na       |
| Status information |                         |   |                                                                                   |              |
| RstpSt             | ENS (RstpStateKind)     |   | RSTP port state.                                                                  | O / na       |
| Beh                | ENS (BehaviourModeKind) |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                          | M / na       |
| Health             | ENS (HealthKind)        |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                          | O / na       |
| Controls           |                         |   |                                                                                   |              |
| Mod                | ENC (BehaviourModeKind) |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                          | O / na       |
| Settings           |                         |   |                                                                                   |              |
| RstpTrunk          | SPG                     |   | If true, the port is set to participate in RSTP (is trunk), otherwise it is edge. | M / na       |
| PortRef            | ORG                     |   | inherited from: PortBindingLN                                                     | M / na       |
| InRef              | ORG                     |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                          | Omulti / na  |

**19.4.3.2.10 LN: Time management extension Name: LTIMExt**

This logical node contains newly proposed extended data objects to be moved into LTIM, after which this LN shall be removed.

Table 55 shows all data objects of LTIMExt.

**Table 55 – Data objects of LNGroupL::LTIMExt**

| LTIMExt            |                      |   |                                                                                                                 |              |
|--------------------|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Data object name   | Common data class    | T | Explanation                                                                                                     | M-O-C nds/ds |
| Descriptions       |                      |   |                                                                                                                 |              |
| NamPlt             | LPL                  |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                                                        | O / na       |
| Status information |                      |   |                                                                                                                 |              |
| CurUtcOfsTms       | INS                  |   | Current lag behind TAI, according to 8.2.4.2 of IEC 61588:2009.                                                 | M / na       |
| CurUtcOfsVld       | SPS                  |   | If true, 'CurUtcOfsTms' is valid, according to 8.2.4.3 of IEC 61588:2009.                                       | M / na       |
| RefTmTrk           | SPS                  |   | If true, reference time is traceable, according to 8.2.4.6 of IEC 61588:2009.                                   | M / na       |
| RefFqTrk           | SPS                  |   | If true, reference frequency is traceable, i.e., can be used for 1PPS, according to 8.2.4.7 of IEC 61588:2009.  | M / na       |
| Leap               | ENS (LeapSecondKind) |   | Indicates whether a leap second is introduced, and if yes, whether added or subtracted, according to IEC 61588. | M / na       |
| AltnOfsTms         | INS                  |   | Alternate time offset (as carried in AlternateTimeOffset), according to 16.3.3.4 of IEC 61588:2009.             | M / na       |
| AltnTmNam          | VSS                  |   | Alternate time name (as carried in AlternateTimeOffset), according to 16.3.3.4 of                               | M / na       |

| LTIMExt          |                         |   |                                                                                                                                  |              |
|------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Data object name | Common data class       | T | Explanation                                                                                                                      | M-O-C nds/ds |
|                  |                         |   | IEC 61588:2009.                                                                                                                  |              |
| JmpTms           | INS                     |   | Size of next discontinuity (jumpSeconds), according to 16.3.3.5 of IEC 61588:2009.                                               | M / na       |
| NxtJmpTms        | INS                     |   | Second portion of transmitter time when next discontinuity will occur (timeOfNextJump), according to 16.3.3.6 of IEC 61588:2009. | M / na       |
| TmDT             | SPS                     |   | inherited from: LTIM                                                                                                             | M / na       |
| Beh              | ENS (BehaviourModeKind) |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                                                                         | M / na       |
| Health           | ENS (HealthKind)        |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                                                                         | O / na       |
| Controls         |                         |   |                                                                                                                                  |              |
| Mod              | ENC (BehaviourModeKind) |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                                                                         | O / na       |
| Settings         |                         |   |                                                                                                                                  |              |
| TmOfsTmm         | ING                     |   | inherited from: LTIM                                                                                                             | M / na       |
| TmUseDT          | SPG                     |   | inherited from: LTIM                                                                                                             | M / na       |
| TmChgDayTm       | TSG                     |   | inherited from: LTIM                                                                                                             | O / na       |
| TmChgStdTm       | TSG                     |   | inherited from: LTIM                                                                                                             | O / na       |
| StrWeekDay       | ENG (WeekdayKind)       |   | inherited from: LTIM                                                                                                             | O / na       |
| InRef            | ORG                     |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                                                                         | Omulti / na  |

#### 19.4.3.2.11 LN: Time master supervision extension Name: LTMSExt

This logical node contains newly proposed extended data objects to be moved into LTMS, after which this LN shall be removed.

Figure 83 shows custom diagram Usage of clock references.




**Figure 83 – Usage of clock references**

This diagram illustrates usage of data objects 'ClkRef' and 'TmSrcId' and how they fit with data objects 'TmSrcSet' and 'ClkRef'.

Table 56 shows all data objects of LTMSExt.

**Table 56 – Data objects of LNGroupL::LTMSExt**

| LTMSExt            |                         |   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |              |
|--------------------|-------------------------|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Data object name   | Common data class       | T | Explanation                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | M-O-C nds/ds |
| Descriptions       |                         |   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |              |
| NamPlt             | LPL                     |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                                                                                                                                                                          | O / na       |
| Status information |                         |   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |              |
| TmSrcId            | INS                     |   | Identity of the time source in 'TmSrc', according to 8.2.4.9 of IEC 61588:2009.                                                                                                                                                   | M / na       |
| TmAcc              | INS                     |   | inherited from: LTMS                                                                                                                                                                                                              | O / na       |
| TmSrc              | VSS                     |   | inherited from: LTMS                                                                                                                                                                                                              | M / na       |
| TmSyn              | ENS (ClockSyncKind)     |   | inherited from: LTMS                                                                                                                                                                                                              | O / na       |
| TmChSt             | SPS                     |   | inherited from: LTMS                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Omulti / na  |
| Beh                | ENS (BehaviourModeKind) |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                                                                                                                                                                          | M / na       |
| Health             | ENS (HealthKind)        |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                                                                                                                                                                          | O / na       |
| Controls           |                         |   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |              |
| Mod                | ENC (BehaviourModeKind) |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                                                                                                                                                                          | O / na       |
| Settings           |                         |   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |              |
| ClkRef             | ORG                     |   | Reference to clock n (e.g., LTPC instance) providing synchronisation, in order of preference; this corresponds to 'TmSrcSet' n. At present, the only time source having its logical node model is the one specified in IEC 61588. | Mmulti / na  |
| TmSrcSet           | VSG                     |   | inherited from: LTMS                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Omulti / na  |
| InRef              | ORG                     |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                                                                                                                                                                                          | Omulti / na  |

#### 19.4.3.2.12 LN: PTP clock Name: LTPC

This logical node is used to model clock according to the IEC 61588 precision time protocol, consisting of the ordinary (local) clock configuration (defaultDS) and of the grandmaster (parent) clock status (currentDS, parentDS). The grandmaster attributes are statuses since they represent the remote clock, even if the master clock happens to be the same local clock, in which case the objects have the same value as for the local clock settings.

Table 57 shows all data objects of LTPC.

**Table 57 – Data objects of LNGroupL::LTPC**

| LTPC               |                         |   |                                                      |              |
|--------------------|-------------------------|---|------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Data object name   | Common data class       | T | Explanation                                          | M-O-C nds/ds |
| Descriptions       |                         |   |                                                      |              |
| NamPlt             | LPL                     |   | inherited from: DomainLN                             | O / na       |
| Status information |                         |   |                                                      |              |
| GmClkSt            | CGS                     |   | Grandmaster clock status, according to IEEE C37.238. | M / na       |
| Beh                | ENS (BehaviourModeKind) |   | inherited from: DomainLN                             | M / na       |
| Health             | ENS (HealthKind)        |   | inherited from: DomainLN                             | O / na       |

| LTPC             |                         |   |                                                          |              |
|------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Data object name | Common data class       | T | Explanation                                              | M-O-C nds/ds |
| <b>Controls</b>  |                         |   |                                                          |              |
| Mod              | ENC (BehaviourModeKind) |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                 | O / na       |
| <b>Settings</b>  |                         |   |                                                          |              |
| OrdClkCfg        | COG                     |   | Ordinary clock configuration, according to IEEE C37.238. | M / na       |
| ClkPortRef       | ORG                     |   | Reference to the synchronizing port n (LTPP instance).   | Mmulti / na  |
| InRef            | ORG                     |   | inherited from: DomainLN                                 | Omulti / na  |

#### 19.4.3.2.13 LN: PTP clock port Name: LTPP

This logical node is used to model clock properties of a port, extending the portDS in IEC 61588 by IEEE C37.238 MIB objects.

Table 58 shows all data objects of LTPP.

**Table 58 – Data objects of LNgroupl::LTPP**

| LTPP                      |                         |   |                               |              |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Data object name          | Common data class       | T | Explanation                   | M-O-C nds/ds |
| <b>Descriptions</b>       |                         |   |                               |              |
| NamPlt                    | LPL                     |   | inherited from: DomainLN      | O / na       |
| <b>Status information</b> |                         |   |                               |              |
| ClkPort                   | CPS                     |   | Status of the clock port.     | M / na       |
| Beh                       | ENS (BehaviourModeKind) |   | inherited from: DomainLN      | M / na       |
| Health                    | ENS (HealthKind)        |   | inherited from: DomainLN      | O / na       |
| <b>Controls</b>           |                         |   |                               |              |
| Mod                       | ENC (BehaviourModeKind) |   | inherited from: DomainLN      | O / na       |
| <b>Settings</b>           |                         |   |                               |              |
| PortRef                   | ORG                     |   | inherited from: PortBindingLN | M / na       |
| InRef                     | ORG                     |   | inherited from: DomainLN      | Omulti / na  |

#### 19.4.4 Data semantics

Table 59 shows all attributes defined on classes of LogicalNodes\_90\_4 package.

**Table 59 – Attributes defined on classes of LogicalNodes\_90\_4 package**

| Name       | Type | (Used in) Description                                                                                  |
|------------|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| AdminCfg   | SPG  | (LPCP) If true, the port is administratively up, otherwise it is down.                                 |
| AltnOfsTms | INS  | (LTIMExt) Alternate time offset (as carried in AlternateTimeOffset), according to IEC 61588, 16.3.3.4. |
| AltnTmNam  | VSS  | (LTIMExt) Alternate time name (as carried in AlternateTimeOffset),                                     |

| Name         | Type                    | (Used in) Description                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|              |                         | according 16.3.3.4 of IEC 61588:2009.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| AutoNgt      | SPS                     | (LPCP) If true, the port is set to auto-negotiation.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| AutoNgtCfg   | SPG                     | (LPCP) If true, the port is set to auto-negotiation.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| ChRef        | ORG                     | (LCCF) Reference of the channel (LCCH) to which this filter belongs.                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| ClkPort      | CPS                     | (LTPP) Status of the clock port.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| ClkPortRef   | ORG                     | (LTPC) Reference to the synchronizing port n (LTPP instance).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| ClkRef       | ORG                     | (LTMSExt) Reference to clock n (e.g., LTPC instance) providing synchronisation, in order of preference; this corresponds to 'TmSrcSet' n. At present, the only time source having its logical node model is the one specified in IEC 61588.                                                       |
| CurUtcOfsTms | INS                     | (LTIMExt) Current lag behind TAI, according to 8.2.4.2 of IEC 61588:2009.                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| CurUtcOfsVld | SPS                     | (LTIMExt) If true, 'CurUtcOfsTms' is valid, according to 8.2.4.3 of IEC 61588:2009.                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| DftPortPrio  | ING                     | (LCCF) Default port's priority.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| DftPortVid   | ING                     | (LCCF) Default port's VLAN ID.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| FerPort      | INS                     | (LPCP) Frame error rate on this port, defined as the count of erroneous messages for each 1'000 messages received.                                                                                                                                                                                |
| GmClkSt      | CGS                     | (LTPC) Grandmaster clock status, according to IEEE C37.238.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| InOv         | SPS                     | (LPCP) If true, a buffer overflow has occurred for the input buffer and important annunciations may be lost for the communication. It comes back to false when the buffer has returned to normal operation. A general interrogation is recommended or an integrity scan is started automatically. |
| JmpTms       | INS                     | (LTIMExt) Size of next discontinuity (jumpSeconds), according to 16.3.3.5 of IEC 61588:2009.                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| LdpEna       | SPG                     | (LPHDExt) If true, physical device support for link layer discovery protocol (LLDP) is enabled.                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Leap         | ENS<br>(LeapSecondKind) | (LTIMExt) Indicates whether a leap second is introduced, and if yes, whether added or subtracted, according to IEC 61588.                                                                                                                                                                         |
| LocAddr      | VSS                     | (LPHDExt) Local system management address. Interpretation is given through 'LocAddrTyp', according to IEEE 802.1AB.                                                                                                                                                                               |
| LocAddrTyp   | INS                     | (LPHDExt) Type of the local system management address 'LocAddr', according to IEEE 802.1AB.                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| LocChsld     | VSS                     | (LPHDExt) Local chassis identifier. Interpretation is given through 'LocChsldTyp', according to IEEE 802.1AB.                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| LocChsldTyp  | INS                     | (LPHDExt) Type of the local chassis identifier 'LocChsld', according to IEEE 802.1AB.                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| LocPortDesc  | VSS                     | (LPLD) Textual description of the local port, according to IEEE 802.1AB.                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| LocPortId    | VSS                     | (LPLD) Local port identifier. Interpretation is given through 'LocPortIdTyp', according to IEEE 802.1AB.                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| LocPortIdTyp | INS                     | (LPLD) Type of the local port identifier 'LocPortId', according to IEEE 802.1AB.                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| MacAddr      | VSS                     | (LBRI) MAC address of the bridge, as a dash-separated hex number.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Mau          | INS                     | (LPCP) Medium access unit status.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| MauCfg       | ING                     | (LPCP) Currently supported value from 'MauCfgCap'; valid only if 'AutoNegCfg'=false.                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| MauCfgCap    | ING                     | (LPCP) Supported medium access unit (MAU) setting n. The value corresponds to the MAU type list bit position in RFC 4836. For example, value 16 corresponds to 100BASE-TX full duplex mode.                                                                                                       |
| MirPortRef   | ORG                     | (LBRI) Reference to mirrored port n (LPCP instance).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| NamPlt       | LPL                     | (LPCP) Name plate of the logical node. Note: This data object has been temporarily added because this logical node does not derive from DomainLN (which has NamPlt), but we need the means to specify the data name space (NamPlt.InNs) as long as it is different from                           |

