
**Fine ceramics (advanced ceramics,
advanced technical ceramics) —
Rockwell indentation test for evaluation
of adhesion of ceramic coatings**

*Céramiques techniques — Évaluation de l'adhérence des revêtements
céramiques par l'essai de pénétration de Rockwell*



PDF disclaimer

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 26443:2008



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2008

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO 26443 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 206, *Fine ceramics*.

[STANDARDSISO.COM](https://standardsiso.com) : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 26443:2008

Fine ceramics (advanced ceramics, advanced technical ceramics) — Rockwell indentation test for evaluation of adhesion of ceramic coatings

1 Scope

This International Standard specifies a method for the qualitative evaluation of the adhesion of ceramic coatings up to 20 µm thick by indentation with a Rockwell diamond indenter. The formation of cracks after indentation may also reveal cohesive failure. The indentations are made with a Rockwell hardness test instrument.

The method described in this International Standard may also be suitable for evaluating the adhesion of metallic coatings.

The test is not suitable for elastic coatings on hard substrates.

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 6508-1, *Metallic materials — Rockwell hardness test — Part 1: Test method (scales A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, K, N, T)*

ISO 6508-2, *Metallic materials — Rockwell hardness test — Part 2: Verification and calibration of testing machines (scales A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, K, N, T)*

3 