| Name         | Type                        | (Used in) Description                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|--------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|              |                             | IEC 61850-7-4. As soon as this logical node gets incorporated into IEC 61850-7-4, this data object will be removed.                                                                                                                                                                                |
| NxtJmpTms    | INS                         | (LTIMExt) Second portion of transmitter time when next discontinuity will occur (timeOfNextJump), according to 16.3.3.6 of IEC 61588:2009.                                                                                                                                                         |
| OrdClkCfg    | COG                         | (LTPC) Ordinary clock configuration, according to IEEE C37.238.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| OutOv        | SPS                         | (LPCP) If true, a buffer overflow has occurred for the output buffer and important annunciations may be lost for the communication. It comes back to false when the buffer has returned to normal operation. A general interrogation is recommended or an integrity scan is started automatically. |
| PhyHealth    | ENS (HealthKind)            | (LPCP) Reflects the state of the physical device related hardware and software.                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| PhyNam       | DPL                         | (LPCP) Physical device name plate.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| PortMac      | VSG                         | (LPCP) MAC address of the port, as a dash-separated hex number.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| PortNam      | VSG                         | (LPCP) Label of the terminal on the device.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| PortNum      | ING                         | (LPCP) Number of the terminal on the device.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| PortRef      | ORG                         | (LCCHExt) Reference to the LPCP port instance (port A if paired).<br>(LBRI) Reference to RSTP port n (LBSP instance) belonging to this bridge.<br>(PortBindingLN) Reference to the port (LPCP instance) to which this binding applies.                                                             |
| RedCfg       | ENG (ChannelRedundancyKind) | (LCCHExt) Port redundancy protocol.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| RedPathId    | ING                         | (LCCHExt) Path ID for RedBox or QuadBox functionality (applicable for PRP or HSR protocols).                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| RedPortRef   | ORG                         | (LCCHExt) Reference to the LPCP port instance for redundant port (port B if paired).                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| RefFqTrk     | SPS                         | (LTIMExt) If true, reference frequency is traceable, i.e., can be used for 1PPS, according to 8.2.4.7 of IEC 61588:2009.                                                                                                                                                                           |
| RefTmTrk     | SPS                         | (LTIMExt) If true, reference time is traceable, according to 8.2.4.6 of IEC 61588:2009.                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| RemAddr      | VSS                         | (LPLD) Remote system management address. Interpretation is given through 'RemAddrTyp', according to IEEE 802.1AB.                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| RemAddrTyp   | INS                         | (LPLD) Type of the remote system management address 'RemAddr', according to IEEE 802.1AB.                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| RemChsId     | VSS                         | (LPLD) Remote port chassis identifier. Interpretation is given through 'RemChsIdTyp', according to IEEE 802.1AB.                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| RemChsIdTyp  | INS                         | (LPLD) Type of the remote port chassis identifier 'RemChsId', according to IEEE 802.1AB.                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| RemPortDesc  | VSS                         | (LPLD) Textual description of the remote port, according to IEEE 802.1AB.                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| RemPortId    | VSS                         | (LPLD) Remote port identifier. Interpretation is given through 'RemPortIdTyp', according to IEEE 802.1AB.                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| RemPortIdTyp | INS                         | (LPLD) Type of the remote port identifier 'RemPortId', according to IEEE 802.1AB.                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| RemSysDesc   | VSS                         | (LPLD) Textual description of the system name of the remote device, according to IEEE 802.1AB.                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| RstpEna      | SPG                         | (LBRI) If true, RSTP is enabled.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| RstpHelloTm  | ING                         | (LBRI) RSTP hello time (typically in [s]).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| RstpMaxAgeTm | ING                         | (LBRI) RSTP maximum age (typically in [s]). May be increased depending on the size of the network.                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| RstpPrio     | ING                         | (LBRI) Bridge priority setting according to IEEE 802.1D.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| RstpRoot     | SPS                         | (LBRI) If true, this bridge is the root in the spanning tree.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |

| Name        | Type                   | (Used in) Description                                                                                                                                                |
|-------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| RstpSt      | ENS<br>(RstpStateKind) | (LBSP) RSTP port state.                                                                                                                                              |
| RstpTopoCnt | INS                    | (LBRI) Number of topology changes that occurred since last device reset and timestamp of last recorded topology change event based on the local bridge clock.        |
| RstpTrunk   | SPG                    | (LBSP) If true, the port is set to participate in RSTP (is trunk), otherwise it is edge.                                                                             |
| RxCnt       | BCR                    | (LPCP) Number of messages received since last reset.                                                                                                                 |
| TestPortRef | ORG                    | (LBRI) Reference to test port (LPCP instance).                                                                                                                       |
| TmSrcId     | INS                    | (LTMSExt) Identity of the time source in 'TmSrc', according to 8.2.4.9 of IEC 61588:2009.                                                                            |
| TmpAlm      | SPS                    | (LPHDExt) If true, the temperature exceeded alarm level setting 'TmpAlmSpt'.                                                                                         |
| TmpAlmSpt   | ASG                    | (LPHDExt) Temperature alarm level setting.                                                                                                                           |
| TxCnt       | BCR                    | (LPCP) Number of messages sent since last reset.                                                                                                                     |
| VlanFil     | VLN                    | (LCCF) Information for the supported VLAN filter n. There should be at least one VLAN filter corresponding to the default channel VLAN ID even if no VLANs are used. |

#### 19.4.5 Enumerated data attribute types

##### 19.4.5.1 General

Subclause 19.4.5 contains explicit definitions of enumerated types used in IEC/TR 61850-90-4.

##### 19.4.5.2 Channel redundancy (ChannelRedundancyKind enumeration)

Kind of channel redundancy.

Table 60 shows all literals of ChannelRedundancyKind.

**Table 60 – Literals of DOEnums\_90\_4::ChannelRedundancyKind**

| ChannelRedundancyKind |       |                                                                                 |
|-----------------------|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| literal               | value | description                                                                     |
| none                  | 1     | No redundant port.                                                              |
| prp                   | 2     | Parallel redundancy protocol, with two channels working in parallel.            |
| hsr                   | 3     | Parallel redundancy with bridging, i.e., transmitting from one port to another. |

##### 19.4.5.3 LeapSecondKind enumeration

Consideration of the leap second.

Table 61 shows all literals of LeapSecondKind.

**Table 61 – Literals of DOEnums\_90\_4::LeapSecondKind**

| LeapSecondKind   |       |                                            |
|------------------|-------|--------------------------------------------|
| literal          | value | description                                |
| none             | 1     | No leap second.                            |
| removeLeapSecond | 2     | The last minute of the day will have 59 s. |
| addLeapSecond    | 3     | The last minute of the day will have 61 s. |

#### 19.4.5.4 RSTP state (RstpStateKind enumeration)

RSTP states.

Table 62 shows all literals of RstpStateKind.


**Table 62 – Literals of DOEnums\_90\_4::RstpStateKind**

| RstpStateKind |       |                                               |
|---------------|-------|-----------------------------------------------|
| literal       | value | description                                   |
| disabled      | 1     | Port is disabled in STP, discarding in RSTP.  |
| blocking      | 2     | Port is blocking in STP, discarding in RSTP.  |
| listening     | 3     | Port is listening in STP, discarding in RSTP. |
| learning      | 4     | Port is learning in both STP and RSTP.        |
| forwarding    | 5     | Port is forwarding in both STP and RSTP.      |
| unknown       | 6     | Port is in an unknown state.                  |

#### 19.4.5.5 Package DetailedDiagram

##### 19.4.5.5.1 General

Figure 84 shows class diagram DOEnums\_90\_4.

**Figure 84 – Class diagram DetailedDiagram::DOEnums\_90\_4**

### 19.4.6 SCL enumerations

```
<EnumType id="ChannelRedundancyKind">
  <EnumVal ord="1">none</EnumVal>
  <EnumVal ord="2">prp</EnumVal>
  <EnumVal ord="3">hsr</EnumVal>
</EnumType>
<EnumType id="LeapSecondKind">
  <EnumVal ord="1">none</EnumVal>
  <EnumVal ord="2">removeLeapSecond</EnumVal>
  <EnumVal ord="3">addLeapSecond</EnumVal>
</EnumType>
<EnumType id="RstpStateKind">
  <EnumVal ord="1">disabled</EnumVal>
  <EnumVal ord="2">blocking</EnumVal>
  <EnumVal ord="3">listening</EnumVal>
  <EnumVal ord="4">learning</EnumVal>
  <EnumVal ord="5">forwarding</EnumVal>
  <EnumVal ord="6">unknown</EnumVal>
</EnumType>
```

### 19.4.7 Common data class specifications

#### 19.4.7.1 General

This subclause is a placeholder until the object model is moved to IEC 61850-7-3.

(no doc)

Figure 85 shows class diagram CommonDataClasses\_90\_4.




**Figure 85 – Class diagram CommonDataClasses\_90\_4::CommonDataClasses\_90\_4**

(no doc)

#### 19.4.7.2 Common data class specifications for status information

##### 19.4.7.2.1 General

This subclause is a placeholder until the object model is moved to IEC 61850-7-3.

Figure 86 shows class diagram CDCStatusInfo.



Figure 86 – Class diagram CDCStatusInfo::CDCStatusInfo

(no doc)

**19.4.7.2.2 Clock grandmaster status (CGS)**

This common data class is used to model grandmaster clock status according to IEC 61588 and IEEE C37.238. It reflects the currentDS, parentDS and timePropertiesDS in IEC 61588.

Table 63 defines the common data class "clock grandmaster status".

**Table 63 – Clock grandmaster status common data class definition**

| CGS                 |                |    |       |                                                                                        |       |
|---------------------|----------------|----|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Data attribute name | Type           | FC | TrgOp | (Value/Value range) Description                                                        | M/O/C |
| status              |                |    |       |                                                                                        |       |
| parentClkId         | OCTET_STRING64 | ST | dchg  | Master EUI-64 clock identity, according to 8.2.3.2 of IEC 61588:2009.                  | M     |
| gmClkId             | OCTET_STRING64 | ST | dchg  | Grandmaster EUI-64 clock identity, according to 8.2.3.6 of IEC 61588:2009.             | M     |
| gmClkPrio1          | INT8U          | ST | dchg  | (range=[0...255]) Grandmaster clock priority1, according to 8.2.3.8 of IEC 61588:2009. | M     |
| gmClkPrio2          | INT8U          | ST | dchg  | (range=[0...255]) Grandmaster clock                                                    | M     |

| CGS                                      |                   |    |       |                                                                                                              |          |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------|----|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Data attribute name                      | Type              | FC | TrgOp | (Value/Value range) Description                                                                              | M/O/C    |
|                                          |                   |    |       | priority2, according to IEC 61588, 8.2.3.9.                                                                  |          |
| gmClkClass                               | INT8U             | ST | dchg  | Grandmaster clock class, according to 8.2.3.7 of IEC 61588:2009.                                             | M        |
| gmClkAcc                                 | INT8U             | ST | dchg  | Grandmaster clock time accuracy class, according to 8.2.3.7 of IEC 61588:2009.                               | M        |
| gmShortId                                | INT16U            | ST | dchg  | Grandmaster short identifier, according to IEEE C37.238, 5.9.6.                                              | M        |
| gmInacc                                  | INT32U            | ST | dchg  | Grandmaster time inaccuracy, according to 5.13 of IEEE C37.238:2011.                                         | M        |
| netInacc                                 | INT32U            | ST | dchg  | Network time inaccuracy, according to 5.13 of IEEE C37.238:2011.                                             | M        |
| utcOffset                                | INT32             | ST | dchg  | Offset from UTC time [s], according to 8.2.4.2 of IEC 61588:2009.                                            | M        |
| utcOffsetVld                             | BOOLEAN           | ST | dchg  | If true, offset from UTC is valid, according to 8.2.4.2 of IEC 61588:2009.                                   | M        |
| numPath                                  | INT8U             | ST | dchg  | Number of paths traversed between local clock and grandmaster clock, according to 8.2.2.2 of IEC 61588:2009. | M        |
| mstOffset                                | INT64             | ST | dchg  | Offset from master time [ns], derived from 8.2.2.3 of IEC 61588:2009.                                        | M        |
| meanPathDI                               | INT64             | ST | dchg  | Mean path delay, derived from 8.2.2.4 of IEC 61588:2009.                                                     | M        |
| tmSrc                                    | INT8U             | ST | dchg  | Time source, according to 8.2.4.9 of IEC 61588:2009.                                                         | M        |
| configuration, description and extension |                   |    |       |                                                                                                              |          |
| d                                        | VISIBLE_STRING255 | DC |       | inherited from: BasePrimitiveCDC                                                                             | O        |
| dU                                       | UNICODE_STRING255 | DC |       | inherited from: BasePrimitiveCDC                                                                             | O        |
| cdcNs                                    | VISIBLE_STRING255 | EX |       | inherited from: BasePrimitiveCDC                                                                             | MOcdcNs  |
| cdcName                                  | VISIBLE_STRING255 | EX |       | inherited from: BasePrimitiveCDC                                                                             | MOcdcNs  |
| dataNs                                   | VISIBLE_STRING255 | EX |       | inherited from: BasePrimitiveCDC                                                                             | MOdataNs |

#### 19.4.7.2.3 Clock port status (CPS)

This common data class is used to model clock port status according to IEC 61588 and IEEE C37.238.

Table 64 defines the common data class "clock port status".

**Table 64 – Clock port status common data class definition**

| CPS                 |       |    |       |                                  |       |
|---------------------|-------|----|-------|----------------------------------|-------|
| Data attribute name | Type  | FC | TrgOp | (Value/Value range) Description  | M/O/C |
| status              |       |    |       |                                  |       |
| stVal               | INT8U | ST | dchg  | State, according to 8.2.5.3.1 of | M     |

| CPS                                      |                   |    |       |                                                                                                                                                 |          |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------|----|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Data attribute name                      | Type              | FC | TrgOp | (Value/Value range) Description                                                                                                                 | M/O/C    |
|                                          |                   |    |       | IEC 61588:2009.                                                                                                                                 |          |
| peerId                                   | OCTET_STRING64    | ST | dchg  | EUI-64 identity of the peer port.                                                                                                               | O        |
| peerMpd                                  | INT64             | ST | dchg  | Mean path delay, according to 8.2.5.3.3 of IEC 61588:2009.                                                                                      | M        |
| id                                       | OCTET_STRING64    | ST | dchg  | Clock port identifier, according to 8.2.5.2.1 of IEC 61588:2009.                                                                                | M        |
| num                                      | INT16U            | ST | dchg  | Clock port number, according to 8.2.5.2.1 of IEC 61588:2009.                                                                                    | M        |
| revNum                                   | INT8U             | ST | dchg  | Revision number, according to 8.2.5.4.6 of IEC 61588:2009.                                                                                      | M        |
| setting                                  |                   |    |       |                                                                                                                                                 |          |
| logAnnIntvl                              | INT8              | SP | dchg  | Log announce interval setting, according to 8.2.5.4.1 of IEC 61588:2009.                                                                        | M        |
| annRcvTime out                           | INT8U             | SP | dchg  | Announce receive timeout setting, according to 8.2.5.4.2 of IEC 61588:2009.                                                                     | M        |
| logSynchIntvl                            | INT8              | SP | dchg  | Log synchronised interval setting, according to 8.2.5.4.3 of IEC 61588:2009.                                                                    | M        |
| dlMechanism                              | INT8              | SP | dchg  | Delay mechanism setting, according to 8.2.5.4.4 of IEC 61588:2009.                                                                              | M        |
| logMpdReqIntvl                           | INT8              | SP | dchg  | Log minimum path delay request interval setting, according to 8.2.5.4.5 of IEC 61588:2009.                                                      | M        |
| ena                                      | BOOLEAN           | SP | dchg  | If true, enabled setting, according to C37.238.                                                                                                 | M        |
| dlAsym                                   | INT64             | SP | dchg  | Delay asymmetry setting, according to C37.238.                                                                                                  | M        |
| profileId                                | INT8U             | SP | dchg  | Profile identifier, according to C37.238.                                                                                                       | M        |
| vlan                                     | INT16U            | SP | dchg  | IEEE 802.1Q VLAN identifier to use for outgoing PTP messages, according to C37.238. If both 'vlan'=0 and 'prio'=0, PTP messages are not tagged. | O        |
| prio                                     | INT8U             | SP | dchg  | IEEE 802.1Q priority to use for outgoing PTP messages, according to C37.238. If both 'vlan'=0 and 'prio'=0, PTP messages are not tagged.        | O        |
| configuration, description and extension |                   |    |       |                                                                                                                                                 |          |
| d                                        | VISIBLE_STRING255 | DC |       | inherited from: BasePrimitiveCDC                                                                                                                | O        |
| dU                                       | UNICODE_STRING255 | DC |       | inherited from: BasePrimitiveCDC                                                                                                                | O        |
| cdcNs                                    | VISIBLE_STRING255 | EX |       | inherited from: BasePrimitiveCDC                                                                                                                | MOcdcNs  |
| cdcName                                  | VISIBLE_STRING255 | EX |       | inherited from: BasePrimitiveCDC                                                                                                                | MOcdcNs  |
| dataNs                                   | VISIBLE_STRING255 | EX |       | inherited from: BasePrimitiveCDC                                                                                                                | MOdataNs |

### 19.4.7.3 Common data class specifications for status settings

#### 19.4.7.3.1 General

This subclause is a placeholder until the object model is moved to IEC 61850-7-3.

Figure 87 shows class diagram CDCStatusSet.




Figure 87 – Class diagram CDCStatusSet::CDCStatusSet

(no doc)

#### 19.4.7.3.2 Clock ordinary settings (COG)

This common data class is used to model ordinary clock configuration according to IEC 61588 and IEEE C37.238. It reflects the defaultDS in IEC 61588.

Table 65 defines the common data class "clock ordinary settings".

Table 65 – Clock ordinary settings common data class definition

| COG                 |         |    |       |                                                                                                                                                          |       |
|---------------------|---------|----|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Data attribute name | Type    | FC | TrgOp | (Value/Value range) Description                                                                                                                          | M/O/C |
| setting             |         |    |       |                                                                                                                                                          |       |
| twoStep             | BOOLEAN | SP | dchg  | If true, clock is two-step, according to 8.2.1.2.1 of IEC 61588:2009.                                                                                    | M     |
| numPorts            | INT8U   | SP | dchg  | (range=[1...255]) Number of clock ports on this device, according to 8.2.1.2.3 of IEC 61588:2009, with the exception that the number may be more than 1. | M     |
| clkPrio1            | INT8U   | SP | dchg  | Clock priority1, according to 8.2.1.4.1                                                                                                                  | M     |

| COG                                      |                   |    |       |                                                                                |          |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------|----|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Data attribute name                      | Type              | FC | TrgOp | (Value/Value range) Description                                                | M/O/C    |
|                                          |                   |    |       | of IEC 61588:2009.                                                             |          |
| clkPrio2                                 | INT8U             | SP | dchg  | Clock priority2, according to 8.2.1.4.1 of IEC 61588:2009.                     | M        |
| clkClass                                 | INT8U             | SP | dchg  | Clock class, according to 8.2.1.3.1.1 of IEC 61588:2009.                       | M        |
| clkAcc                                   | INT8U             | SP | dchg  | Clock time accuracy class, according to 8.2.1.3.1.2 of IEC 61588:2009.         | M        |
| domainNum                                | INT8U             | SP | dchg  | Time domain, according to 8.2.1.4.3 of IEC 61588:2009.                         | M        |
| slaveOnly                                | BOOLEAN           | SP | dchg  | If true, clock cannot become master, according to 8.2.1.4.4 of IEC 61588:2009. | M        |
| gmShortId                                | INT16U            | SP | dchg  | Grandmaster short identifier, according to IEEE C37.238.                       | M        |
| netInacc                                 | INT32U            | SP | dchg  | Grandmaster network time inaccuracy [ns], according to IEEE C37.238.           | O        |
| engInacc                                 | INT32U            | SP | dchg  | Engineered network time inaccuracy [ns], according to IEEE C37.238.            | O        |
| locInacc                                 | INT32U            | SP | dchg  | Local contribution to network time inaccuracy [ns], according to IEEE C37.238. | M        |
| offsetMstLim                             | INT32U            | SP | dchg  | Offset from master limit [ns].                                                 | M        |
| configuration, description and extension |                   |    |       |                                                                                |          |
| clkId                                    | OCTET_STRING64    | CF | dchg  | EUI-64 clock identity, in binary format.                                       | M        |
| d                                        | VISIBLE_STRING255 | DC |       | inherited from: BasePrimitiveCDC                                               | O        |
| dU                                       | UNICODE_STRING255 | DC |       | inherited from: BasePrimitiveCDC                                               | O        |
| cdcNs                                    | VISIBLE_STRING255 | EX |       | inherited from: BasePrimitiveCDC                                               | MOcdcNs  |
| cdcName                                  | VISIBLE_STRING255 | EX |       | inherited from: BasePrimitiveCDC                                               | MOcdcNs  |
| dataNs                                   | VISIBLE_STRING255 | EX |       | inherited from: BasePrimitiveCDC                                               | MOdataNs |

#### 19.4.7.3.3 VLAN filters (VLN)

This common data class is used to model a collection of multicast addresses associated with a VLAN, including filter for tagging and priority. According to IEEE 802.1Q, a multicast filter belongs to a VLAN even if no VLANs are used (a default channel VLAN ID may be used in this case).

Table 66 defines the common data class "vlan filters".

**Table 66 – VLAN filters common data class definition**

| VLN                                             |                                                |    |       |                                                         |          |
|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|----|-------|---------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Data attribute name                             | Type                                           | FC | TrgOp | (Value/Value range) Description                         | M/O/C    |
| <b>setting</b>                                  |                                                |    |       |                                                         |          |
| vid                                             | INT16U                                         | SP | dchg  | (range=[0...4095]) Identifier of the VLAN.              | M        |
| mcAddrFil                                       | ARRAY of [0...maxMcAddr-1] of VISIBLE_STRING64 | SP | dchg  | Array of multicast addresses bound to the VLAN.         | O        |
| tagFil                                          | ENUMERATED (VlanTagKind)                       | SP | dchg  | VLAN tagging filter.                                    | M        |
| <b>configuration, description and extension</b> |                                                |    |       |                                                         |          |
| numMcAddr                                       | INT16U                                         | CF | dchg  | (range=[0...maxMcAddr-1]) Actual size of 'mcAddrFil[]'. | M        |
| maxMcAddr                                       | INT16U                                         | CF | dchg  | Maximum supported size for 'mcAddrFil[]'.               | M        |
| d                                               | VISIBLE_STRING255                              | DC |       | inherited from: BasePrimitiveCDC                        | O        |
| dU                                              | UNICODE_STRING255                              | DC |       | inherited from: BasePrimitiveCDC                        | O        |
| cdcNs                                           | VISIBLE_STRING255                              | EX |       | inherited from: BasePrimitiveCDC                        | MOcdcNs  |
| cdcName                                         | VISIBLE_STRING255                              | EX |       | inherited from: BasePrimitiveCDC                        | MOcdcNs  |
| dataNs                                          | VISIBLE_STRING255                              | EX |       | inherited from: BasePrimitiveCDC                        | MOdataNs |

#### 19.4.8 Enumerated types

##### 19.4.8.1 General

This subclause is a placeholder until the object model is moved to IEC 61850-7-3.

##### 19.4.8.2 VLAN tagging (VlanTagKind enumeration)

Status for VLAN tagging.

Table 67 shows all literals of VlanTagKind.

**Table 67 – Literals of DAEnums\_90\_4::VlanTagKind**

| VlanTagKind |       |                                                     |
|-------------|-------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| literal     | value | description                                         |
| tagged      | 0     | The port forwards with VLAN tag.                    |
| untagged    | 1     | The port removes the VLAN tag and forwards.         |
| forbidden   | 2     | The port does not forward frames with that VLAN ID. |

### 19.4.9 SCL enumerations

This subclause is a placeholder until the object model is moved to IEC 61850-7-3.

```
<EnumType id="VlanTagKind">
  <EnumVal ord="0">tagged</EnumVal>
  <EnumVal ord="1">untagged</EnumVal>
  <EnumVal ord="2">forbidden</EnumVal>
</EnumType>
```

## 19.5 Mapping of bridge objects to SNMP

### 19.5.1 Mapping of LLN0 and LPHD attributes to SNMP

Table 68 suggests a mapping from SNMP RFC1213-MIB parameters to the Data Objects of the LLN0 and LPHD.

**Table 68 – Mapping of LLN0 and LPHD attributes to SNMP**

| IEC 61850 Data Attributes | SNMP OID                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | SNMP Access (read / write) |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| LLN0.NamPlt.vendor        | Vendor specific:<br>Static vendor name.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | read                       |
| LLN0.NamPlt.swRev         | Vendor specific:<br>Bridge software version ( firmware & operating system).                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | read                       |
| LLN0.NamPlt.d             | 1.3.6.1.2.1.1.5:<br>(sysName).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | read/write                 |
| LPHD.PhyNam.vendor        | 1.3.6.1.2.1.1.2 (derived):<br>(sysObjectID).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | read                       |
| LPHD.PhyNam.serNum        | Vendor specific:<br>Bridge serial number given by the manufacturer                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | read                       |
| LPHD.PhyNam.model         | 1.3.6.1.2.1.1.1:<br>(sysDescr).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | read                       |
| LPHD.PhyNam.location      | 1.3.6.1.2.1.1.6:<br>(sysLocation).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | read/write                 |
| LPHD.PhyHealth.stVal      | Vendor specific:<br>Bridge status information.<br>Attribute type stVal is Enum (INT8 at MMS level), so a most basic representation is e.g.<br>1 = good,<br>2 =warning (redundancy loss),<br>3 = alarm.                                                                                      | read                       |
| LPHD.PwrSupAlm.stVal      | Vendor specific:<br>Bridge power supply status information<br>FALSE (0) = all (redundant) power supplies are in good health,<br>TRUE (1) = one of the power supplies is in bad health.                                                                                                      | read                       |
| LPHD.TmpAlm.stVal         | Vendor specific:<br>Bridge Temperature information.<br>Alert if the device temperature is outside the temperature band that the manufacturer defines as safe. The attribute type of stVal is Boolean: FALSE(0) = temperature within safe bounds, TRUE (1) = temperature outside safe bounds | read                       |

NOTE 1 Only the most important mandatory and optional data attributes are mapped here.

NOTE 2 When a simple attribute cannot be mapped from SNMP to IEC 61850, a description for the vendor specific data attribute is given.

NOTE 3 A "data change" needs to be communicated as a triggered event from the SNMP stack towards the IEC 61850 stack, either by SNMP trap towards the gateway or internally towards the integrated IEC 61850 stack, depending on the use case.

### 19.5.2 Mapping of LBRI attributes to SNMP for bridges

Table 69 suggests a mapping from SNMP BRIDGE-MIB parameters to the Data Objects of LBRI.

**Table 69 – Mapping of LBRI and LBSP attributes to SNMP for bridges**

| MMS Data Attributes    | SNMP OID                                                                                                                                                                                                     | SNMP Access |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| LBRI.MacAddr.stVal     | 1.3.6.1.2.1.17.1.1<br>designated root bridge MAC address                                                                                                                                                     | read        |
| LBRI.RstpRoot.stVal    | 1.3.6.1.2.1.17.2.5 (derived value)<br>designated root bridge.<br>The data attribute stVal is Boolean:<br>TRUE = the Root Bridge MAC equals the Management MAC<br>address of the bridge.<br>FALSE = otherwise | read        |
| LBRI.RstpPrio.stVal    | 1.3.6.1.2.1.17.2.2<br>root bridge priority according to IEEE 802.1D                                                                                                                                          | read/write  |
| LBRI.RstpTopoCnt.stVal | 1.3.6.1.2.1.17.2.4<br>Topology change counter                                                                                                                                                                | read        |
| LBRI.RstpTopoCnt.t     | Vendor specific (see remarks) <sup>7</sup>                                                                                                                                                                   | read        |
| LBSP.RstpSt.stVal      | 1.3.6.1.2.1.17.2.15.1.3.x (x=port number)<br>Bridgeport (R)STP state                                                                                                                                         | read        |

### 19.5.3 Mapping of LPCP attributes to SNMP for bridges

Table 70 suggests a mapping from SNMP MIB parameters to the Data Objects of LPCP.

**Table 70 – Mapping of LPCP attributes to SNMP for bridges**

| MMS Data Attributes | SNMP OID                                                                                                           | SNMP Access |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| LPCP.FerCh.stVal    | 1.3.6.1.2.1.2.2.1.20.x (x=port number)<br>Frame error rate<br>(packet error count converted into frame error rate) | read        |
| LPCP.Mau.stVal      | 1.3.6.1.2.1.26.2.1.1.3.x.1 (x=port number)<br>Bridgeport Duplex value                                              | read        |
| LPCP.RxCnt.actVal   | 1.3.6.1.2.1.2.2.1.11.x (x=port number) and<br>1.3.6.1.2.1.2.2.1.12.x (derived value)                               | read        |
| LPCP.TxCnt.actVal   | 1.3.6.1.2.1.2.2.1.17.x (x=port number) and<br>1.3.6.1.2.1.2.2.1.18.x                                               | read        |
| LPCP.AdminCfg.stVal | 1.3.6.1.2.1.2.2.1.7.x (x=port number)                                                                              | read/write  |

### 19.5.4 Mapping of LPLD attributes to SNMP for bridges

Table 71 suggests a mapping from SNMP LLDP-MIB parameters to the Data Objects of LPLD.

<sup>7</sup> In addition to Bridgeport topology change counter and timestamp (LBRI.TopoChgCnt.stVal and LBRI.TopoChgCnt.t: The OID 1.3.6.1.2.1.17.2.3 provides TimeTicks (in hundredths of a second) since the last topology change event. To provide a UTC timestamp, when this DA is accessed, the bridge local time needs to be subtracted by the time span provided via TimeTicks under this OID. The result is the timestamp that is provided through DO LBRI.TopoChgCnt.t.

**Table 71 – Mapping of LPLD attributes to SNMP for bridges**

| MMS Data Attributes     | SNMP OID                                                                                                                                                                                       | SNMP Access |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| LPLD.RemPortDesc.stVal  | 1.0.8802.1.1.2.1.4.1.1.8.x.y.z<br>(x=lldpRemTimeMark;<br>y=lldpRemLocalPortNum;<br>z=lldpRemIndex)<br>– see LLDP-MIB::lldpRemTable for details                                                 | read        |
| LPLD.RemPortIdTyp.stVal | 1.0.8802.1.1.2.1.4.1.1.6.x.y.z<br>(x=lldpRemTimeMark;<br>y=lldpRemLocalPortNum;<br>z=lldpRemIndex) – see LLDP-MIB::lldpRemTable for details                                                    | read        |
| LPLD.RemPortId.stVal    | 1.0.8802.1.1.2.1.4.1.1.7.x.y.z<br>(x=lldpRemTimeMark;<br>y=lldpRemLocalPortNum;<br>z=lldpRemIndex)<br>– see LLDP-MIB::lldpRemTable for details                                                 | read        |
| LPLD.RemChsIdTyp.stVal  | 1.0.8802.1.1.2.1.4.1.1.4.x.y.z<br>(x=lldpRemTimeMark;<br>y=lldpRemLocalPortNum;<br>z=lldpRemIndex)<br>– see LLDP-MIB::lldpRemTable for details                                                 | read        |
| LPLD.RemChsId.stVal     | 1.0.8802.1.1.2.1.4.1.1.5.x.y.z<br>(x=lldpRemTimeMark;<br>y=lldpRemLocalPortNum;<br>z=lldpRemIndex)<br>– see LLDP-MIB::lldpRemTable for details                                                 | read        |
| LPLD.RemSysDesc.stVal   | 1.0.8802.1.1.2.1.4.1.1.9.x.y.z<br>(x=lldpRemTimeMark;<br>y=lldpRemLocalPortNum;<br>z=lldpRemIndex)<br>– see LLDP-MIB::lldpRemTable for details                                                 | read        |
| LPLD.RemAddrTyp.stVal   | 1.0.8802.1.1.2.1.4.2.1.1.v.w.x.y.z<br>(v=lldpRemTimeMark; w=lldpRemLocalPortNum; x=lldpRemIndex;<br>y=lldpRemManAddrSubtype; z=lldpRemManAddr) – see LLDP-MIB::lldpRemManAddrTable for details | read        |
| LPLD.RemAddr.stVal      | 1.0.8802.1.1.2.1.4.2.1.2.v.w.x.y.z (v=lldpRemTimeMark;<br>w=lldpRemLocalPortNum; x=lldpRemIndex;<br>y=lldpRemManAddrSubtype; z=lldpRemManAddr) – see LLDP-MIB::lldpRemManAddrTable for details | read        |

NOTE Concerning the data attributes that map to LLDP variables: LLDP Remote Systems Data and LLDP Remote Management Address are both tables that contain data received from adjacent nodes. There are as many entries in the table as there are ports in the device. The data mapped from this table into each particular LPLD needs to be aligned with the PortNum of its referenced LPCP i.e. PortNum of the LPCP needs to be the same as the lldpRemLocalPortNum.

### 19.5.5 Mapping of HSR/PRP link redundancy entity to SNMP

HSR and PRP nodes have two ports and implement a reduced bridge functionality.

While a bridge is usually a self-sufficient device with its own housing, power supply, etc. (though embedded variants exist), HSR/PRP LREs are usually less complex interfaces that are integrated into other devices and their respective management interfaces.

This is reflected in the SNMP MIB specification of HSR/PRP LRE interfaces that is described in IEC 62439-3:2012. The structure of this MIB roughly resembles that of the IETF IF-MIB: Each HSR/PRP LRE in a device is added to the network interface list.

The HSR/PRP ports are mapped according to Table 72.

**Table 72 – Mapping of LCCH attributes for SNMP for HSR/PRP LREs**

| MMS Data Attributes  | SNMP OID                                                                   | SNMP Access<br>(read / write) |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| LCCH.ChLiv.stVal     | 1.0.62439.2.1.0.1.0.1.1.10.x<br>(x = LRE number / redundantInterfaceIndex) | read                          |
| LCCH.RedChLiv.stVal  | 1.0.62439.2.1.0.1.0.1.1.11.x<br>(x = LRE number / redundantInterfaceIndex) | read                          |
| LCCH.FerCh.stVal     | 1.0.62439.2.1.1.1.0.1.1.8.x<br>(x = LRE number / redundantInterfaceIndex)  | read                          |
| LCCH.RedFerCh        | 1.0.62439.2.1.1.1.0.1.1.9.x<br>(x = LRE number / redundantInterfaceIndex)  | read                          |
| LCCH.RxCnt.actVal    | 1.0.62439.2.1.1.1.0.1.1.6.x<br>(x = LRE number / redundantInterfaceIndex)  | read                          |
| LCCH.RedRxCnt.actVal | 1.0.62439.2.1.1.1.0.1.1.7.x<br>(x = LRE number / redundantInterfaceIndex)  | read                          |
| LCCH.TxCnt.actVal    | 1.0.62439.2.1.1.1.0.1.1.2.x<br>(x = LRE number / redundantInterfaceIndex)  | read                          |
| LCCH.RedCfg.stVal    | 1.0.62439.2.1.0.1.0.1.1.3.x<br>(x = LRE number / redundantInterfaceIndex)  | read/write                    |

NOTE The OID of IEC 62439-3 is currently 1.0.62439.2.

## 19.6 Mapping of clock objects to the C37.238 SNMP MIB

Table 73 shows the correspondence between the clock objects in the IEC 61850 Logical Nodes, in the IEC 61588 datasets and in the IEEE C37.238 MIB.

**Table 73 – Mapping of clock objects in IEC 61850, IEC 61588 and IEEE C37.238**

| Name in IEC 61850      | Clause<br>IEC 61588<br>or C37.238 | Name in IEC 61588           | Name in IEEE C37.238<br>MIB |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <b>LN LTPC (OCG)</b>   | <b>8.2.1.2</b>                    | <b>defaultDS</b>            | <b>ieeeC37238defaultDS</b>  |
| -                      | 8.2.1.2.1                         | .twoStepFlag                | TwoStepFlag                 |
| OrdClkCfg.clkId        | 8.2.1.2.2                         | .clockIdentity              | ClkIdentity                 |
| OrdClkCfg.numPorts     | 8.2.1.2.3                         | .numberPorts                | NumberPorts                 |
| OrdClkCfg.clkClass     | 8.2.1.3.1.1                       | .clockQuality.clockClass    | ClkClass                    |
| OrdClkCfg.clkAcc       | 8.2.1.3.1.2                       | .clockQuality.clockAccuracy | ClkAccuracy                 |
|                        | 8.2.1.3.1.3                       | .offsetScaledLogVariance    | OfsScdLogVar                |
| OrdClkCfg.clkPrio1     | 8.2.1.4.1                         | .priority1                  | Priority1                   |
| OrdClkCfg.clkPrio2     | 8.2.1.4.2                         | .priority2                  | Priority2                   |
| OrdClkCfg.domainNum    | 8.2.1.4.3                         | .domainNumber               | DomainNumber                |
| OrdClkCfg.slaveOnly    | 8.2.1.4.4                         | .slaveOnly                  | SlaveOnly                   |
| OrdClkCfg.gmShortId    | C:5.9.6                           | -                           | GMIIdentity                 |
| OrdClkCfg.netInacc     | C:5.12.2                          | -                           | NetTimeInacc                |
| OrdClkCfg.engInacc     | C:5.12.2                          | -                           | EngTimeInacc                |
| OrdClkCfg.locInacc     | C:5.13                            | -                           | LocTimeInacc                |
| OrdClkCfg.offsetMstLim | C: 5.5.1                          | -                           | OfstFrMLimit                |
|                        | <b>8.2.2</b>                      | <b>currentDS</b>            | <b>ieeeC37238currentDS</b>  |
| GmClkSt.numPath        | 8.2.2.2                           | .stepsRemoved               | StepsRemoved                |
| GmClkSt.mstOffset      | 8.2.2.3                           | .offsetFromMaster           | OfstFrMaster                |

| Name in IEC 61850   | Clause<br>IEC 61588<br>or C37.238 | Name in IEC 61588                                    | Name in IEEE C37.238<br>MIB |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| GmClkSt.meanPathDI  | 8.2.2.4                           | .meanPathDelay                                       | -                           |
|                     | C: 5.13                           | -                                                    | LocTimeInacc                |
| <b>LN LTPG</b>      | <b>8.2.3</b>                      | <b>parentDS</b>                                      | <b>ieeeC37238parentDS</b>   |
| GmClkSt.parentClkId | 8.2.3.2                           | .parentPortIdentity.clockIdentity                    | ClkIdentity                 |
|                     | 8.2.3.2                           | .parentPortIdentity.portNumber                       | PortNumber                  |
|                     | 8.2.3.3                           | .parentStats                                         | Stats                       |
|                     | 8.2.3.4                           | .observedParentOffsetScaledLogVariance               | ObsOfstScdLVar              |
|                     | 8.2.3.5                           | .observedParentClockPhaseChangeRate                  | ObsPhChgRate                |
| GmClkSt.gmClkId     | 8.2.3.6                           | .grandmasterIdentity                                 | GMClkIdentity               |
| GmClkSt.gmClkClass  | 8.2.3.7                           | .grandmasterClockQuality<br>.clockClass              | GMClkClass                  |
| GmClkSt.gmClkAcc    | 8.2.3.7                           | .grandmasterClockQuality<br>.clockAccuracy           | GMClkAccuracy               |
|                     | 8.2.3.7                           | .grandmasterClockQuality<br>.offsetScaledLogVariance | GMOfstScdLVar               |
| GmClkSt.gmClkPrio1  | 8.2.3.8                           | .grandmasterPriority1                                | GMPriority1                 |
| GmClkSt.gmClkPrio2  | 8.2.3.9                           | .grandmasterPriority2                                | GMPriority2                 |
| GmClkSt.gmShortId   | C: 5.9.6                          | -                                                    | GMIdentity                  |
| GmClkSt.gmInacc     | C: 5.12.2                         | -                                                    | GMTimeInacc                 |
| GmClkSt.netInacc    | C: 5.12.2                         | -                                                    | NetTimeInacc                |
| <b>LN LTIM</b>      | <b>8.2.4</b>                      | <b>timePropertiesDS</b>                              | <b>ieeeC37238timePropDS</b> |
| CurUtcOfsTms        | 8.2.4.2                           | .currentUtcOffset                                    | CurUTCOfst                  |
| CurUtcOfsVld        | 8.2.4.3                           | .currentUtcOffsetValid                               | CurUTCOfstVd                |
| Leap                | 8.2.4.4                           | .leap59                                              | Leap59                      |
| Leap                | 8.2.4.5                           | .leap61                                              | Leap61                      |
| RefTmTrk            | 8.2.4.6                           | .timeTraceable                                       | TmeTraceable                |
| RefFqTrk            | 8.2.4.7                           | .frequencyTraceable                                  | FrqTraceable                |
|                     | 8.2.4.8                           | .ptpTimeScale                                        | PTPTimescale                |
| AltnOfsTms          | 16.3.3.4                          | TLV currentOffset                                    | LocalTCurOfs                |
| JmpTms              | 16.3.3.5                          | TLV jumpSeconds                                      | LocalTJumpS                 |
| NxtJmpTms           | 16.3.3.6                          | TLV timeOfNextJump                                   | LocalTNtJump                |
| AltnTmNam           | 16.3.3.7                          | TLV displayName                                      | LocalTName                  |
|                     |                                   |                                                      | LeapEvLatest                |
|                     |                                   |                                                      | UTCOfstNext                 |
|                     |                                   |                                                      | LeapEvExpiry                |
| <b>LN LTMS</b>      | <b>8.2.4</b>                      | <b>timePropertiesDS</b>                              | <b>ieeeC37238PortDS</b>     |
| TmSrcId             | 8.2.4.9                           | .timeSource                                          | TimeSource                  |
| <b>LN LTPP</b>      | <b>8.2.5</b>                      | <b>portDS</b>                                        | <b>ieeeC37238PortDS</b>     |
| ClkPort.id          | 8.2.5.2.1                         | .portIdentity.clockIdentity                          | ClkIdentity                 |
| ClkPort.num         | 8.2.5.2.1                         | .portIdentity.portNumber                             | PortNumber                  |
|                     | 8.2.5.3.1                         | .portState                                           | PortState                   |
|                     | 8.2.5.3.2                         | .logMinDelayReqInterval                              | unused                      |
| ClkPort.peerMpd     | 8.2.5.3.3                         | .peerMeanPathDelay                                   | MPathDly                    |
| ClkPort.logAnnIntvl | 8.2.5.4.1                         | .logAnnounceInterval                                 | LogAnnounceInt              |

| Name in IEC 61850      | Clause IEC 61588 or C37.238 | Name in IEC 61588                | Name in IEEE C37.238 MIB     |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| ClkPort.annRcvTimeout  | 8.2.5.4.2                   | .announceReceiptTimeout          | AnnounceRctTout              |
| ClkPort.logSyncIntvl   | 8.2.5.4.3                   | .logSyncInterval                 | LogSyncInt                   |
| ClkPort.dlMechanism    | 8.2.5.4.4                   | .delayMech                       | DelayMech                    |
| ClkPort.logMpdReqIntvl | 8.2.5.4.5                   | .logMinPdelayInterval            | LogMinPdlyRInt               |
| ClkPort.revNum         | 8.2.5.4.6                   | .versionNumer                    | VersionNumber                |
| ClkPort.ena            |                             |                                  | PortEnabled                  |
| ClkPort.dlAsym         |                             |                                  | DlyAsymmetry                 |
| ClkPort.profileId      |                             |                                  | ProfileId                    |
|                        |                             |                                  | NetProtocol                  |
| ClkPort.vlan           |                             | -                                | VlanId                       |
| ClkPort.prio           |                             | -                                | Priority                     |
| ClkPort.peerId         |                             | -                                |                              |
| <b>N.A.</b>            | <b>8.3.2</b>                | <b>transparentClockDefaultDS</b> | <b>ieeeC37238TCDefaultDS</b> |
|                        | 8.3.2.2.1                   | clockIdentity                    | CkIdentity                   |
|                        | 8.3.2.2.2                   | numberPorts                      | NumberPorts                  |
|                        | 8.3.2.3.1                   | delayMech                        | DelayMech                    |
|                        | 8.3.2.3.2                   | primaryDomain                    | PriDomain                    |
|                        |                             |                                  | Syntonize                    |
|                        | C: 5.9.6                    | -                                | CurGMaster                   |
|                        | 8.2.1.2.1                   |                                  | TwoStepFlag                  |
|                        |                             | GMIdentity                       | GMIdentity                   |
|                        |                             | NetProtocol                      | NetProtocol                  |
|                        |                             | VlanId                           | VlanId                       |
|                        |                             | Priority                         | Priority                     |
|                        |                             |                                  | GMTimeInacc                  |
|                        |                             |                                  | NetTimeInacc                 |
|                        |                             |                                  | LocTimeInacc                 |
|                        |                             | <b>transparentClockPortDS</b>    | <b>ieeeC37238TCPortDS</b>    |
|                        | 8.3.3.2.1                   | .portIdentity.clockIdentity      | PortNumber                   |
|                        | 8.3.3.3.1                   | .logMinPdelayReqInterval         | LMinPdlyRInt                 |
|                        | 8.3.3.3.2                   | .faulty                          | Faulty                       |
|                        | 8.3.3.3.3                   | .peerMeanPathDelay               | MeanPDly                     |
|                        |                             |                                  | DlyAsym                      |
|                        |                             |                                  | <b>ieeeC37238Events</b>      |
|                        |                             |                                  | ChangeOfMaster               |
|                        |                             |                                  | MasterStepChange             |
|                        |                             |                                  | FaultyState                  |
|                        |                             |                                  | PortStateChange              |
|                        |                             |                                  | OfstExceedsLimit             |
|                        |                             |                                  | OtherProfileDetect           |
|                        |                             |                                  | LeapSecAnnounced             |
|                        |                             |                                  | PTPServiceStarted            |
|                        |                             |                                  | PTPServiceStopped            |

## 19.7 Machine-readable description of the bridge objects

### 19.7.1 Method and examples

The UML model and the corresponding Logical Node definition are translated in machine-readable form according to IEC 61850-6:2009.

Three ICD examples follow:

- definition of a bridge type with four ports;
- definition of a node with PTP support;
- definition of a RedBox with HSR.

### 19.7.2 Four-port bridge

The following code describes the ICD file for a four-port bridge as shown in Figure 88.




Figure 88 – Four-port bridge

The ICD file specifies a template for the four bridge nodes, consisting of:

- the template of the Logical Device with the four ports;
- the templates for the Logical Node types (e.g. "Switch\_LBRI");
- the templates for the Data Object types (e.g. "Switch\_Health\_E");
- the template for the enumeration types (e.g. ChannelRedundancyKind).

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<SCL xmlns="http://www.iec.ch/61850/2003/SCL"
xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance" version="2007" revision="B"
xsi:schemaLocation="http://www.iec.ch/61850/2003/SCL SCL.xsd">
  <Header id="ICD of a bridge with four ports and no PTP support">
    <History>
      <Hitem version="1" revision="A" when="2013.03.20" what="Initial version"
who="HK"/>
    </History>
  </Header>
  <IED name="TEMPLATE">
    <Services nameLength="64">
      <DynAssociation/>
    </Services>
    <AccessPoint name="S1">
      <Server>
        <Authentication/>
        <LDevice inst="LD0">
          <LN0 lnClass="LLN0" inst="" lnType="Switch_LLN0"/>
          <LN lnClass="LPHD" inst="1" lnType="Switch_LPHD"/>
          <LN lnClass="LBRI" inst="1" lnType="Switch_LBRI">
            <DOI name="PortRef1">
              <DAI name="setSrcRef">
                <Val>@LD0/LBSP1</Val>
              </DAI>
            </DOI>
            <DOI name="PortRef2">
              <DAI name="setSrcRef">
                <Val>@LD0/LBSP2</Val>
              </DAI>
            </DOI>
            <DOI name="PortRef3">
              <DAI name="setSrcRef">
                <Val>@LD0/LBSP3</Val>
              </DAI>
            </DOI>
            <DOI name="PortRef4">
              <DAI name="setSrcRef">
                <Val>@LD0/LBSP4</Val>
              </DAI>
            </DOI>
          </LN>
          <LN lnClass="LCCH" inst="1" lnType="Switch_NoRedChannel_LCCH">
            <DOI name="PortRef">
              <DAI name="setSrcRef">
                <Val>@LD0/LPCP1</Val>
              </DAI>
            </DOI>
          </LN>
          <LN lnClass="LCCH" inst="2" lnType="Switch_NoRedChannel_LCCH">
            <DOI name="PortRef">
              <DAI name="setSrcRef">
                <Val>@LD0/LPCP2</Val>
              </DAI>
            </DOI>
          </LN>
          <LN lnClass="LCCH" inst="3" lnType="Switch_NoRedChannel_LCCH">
            <DOI name="PortRef">
              <DAI name="setSrcRef">
                <Val>@LD0/LPCP3</Val>
              </DAI>
            </DOI>
          </LN>
        </LDevice>
      </Server>
    </AccessPoint>
  </IED>
</SCL>
```

```

</LN>
<LN lnClass="LCCH" inst="4" lnType="Switch_NoRedChannel_LCCH">
  <DOI name="PortRef">
    <DAI name="setSrcRef">
      <Val>@LD0/LPCP4</Val>
    </DAI>
  </DOI>
</LN>
<LN lnClass="LCCF" inst="1" lnType="Switch_LCCF">
  <DOI name="ChRef">
    <DAI name="setSrcRef">
      <Val>@LD0/LCCH1</Val>
    </DAI>
  </DOI>
</LN>
<LN lnClass="LCCF" inst="2" lnType="Switch_LCCF">
  <DOI name="ChRef">
    <DAI name="setSrcRef">
      <Val>@LD0/LCCH2</Val>
    </DAI>
  </DOI>
</LN>
<LN lnClass="LCCF" inst="3" lnType="Switch_LCCF">
  <DOI name="ChRef">
    <DAI name="setSrcRef">
      <Val>@LD0/LCCH3</Val>
    </DAI>
  </DOI>
</LN>
<LN lnClass="LCCF" inst="4" lnType="Switch_LCCF">
  <DOI name="ChRef">
    <DAI name="setSrcRef">
      <Val>@LD0/LCCH4</Val>
    </DAI>
  </DOI>
</LN>
<LN lnClass="LBSP" inst="1" lnType="Switch_LBSP">
  <DOI name="PortRef">
    <DAI name="setSrcRef">
      <Val>@LD0/LPCP1</Val>
    </DAI>
  </DOI>
</LN>
<LN lnClass="LBSP" inst="2" lnType="Switch_LBSP">
  <DOI name="PortRef">
    <DAI name="setSrcRef">
      <Val>@LD0/LPCP2</Val>
    </DAI>
  </DOI>
</LN>
<LN lnClass="LBSP" inst="3" lnType="Switch_LBSP">
  <DOI name="PortRef">
    <DAI name="setSrcRef">
      <Val>@LD0/LPCP3</Val>
    </DAI>
  </DOI>
</LN>
<LN lnClass="LBSP" inst="4" lnType="Switch_LBSP">
  <DOI name="PortRef">
    <DAI name="setSrcRef">
      <Val>@LD0/LPCP4</Val>
    </DAI>
  </DOI>
</LN>

```

```

<LN lnClass="LPLD" inst="1" lnType="Switch_LPLD">
  <DOI name="PortRef">
    <DAI name="setSrcRef">
      <Val>@LD0/LPCP1</Val>
    </DAI>
  </DOI>
</LN>
<LN lnClass="LPLD" inst="2" lnType="Switch_LPLD">
  <DOI name="PortRef">
    <DAI name="setSrcRef">
      <Val>@LD0/LPCP2</Val>
    </DAI>
  </DOI>
</LN>
<LN lnClass="LPLD" inst="3" lnType="Switch_LPLD">
  <DOI name="PortRef">
    <DAI name="setSrcRef">
      <Val>@LD0/LPCP3</Val>
    </DAI>
  </DOI>
</LN>
<LN lnClass="LPLD" inst="4" lnType="Switch_LPLD">
  <DOI name="PortRef">
    <DAI name="setSrcRef">
      <Val>@LD0/LPCP4</Val>
    </DAI>
  </DOI>
</LN>
<LN lnClass="LPCP" inst="1" lnType="Switch_LPCP">
  <DOI name="PortNum">
    <DAI name="setVal">
      <Val>1</Val>
    </DAI>
  </DOI>
</LN>
<LN lnClass="LPCP" inst="2" lnType="Switch_LPCP">
  <DOI name="PortNum">
    <DAI name="setVal">
      <Val>2</Val>
    </DAI>
  </DOI>
</LN>
<LN lnClass="LPCP" inst="3" lnType="Switch_LPCP">
  <DOI name="PortNum">
    <DAI name="setVal">
      <Val>3</Val>
    </DAI>
  </DOI>
</LN>
<LN lnClass="LPCP" inst="4" lnType="Switch_LPCP">
  <DOI name="PortNum">
    <DAI name="setVal">
      <Val>4</Val>
    </DAI>
  </DOI>
</LN>
</LDevice>
</Server>
</AccessPoint>
</IED>
<DataTypeTemplates>
  <LNodeType id="Switch_LLNO" lnClass="LLNO">
    <DO name="NamPlt" type="Switch_LPL_LLNO"/>
    <DO name="Beh" type="Switch_Beh_ENS"/>
  </LNodeType>
</DataTypeTemplates>

```

```

    <DO name="Health" type="Switch_Health_ENS"/>
    <DO name="Mod" type="Switch_Mod_ENC"/>
  </LNodeType>
  <LNodeType id="Switch_LPHD" lnClass="LPHD">
    <DO name="PhyNam" type="Switch_DPL"/>
    <DO name="PhyHealth" type="Switch_Health_ENS"/>
    <DO name="Proxy" type="Switch_SPS"/>
    <DO name="LocChsIdTyp" type="Switch_INS_90-4_DS"/>
    <DO name="LocChsId" type="Switch_VSS_90-4_DS"/>
    <DO name="LocAddrTyp" type="Switch_INS_90-4_DS"/>
    <DO name="LocAddr" type="Switch_VSS_90-4_DS"/>
    <DO name="LdpEna" type="Switch_SPG_90-4_DS"/>
  </LNodeType>
  <LNodeType id="Switch_LBRI" lnClass="LBRI">
    <DO name="NamPlt" type="Switch_LPL_90-4"/>
    <DO name="RstpRoot" type="Switch_SPS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="Beh" type="Switch_Beh_ENS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="PortRef1" type="Switch_ORG_90-4"/>
    <DO name="PortRef2" type="Switch_ORG_90-4"/>
    <DO name="PortRef3" type="Switch_ORG_90-4"/>
    <DO name="PortRef4" type="Switch_ORG_90-4"/>
    <DO name="RstpPrio" type="Switch_LBRI_Default_ING_90-4"/>
    <DO name="RstpEna" type="Switch_LBRI_Default_SPG_90-4"/>
  </LNodeType>
  <LNodeType id="Switch_NoRedChannel_LCCH" lnClass="LCCH">
    <DO name="ChLiv" type="Switch_SPS"/>
    <DO name="Beh" type="Switch_Beh_ENS"/>
    <DO name="PortRef" type="Switch_ORG_90-4_DS"/>
    <DO name="RedCfg" type="Switch_RedCfg_None_ENG_90-4_DS"/>
  </LNodeType>
  <LNodeType id="Switch_LCCF" lnClass="LCCF">
    <DO name="NamPlt" type="Switch_LPL_90-4"/>
    <DO name="Beh" type="Switch_Beh_ENS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="ChRef" type="Switch_ORG_90-4"/>
    <DO name="DftPortVid" type="Switch_LCCF_DefaultVid_ING_90-4"/>
    <DO name="DftPortPrio" type="Switch_LCCF_DefaultPrio_ING_90-4"/>
    <DO name="VlanFill" type="Switch_VLN"/>
  </LNodeType>
  <LNodeType id="Switch_LBSP" lnClass="LBSP">
    <DO name="NamPlt" type="Switch_LPL_90-4"/>
    <DO name="Beh" type="Switch_Beh_ENS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="RstpTrunk" type="Switch_SPG_90-4"/>
    <DO name="PortRef" type="Switch_ORG_90-4"/>
  </LNodeType>
  <LNodeType id="Switch_LPLD" lnClass="LPLD">
    <DO name="NamPlt" type="Switch_LPL_90-4"/>
    <DO name="RemPortDesc" type="Switch_VSS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="LocPortDesc" type="Switch_VSS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="RemPortIdTyp" type="Switch_INS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="LocPortIdTyp" type="Switch_INS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="RemPortId" type="Switch_VSS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="LocPortId" type="Switch_VSS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="RemChsIdTyp" type="Switch_INS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="RemChsId" type="Switch_VSS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="RemSysDesc" type="Switch_VSS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="RemAddrTyp" type="Switch_INS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="RemAddr" type="Switch_VSS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="Beh" type="Switch_Beh_ENS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="PortRef" type="Switch_ORG_90-4"/>
  </LNodeType>
  <LNodeType id="Switch_LPCP" lnClass="LPCP">
    <DO name="NamPlt" type="Switch_LPL_90-4"/>
    <DO name="PhyNam" type="Switch_DPL_90-4"/>
    <DO name="PhyHealth" type="Switch_Health_ENS_90-4"/>

```

```

<DO name="AutoNgt" type="Switch_SPS_90-4"/>
<DO name="Mau" type="Switch_INS_90-4"/>
<DO name="PortNum" type="Switch_ING_90-4"/>
<DO name="AutoNgtCfg" type="Switch_SPG_90-4"/>
<DO name="MauCfg" type="Switch_MauCfg_ING_100MFD_90-4"/>
<DO name="MauCfgCap1" type="Switch_MauCfg_ING_100MHD_90-4"/>
<DO name="MauCfgCap2" type="Switch_MauCfg_ING_100MFD_90-4"/>
<DO name="AdminCfg" type="Switch_LPCP_AdminCfg_SPG_90-4"/>
</LNodeType>
<DOType id="Switch_LPL_LLNO" cdc="LPL">
  <DA name="vendor" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
  <DA name="swRev" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
  <DA name="configRev" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
  <DA name="ldNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
</DOType>
<DOType id="Switch_LPL_90-4" cdc="LPL">
  <DA name="vendor" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
  <DA name="swRev" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
  <DA name="configRev" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
  <DA name="lnNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>(Tr)IEC 61850-90-4:2012</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>LPL</Val>
  </DA>
</DOType>
<DOType id="Switch_Beh_ENS" cdc="ENS">
  <DA name="stVal" bType="Enum" type="BehaviourModeKind" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
  <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
  <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
</DOType>
<DOType id="Switch_Beh_ENS_90-4" cdc="ENS">
  <DA name="stVal" bType="Enum" type="BehaviourModeKind" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
  <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
  <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
  <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>ENS</Val>
  </DA>
</DOType>
<DOType id="Switch_Health_ENS" cdc="ENS">
  <DA name="stVal" bType="Enum" type="HealthKind" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
  <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
  <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
</DOType>
<DOType id="Switch_Health_ENS_90-4" cdc="ENS">
  <DA name="stVal" bType="Enum" type="HealthKind" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
  <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
  <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
  <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>ENS</Val>
  </DA>
</DOType>
<DOType id="Switch_Mod_ENC" cdc="ENC">

```

```

    <DA name="stVal" bType="Enum" type="BehaviourModeKind" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
    <DA name="ctlModel" bType="Enum" type="CtlModelKind" fc="CF" dchg="true"/>
  </DObjectType>
  <DObjectType id="Switch_DPL" cdc="DPL">
    <DA name="vendor" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
  </DObjectType>
  <DObjectType id="Switch_DPL_90-4" cdc="DPL">
    <DA name="vendor" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>DPL</Val>
    </DA>
  </DObjectType>
  <DObjectType id="Switch_SPS" cdc="SPS">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="BOOLEAN" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
  </DObjectType>
  <DObjectType id="Switch_SPS_90-4" cdc="SPS">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="BOOLEAN" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>SPS</Val>
    </DA>
  </DObjectType>
  <DObjectType id="Switch_ORG_90-4_DS" cdc="ORG">
    <DA name="setSrcRef" bType="ObjRef" fc="EX"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>ORG</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="dataNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>(Tr)IEC 61850-90-4:2012</Val>
    </DA>
  </DObjectType>
  <DObjectType id="Switch_ORG_90-4" cdc="ORG">
    <DA name="setSrcRef" bType="ObjRef" fc="EX"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>ORG</Val>
    </DA>
  </DObjectType>
  <DObjectType id="Switch_RedCfg_None_ENG_90-4_DS" cdc="ENG">
    <DA name="setVal" bType="Enum" type="ChannelRedundancyKind" fc="SP"
dchg="true">
      <Val>1</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>ENS</Val>
    </DA>
  </DObjectType>

```

```

</DA>
<DA name="dataNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
  <Val>(Tr)IEC 61850-90-4:2012</Val>
</DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Switch_INS_90-4" cdc="INS">
  <DA name="stVal" bType="INT32" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
  <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
  <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
  <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>INS</Val>
  </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Switch_INS_90-4_DS" cdc="INS">
  <DA name="stVal" bType="INT32" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
  <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
  <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
  <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>INS</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="dataNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>(Tr)IEC 61850-90-4:2012</Val>
  </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Switch_VSS_90-4" cdc="VSS">
  <DA name="stVal" bType="VisString255" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
  <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
  <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
  <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>VSS</Val>
  </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Switch_VSS_90-4_DS" cdc="VSS">
  <DA name="stVal" bType="VisString255" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
  <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
  <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
  <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>VSS</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="dataNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>(Tr)IEC 61850-90-4:2012</Val>
  </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Switch_SPG_90-4" cdc="SPG">
  <DA name="setVal" bType="BOOLEAN" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
  <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>SPG</Val>
  </DA>
</DType>

```

```

<DOType id="Switch_SPG_90-4_DS" cdc="SPG">
  <DA name="setVal" bType="BOOLEAN" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
  <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>SPG</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="dataNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>(Tr)IEC 61850-90-4:2012</Val>
  </DA>
</DOType>
<DOType id="Switch_LBRI_Default_SPG_90-4" cdc="SPG">
  <DA name="setVal" bType="BOOLEAN" fc="SP" dchg="true">
    <Val>true</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>SPG</Val>
  </DA>
</DOType>
<DOType id="Switch_LPCP_AdminCfg_SPG_90-4" cdc="SPG">
  <DA name="setVal" bType="BOOLEAN" fc="SP" dchg="true">
    <Val>true</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>SPG</Val>
  </DA>
</DOType>
<DOType id="Switch_LBRI_Default_ING_90-4" cdc="ING">
  <DA name="setVal" bType="INT32" fc="SP" dchg="true">
    <Val>0</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>ING</Val>
  </DA>
</DOType>
<DOType id="Switch_ING_90-4" cdc="ING">
  <DA name="setVal" bType="INT32" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
  <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>ING</Val>
  </DA>
</DOType>
<DOType id="Switch_MauCfg_ING_100MHD_90-4" cdc="ING">
  <DA name="setVal" bType="INT32" fc="SP" dchg="true">
    <Val>10</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>ING</Val>
  </DA>

```

```

</DType>
<DType id="Switch_MauCfg_ING_100MFD_90-4" cdc="ING">
  <DA name="setVal" bType="INT32" fc="SP" dchg="true">
    <Val>11</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>ING</Val>
  </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Switch_LCCF_DefaultVid_ING_90-4" cdc="ING">
  <DA name="setVal" bType="INT32" fc="SP" dchg="true">
    <Val>1</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>ING</Val>
  </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Switch_LCCF_DefaultPrio_ING_90-4" cdc="ING">
  <DA name="setVal" bType="INT32" fc="SP" dchg="true">
    <Val>0</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>ING</Val>
  </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Switch_VLN" cdc="VLN">
  <DA name="vid" bType="INT16U" fc="SP" dchg="true">
    <Val>1</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="tagFil" bType="Enum" type="VlanTagKind" fc="SP" dchg="true">
    <Val>1</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="numMcAddr" bType="INT16U" fc="CF" dchg="true">
    <Val>0</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="maxMcAddr" bType="INT16U" fc="CF" dchg="true">
    <Val>255</Val>
  </DA>
</DType>
<EnumType id="BehaviourModeKind">
  <EnumVal ord="1">on</EnumVal>
  <EnumVal ord="2">blocked</EnumVal>
  <EnumVal ord="3">test</EnumVal>
  <EnumVal ord="4">test/blocked</EnumVal>
  <EnumVal ord="5">off</EnumVal>
</EnumType>
<EnumType id="HealthKind">
  <EnumVal ord="1">Ok</EnumVal>
  <EnumVal ord="2">Warning</EnumVal>
  <EnumVal ord="3">Alarm</EnumVal>
</EnumType>
<EnumType id="CtlModelKind">
  <EnumVal ord="0">status-only</EnumVal>
</EnumType>
<EnumType id="ChannelRedundancyKind">

```

```

    <EnumVal ord="1">none</EnumVal>
    <EnumVal ord="2">prp</EnumVal>
    <EnumVal ord="3">hsr</EnumVal>
  </EnumType>
  <EnumType id="VlanTagKind">
    <EnumVal ord="0">tagged</EnumVal>
    <EnumVal ord="1">untagged</EnumVal>
    <EnumVal ord="2">forbidden</EnumVal>
  </EnumType>
</DataTypeTemplates>
</SCL>

```

### 19.7.3 Simple IED with PTP

The following code describes the ICD file for a simple IED with PTP but no LLDP support as shown in Figure 89.




Figure 89 – Simple IED with PTP but no LLDP support

```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<SCL xmlns="http://www.iec.ch/61850/2003/SCL"
xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance" version="2007" revision="B"
xsi:schemaLocation="http://www.iec.ch/61850/2003/SCL SCL.xsd">
  <Header id="ICD of an IED with PTP but no LLDP support">
    <History>
      <Hitem version="1" revision="A" when="2013.03.20" what="Initial version"
who="HK"/>
    </History>
  </Header>
  <IED name="TEMPLATE">
    <Services nameLength="64">
      <DynAssociation/>
    </Services>
  </IED>
</SCL>

```

```

</Services>
<AccessPoint name="S1">
  <Server>
    <Authentication/>
    <LDevice inst="LD0">
      <LN0 lnClass="LLN0" inst="" lnType="Node_with_PTP_LLN0"/>
      <LN lnClass="LPHD" inst="1" lnType="Node_with_PTP_LPHD"/>
      <LN lnClass="LTIM" inst="1" lnType="Node_with_PTP_LTIM"/>
      <LN lnClass="LTMS" inst="1" lnType="Node_with_PTP_LTMS">
        <DOI name="ClkRef1">
          <DAI name="setSrcRef">
            <Val>@LD0/LTPC1</Val>
          </DAI>
        </DOI>
      </LN>
      <LN lnClass="LTPC" inst="1" lnType="Node_with_PTP_LTPC">
        <DOI name="ClkPortRef1">
          <DAI name="setSrcRef">
            <Val>@LD0/LTPP1</Val>
          </DAI>
        </DOI>
      </LN>
      <LN lnClass="LCCH" inst="1" lnType="Node_with_PTP_NoRedChannel_LCCH">
        <DOI name="PortRef">
          <DAI name="setSrcRef">
            <Val>@LD0/LPCP1</Val>
          </DAI>
        </DOI>
      </LN>
      <LN lnClass="LTPP" inst="1" lnType="Node_with_PTP_LTPP">
        <DOI name="PortRef">
          <DAI name="setSrcRef">
            <Val>@LD0/LPCP1</Val>
          </DAI>
        </DOI>
      </LN>
      <LN lnClass="LPCP" inst="1" lnType="Node_with_PTP_LPCP">
        <DOI name="PortNum">
          <DAI name="setVal">
            <Val>1</Val>
          </DAI>
        </DOI>
      </LN>
    </LDevice>
  </Server>
</AccessPoint>
</IED>
<DataTypeTemplates>
  <LNodeType id="Node_with_PTP_LLN0" lnClass="LLN0">
    <DO name="NamPlt" type="Node_with_PTP_LPL_LLN0"/>
    <DO name="Beh" type="Node_with_PTP_Beh_ENS"/>
    <DO name="Health" type="Node_with_PTP_Health_ENS"/>
    <DO name="Mod" type="Node_with_PTP_Mod_ENC"/>
  </LNodeType>
  <LNodeType id="Node_with_PTP_LPHD" lnClass="LPHD">
    <DO name="PhyNam" type="Node_with_PTP_DPL"/>
    <DO name="PhyHealth" type="Node_with_PTP_Health_ENS"/>
    <DO name="Proxy" type="Node_with_PTP_SPS"/>
  </LNodeType>
  <LNodeType id="Node_with_PTP_NoRedChannel_LCCH" lnClass="LCCH">
    <DO name="ChLiv" type="Node_with_PTP_SPS"/>
    <DO name="Beh" type="Node_with_PTP_Beh_ENS"/>
    <DO name="PortRef" type="Node_with_PTP_ORG_90-4_DS"/>
    <DO name="RedCfg" type="Node_with_PTP_RedCfg_None_ENG_90-4_DS"/>
  </LNodeType>

```

```

</LNodeType>
<LNodeType id="Node_with_PTP_LTIM" lnClass="LTIM">
  <DO name="CurUtcOfsTms" type="Node_with_PTP_INS_90-4_DS"/>
  <DO name="CurUtcOfsVld" type="Node_with_PTP_SPS_90-4_DS"/>
  <DO name="RefTmTrk" type="Node_with_PTP_SPS_90-4_DS"/>
  <DO name="RefFqTrk" type="Node_with_PTP_SPS_90-4_DS"/>
  <DO name="Leap" type="Node_with_PTP_LeapSecond_ENS_90-4_DS"/>
  <DO name="AltnOfsTms" type="Node_with_PTP_INS_90-4_DS"/>
  <DO name="AltnTmNam" type="Node_with_PTP_VSS_90-4_DS"/>
  <DO name="JmpTms" type="Node_with_PTP_INS_90-4_DS"/>
  <DO name="NxtJmpTms" type="Node_with_PTP_INS_90-4_DS"/>
  <DO name="TmDT" type="Node_with_PTP_SPS"/>
  <DO name="Beh" type="Node_with_PTP_Beh_ENS"/>
  <DO name="TmOfsTmm" type="Node_with_PTP_ING"/>
  <DO name="TmUseDT" type="Node_with_PTP_SPG"/>
</LNodeType>
<LNodeType id="Node_with_PTP_LTMS" lnClass="LTMS">
  <DO name="TmSrcId" type="Node_with_PTP_INS_90-4_DS"/>
  <DO name="TmSrc" type="Node_with_PTP_VSS"/>
  <DO name="Beh" type="Node_with_PTP_Beh_ENS"/>
  <DO name="ClkRef1" type="Node_with_PTP_ORG_90-4_DS"/>
</LNodeType>
<LNodeType id="Node_with_PTP_LTPC" lnClass="LTPC">
  <DO name="NamPlt" type="Node_with_PTP_LPL_90-4"/>
  <DO name="GmClkSt" type="Node_with_PTP_CGS"/>
  <DO name="Beh" type="Node_with_PTP_Beh_ENS_90-4"/>
  <DO name="OrdClkCfg" type="Node_with_PTP_COG"/>
  <DO name="ClkPortRef1" type="Node_with_PTP_ORG_90-4"/>
</LNodeType>
<LNodeType id="Node_with_PTP_LTPP" lnClass="LTPP">
  <DO name="NamPlt" type="Node_with_PTP_LPL_90-4"/>
  <DO name="ClkPort" type="Node_with_PTP_CPS"/>
  <DO name="Beh" type="Node_with_PTP_Beh_ENS_90-4"/>
  <DO name="PortRef" type="Node_with_PTP_ORG_90-4"/>
</LNodeType>
<LNodeType id="Node_with_PTP_LPCP" lnClass="LPCP">
  <DO name="NamPlt" type="Node_with_PTP_LPL_90-4"/>
  <DO name="PhyNam" type="Node_with_PTP_DPL_90-4"/>
  <DO name="PhyHealth" type="Node_with_PTP_Health_ENS_90-4"/>
  <DO name="AutoNgt" type="Node_with_PTP_SPS_90-4"/>
  <DO name="Mau" type="Node_with_PTP_INS_90-4"/>
  <DO name="PortNum" type="Node_with_PTP_ING_90-4"/>
  <DO name="AutoNgtCfg" type="Node_with_PTP_SPG_90-4"/>
  <DO name="MauCfg" type="Node_with_PTP_MauCfg_ING_100MFD_90-4"/>
  <DO name="MauCfgCap1" type="Node_with_PTP_MauCfg_ING_100MFD_90-4"/>
  <DO name="AdminCfg" type="Node_with_PTP_AdminCfg_SPG_90-4"/>
</LNodeType>
<DOType id="Node_with_PTP_LPL_LLNO" cdc="LPL">
  <DA name="vendor" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
  <DA name="swRev" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
  <DA name="configRev" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
  <DA name="ldNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
</DOType>
<DOType id="Node_with_PTP_LPL_90-4" cdc="LPL">
  <DA name="vendor" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
  <DA name="swRev" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
  <DA name="configRev" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
  <DA name="lnNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>(Tr)IEC 61850-90-4:2012</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>

```

```

    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>LPL</Val>
    </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Node_with_PTP_Beh_ENS" cdc="ENS">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="Enum" type="BehaviourModeKind" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
</DType>
<DType id="Node_with_PTP_Beh_ENS_90-4" cdc="ENS">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="Enum" type="BehaviourModeKind" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>ENS</Val>
    </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Node_with_PTP_Health_ENS" cdc="ENS">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="Enum" type="HealthKind" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
</DType>
<DType id="Node_with_PTP_Health_ENS_90-4" cdc="ENS">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="Enum" type="HealthKind" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>ENS</Val>
    </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Node_with_PTP_Mod_ENC" cdc="ENC">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="Enum" type="BehaviourModeKind" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
    <DA name="ctlModel" bType="Enum" type="CtlModelKind" fc="CF" dchg="true"/>
</DType>
<DType id="Node_with_PTP_DPL" cdc="DPL">
    <DA name="vendor" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
</DType>
<DType id="Node_with_PTP_DPL_90-4" cdc="DPL">
    <DA name="vendor" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>DPL</Val>
    </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Node_with_PTP_SPS" cdc="SPS">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="BOOLEAN" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
</DType>
<DType id="Node_with_PTP_SPS_90-4" cdc="SPS">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="BOOLEAN" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>

```

```

    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>SPS</Val>
    </DA>
  </DObjectType>
  <DObjectType id="Node_with_PTP_SPS_90-4_DS" cdc="SPS">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="BOOLEAN" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>SPS</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="dataNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>(Tr)IEC 61850-90-4:2012</Val>
    </DA>
  </DObjectType>
  <DObjectType id="Node_with_PTP_ORG_90-4_DS" cdc="ORG">
    <DA name="setSrcRef" bType="ObjRef" fc="EX"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>ORG</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="dataNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>(Tr)IEC 61850-90-4:2012</Val>
    </DA>
  </DObjectType>
  <DObjectType id="Node_with_PTP_ORG_90-4" cdc="ORG">
    <DA name="setSrcRef" bType="ObjRef" fc="EX"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>ORG</Val>
    </DA>
  </DObjectType>
  <DObjectType id="Node_with_PTP_RedCfg_None_ENG_90-4_DS" cdc="ENG">
    <DA name="setVal" bType="Enum" type="ChannelRedundancyKind" fc="SP"
dchg="true">
      <Val>1</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>ENG</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="dataNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>(Tr)IEC 61850-90-4:2012</Val>
    </DA>
  </DObjectType>
  <DObjectType id="Node_with_PTP_LeapSecond_ENS_90-4_DS" cdc="ENS">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="Enum" type="LeapSecondKind" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>

```

```

<DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
  <Val>ENS</Val>
</DA>
<DA name="dataNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
  <Val>(Tr)IEC 61850-90-4:2012</Val>
</DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Node_with_PTP_ING" cdc="ING">
  <DA name="setVal" bType="INT32" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
</DType>
<DType id="Node_with_PTP_ING_90-4" cdc="ING">
  <DA name="setVal" bType="INT32" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
  <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>ING</Val>
  </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Node_with_PTP_MauCfg_ING_100MFD_90-4" cdc="ING">
  <DA name="setVal" bType="INT32" fc="SP" dchg="true">
    <Val>11</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>ING</Val>
  </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Node_with_PTP_INS_90-4" cdc="INS">
  <DA name="stVal" bType="INT32" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
  <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
  <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
  <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>INS</Val>
  </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Node_with_PTP_INS_90-4_DS" cdc="INS">
  <DA name="stVal" bType="INT32" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
  <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
  <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
  <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>INS</Val>
  </DA>
  <DA name="dataNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
    <Val>(Tr)IEC 61850-90-4:2012</Val>
  </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Node_with_PTP_VSS" cdc="VSS">
  <DA name="stVal" bType="VisString255" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
  <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
  <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
</DType>
<DType id="Node_with_PTP_VSS_90-4_DS" cdc="VSS">
  <DA name="stVal" bType="VisString255" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
  <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
  <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>

```

```

    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>VSS</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="dataNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>(Tr)IEC 61850-90-4:2012</Val>
    </DA>
  </DType>
  <DType id="Node_with_PTP_SPG" cdc="SPG">
    <DA name="setVal" bType="BOOLEAN" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
  </DType>
  <DType id="Node_with_PTP_SPG_90-4" cdc="SPG">
    <DA name="setVal" bType="BOOLEAN" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>SPG</Val>
    </DA>
  </DType>
  <DType id="Node_with_PTP_CGS" cdc="CGS">
    <DA name="parentClkId" bType="Octet64" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="gmClkId" bType="Octet64" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="gmClkPrio1" bType="INT8U" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="gmClkPrio2" bType="INT8U" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="gmClkClass" bType="INT8U" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="gmClkAcc" bType="INT8U" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="gmShortId" bType="INT16U" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="gmInacc" bType="INT32U" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="netInacc" bType="INT32U" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="utcOffset" bType="INT32" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="utcOffsetVld" bType="BOOLEAN" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="numPath" bType="INT8U" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="mstOffset" bType="INT64" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="meanPathDl" bType="INT64" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="tmSrc" bType="INT8U" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
  </DType>
  <DType id="Node_with_PTP_COG" cdc="COG">
    <DA name="twoStep" bType="BOOLEAN" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="numPorts" bType="INT8U" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="clkPrio1" bType="INT8U" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="clkPrio2" bType="INT8U" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="clkClass" bType="INT8U" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="clkAcc" bType="INT8U" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="domainNum" bType="INT8U" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="slaveOnly" bType="BOOLEAN" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="gmShortId" bType="INT16U" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="netInacc" bType="INT32U" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="engInacc" bType="INT32U" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="locInacc" bType="INT32U" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="offsetMstLim" bType="INT32U" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="clkId" bType="Octet64" fc="CF" dchg="true"/>
  </DType>
  <DType id="Node_with_PTP_CPS" cdc="CPS">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="INT8U" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="peerMpd" bType="INT64" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="id" bType="Octet64" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="num" bType="INT16U" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="revNum" bType="INT8U" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="logAnnIntvl" bType="INT8" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="annRcvTimeout" bType="INT8U" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="logSynchIntvl" bType="INT8" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>

```

```

    <DA name="dlMechanism" bType="INT8" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="logMpdReqIntvl" bType="INT8" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="ena" bType="BOOLEAN" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="dlAsym" bType="INT64" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="profileId" bType="INT8U" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
  </DType>
  <DType id="Node_with_PTP_AdminCfg_SPG_90-4" cdc="SPG">
    <DA name="setVal" bType="BOOLEAN" fc="SP" dchg="true">
      <Val>true</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>SPG</Val>
    </DA>
  </DType>
  <EnumType id="BehaviourModeKind">
    <EnumVal ord="1">on</EnumVal>
    <EnumVal ord="2">blocked</EnumVal>
    <EnumVal ord="3">test</EnumVal>
    <EnumVal ord="4">test/blocked</EnumVal>
    <EnumVal ord="5">off</EnumVal>
  </EnumType>
  <EnumType id="HealthKind">
    <EnumVal ord="1">Ok</EnumVal>
    <EnumVal ord="2">Warning</EnumVal>
    <EnumVal ord="3">Alarm</EnumVal>
  </EnumType>
  <EnumType id="CtlModelKind">
    <EnumVal ord="0">status-only</EnumVal>
  </EnumType>
  <EnumType id="ChannelRedundancyKind">
    <EnumVal ord="1">none</EnumVal>
    <EnumVal ord="2">prp</EnumVal>
    <EnumVal ord="3">hsr</EnumVal>
  </EnumType>
  <EnumType id="LeapSecondKind">
    <EnumVal ord="1">none</EnumVal>
    <EnumVal ord="2">removeLeapSecond</EnumVal>
    <EnumVal ord="3">addLeapSecond</EnumVal>
  </EnumType>
</DataTypeTemplates>
</SCL>

```

#### 19.7.4 RedBox wit HSR

The following code describes the ICD file for a RedBox without RSTP bridging function, as in Figure 90.




Figure 90 – RedBox with LLDP but no PTP

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<SCL xmlns="http://www.iec.ch/61850/2003/SCL"
xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance" version="2007" revision="B"
xsi:schemaLocation="http://www.iec.ch/61850/2003/SCL SCL.xsd">
  <Header id="ICD of a HSR Redbox with LLDP but no PTP support">
    <History>
      <Hitem version="1" revision="A" when="2013.03.20" what="Initial version"
who="HK"/>
    </History>
  </Header>
  <IED name="TEMPLATE">
    <Services nameLength="64">
      <DynAssociation/>
    </Services>
    <AccessPoint name="S1">
      <Server>
        <Authentication/>
        <LDevice inst="LD0">
          <LN0 lnClass="LLN0" inst="" lnType="Redbox_LLN0"/>
          <LN lnClass="LPHD" inst="1" lnType="Redbox_LPHD"/>
          <LN lnClass="LCCH" inst="1" lnType="Redbox_RedChannel_LCCH">
            <DOI name="PortRef">
              <DAI name="setSrcRef">
                <Val>@LD0/LPCP1</Val>
              </DAI>
            </DOI>
            <DOI name="RedPortRef">
              <DAI name="setSrcRef">
                <Val>@LD0/LPCP2</Val>
              </DAI>
            </DOI>
          </LN>
          <LN lnClass="LCCH" inst="2" lnType="Redbox_NoRedChannel_LCCH">
            <DOI name="PortRef">
              <DAI name="setSrcRef">
                <Val>@LD0/LPCP3</Val>
              </DAI>
            </DOI>
          </LN>
        </LDevice>
      </Server>
    </AccessPoint>
  </IED>
</SCL>
```

```

        </DAI>
    </DOI>
</LN>
<LN lnClass="LPLD" inst="1" lnType="Redbox_LPLD">
    <DOI name="PortRef">
        <DAI name="setSrcRef">
            <Val>@LD0/LPCP1</Val>
        </DAI>
    </DOI>
</LN>
<LN lnClass="LPLD" inst="2" lnType="Redbox_LPLD">
    <DOI name="PortRef">
        <DAI name="setSrcRef">
            <Val>@LD0/LPCP2</Val>
        </DAI>
    </DOI>
</LN>
<LN lnClass="LPLD" inst="3" lnType="Redbox_LPLD">
    <DOI name="PortRef">
        <DAI name="setSrcRef">
            <Val>@LD0/LPCP3</Val>
        </DAI>
    </DOI>
</LN>
<LN lnClass="LPCP" inst="1" lnType="Redbox_LPCP">
    <DOI name="PortNum">
        <DAI name="setVal">
            <Val>1</Val>
        </DAI>
    </DOI>
</LN>
<LN lnClass="LPCP" inst="2" lnType="Redbox_LPCP">
    <DOI name="PortNum">
        <DAI name="setVal">
            <Val>2</Val>
        </DAI>
    </DOI>
</LN>
<LN lnClass="LPCP" inst="3" lnType="Redbox_LPCP">
    <DOI name="PortNum">
        <DAI name="setVal">
            <Val>3</Val>
        </DAI>
    </DOI>
</LN>
</LDevice>
</Server>
</AccessPoint>
</IED>
<DataTypeTemplates>
    <LNodeType id="Redbox_LLNO" lnClass="LLNO">
        <DO name="NamPlt" type="Redbox_LPL_LLNO"/>
        <DO name="Beh" type="Redbox_Beh_ENS"/>
        <DO name="Health" type="Redbox_Health_ENS"/>
        <DO name="Mod" type="Redbox_Mod_ENC"/>
    </LNodeType>
    <LNodeType id="Redbox_LPHD" lnClass="LPHD">
        <DO name="PhyNam" type="Redbox_DPL"/>
        <DO name="PhyHealth" type="Redbox_Health_ENS"/>
        <DO name="Proxy" type="Redbox_SPS"/>
        <DO name="LocChsIdTyp" type="Redbox_INS_90-4_DS"/>
        <DO name="LocChsId" type="Redbox_VSS_90-4_DS"/>
        <DO name="LocAddrTyp" type="Redbox_INS_90-4_DS"/>
        <DO name="LocAddr" type="Redbox_VSS_90-4_DS"/>
    </LNodeType>

```

```

    <DO name="LdpEna" type="Redbox_SPG_90-4_DS"/>
  </LNodeType>
  <LNodeType id="Redbox_RedChannel_LCCH" lnClass="LCCH">
    <DO name="ChLiv" type="Redbox_SPS"/>
    <DO name="RedChLiv" type="Redbox_SPS"/>
    <DO name="Beh" type="Redbox_Beh_ENS"/>
    <DO name="PortRef" type="Redbox_ORG_90-4_DS"/>
    <DO name="RedPortRef" type="Redbox_ORG_90-4_DS"/>
    <DO name="RedCfg" type="Redbox_RedCfg_HSR_ENG_90-4_DS"/>
    <DO name="RedPathId" type="Redbox_ING_90-4_DS"/>
  </LNodeType>
  <LNodeType id="Redbox_NoRedChannel_LCCH" lnClass="LCCH">
    <DO name="ChLiv" type="Redbox_SPS"/>
    <DO name="Beh" type="Redbox_Beh_ENS"/>
    <DO name="PortRef" type="Redbox_ORG_90-4_DS"/>
    <DO name="RedCfg" type="Redbox_RedCfg_None_ENG_90-4_DS"/>
  </LNodeType>
  <LNodeType id="Redbox_LPLD" lnClass="LPLD">
    <DO name="NamPlt" type="Redbox_LPL_90-4"/>
    <DO name="RemPortDesc" type="Redbox_VSS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="LocPortDesc" type="Redbox_VSS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="RemPortIdTyp" type="Redbox_INS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="LocPortIdTyp" type="Redbox_INS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="RemPortId" type="Redbox_VSS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="LocPortId" type="Redbox_VSS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="RemChsIdTyp" type="Redbox_INS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="RemChsId" type="Redbox_VSS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="RemSysDesc" type="Redbox_VSS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="RemAddrTyp" type="Redbox_INS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="RemAddr" type="Redbox_VSS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="Beh" type="Redbox_Beh_ENS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="PortRef" type="Redbox_ORG_90-4"/>
  </LNodeType>
  <LNodeType id="Redbox_LPCP" lnClass="LPCP">
    <DO name="NamPlt" type="Redbox_LPL_90-4"/>
    <DO name="PhyNam" type="Redbox_DPL_90-4"/>
    <DO name="PhyHealth" type="Redbox_Health_ENS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="AutoNgt" type="Redbox_SPS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="Mau" type="Redbox_INS_90-4"/>
    <DO name="PortNum" type="Redbox_ING_90-4"/>
    <DO name="AutoNgtCfg" type="Redbox_SPG_90-4"/>
    <DO name="MauCfg" type="Redbox_MauCfg_ING_100MFD_90-4"/>
    <DO name="MauCfgCap1" type="Redbox_MauCfg_ING_100MFD_90-4"/>
    <DO name="AdminCfg" type="Redbox_AdminCfg_SPG_90-4"/>
  </LNodeType>
  <DOType id="Redbox_LPL_LLNO" cdc="LPL">
    <DA name="vendor" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
    <DA name="swRev" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
    <DA name="configRev" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
    <DA name="ldNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
  </DOType>
  <DOType id="Redbox_LPL_90-4" cdc="LPL">
    <DA name="vendor" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
    <DA name="swRev" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
    <DA name="configRev" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
    <DA name="lnNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>(Tr)IEC 61850-90-4:2012</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">

```

```

        <Val>LPL</Val>
    </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Redbox_Beh_ENS" cdc="ENS">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="Enum" type="BehaviourModeKind" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
</DType>
<DType id="Redbox_Beh_ENS_90-4" cdc="ENS">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="Enum" type="BehaviourModeKind" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>ENS</Val>
    </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Redbox_Health_ENS" cdc="ENS">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="Enum" type="HealthKind" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
</DType>
<DType id="Redbox_Health_ENS_90-4" cdc="ENS">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="Enum" type="HealthKind" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>ENS</Val>
    </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Redbox_Mod_ENC" cdc="ENC">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="Enum" type="BehaviourModeKind" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
    <DA name="ctlModel" bType="Enum" type="CtlModelKind" fc="CF" dchg="true"/>
</DType>
<DType id="Redbox_DPL" cdc="DPL">
    <DA name="vendor" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
</DType>
<DType id="Redbox_DPL_90-4" cdc="DPL">
    <DA name="vendor" bType="VisString255" fc="DC"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>DPL</Val>
    </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Redbox_SPS" cdc="SPS">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="BOOLEAN" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
</DType>
<DType id="Redbox_SPS_90-4" cdc="SPS">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="BOOLEAN" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>

```

```

    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>SPS</Val>
    </DA>
  </DOType>
  <DOType id="Redbox_ORG_90-4_DS" cdc="ORG">
    <DA name="setSrcRef" bType="ObjRef" fc="EX"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>ORG</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="dataNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>(Tr)IEC 61850-90-4:2012</Val>
    </DA>
  </DOType>
  <DOType id="Redbox_ORG_90-4" cdc="ORG">
    <DA name="setSrcRef" bType="ObjRef" fc="EX"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>ORG</Val>
    </DA>
  </DOType>
  <DOType id="Redbox_RedCfg_None_ENG_90-4_DS" cdc="ENG">
    <DA name="setVal" bType="Enum" type="ChannelRedundancyKind" fc="SP"
dchg="true">
      <Val>1</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>ENS</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="dataNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>(Tr)IEC 61850-90-4:2012</Val>
    </DA>
  </DOType>
  <DOType id="Redbox_RedCfg_HSR_ENG_90-4_DS" cdc="ENG">
    <DA name="setVal" bType="Enum" type="ChannelRedundancyKind" fc="SP"
dchg="true">
      <Val>3</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>ENS</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="dataNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>(Tr)IEC 61850-90-4:2012</Val>
    </DA>
  </DOType>
  <DOType id="Redbox_ING_90-4_DS" cdc="ING">
    <DA name="setVal" bType="INT32" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
      <Val>ING</Val>
    </DA>
  </DOType>

```

```

    <DA name="dataNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>(Tr)IEC 61850-90-4:2012</Val>
    </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Redbox_ING_90-4" cdc="ING">
    <DA name="setVal" bType="INT32" fc="SP" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>ING</Val>
    </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Redbox_INS_90-4" cdc="INS">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="INT32" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>INS</Val>
    </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Redbox_MauCfg_ING_100MFD_90-4" cdc="ING">
    <DA name="setVal" bType="INT32" fc="SP" dchg="true">
        <Val>11</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>ING</Val>
    </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Redbox_INS_90-4_DS" cdc="INS">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="INT32" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>INS</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="dataNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>(Tr)IEC 61850-90-4:2012</Val>
    </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Redbox_VSS_90-4" cdc="VSS">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="VisString255" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>
    <DA name="cdcNs" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>IEC 61850-7-4:2007</Val>
    </DA>
    <DA name="cdcName" bType="VisString255" fc="EX">
        <Val>VSS</Val>
    </DA>
</DType>
<DType id="Redbox_VSS_90-4_DS" cdc="VSS">
    <DA name="stVal" bType="VisString255" fc="ST" dchg="true"/>
    <DA name="q" bType="Quality" fc="ST" qchg="true"/>
    <DA name="t" bType="Timestamp" fc="ST"/>

```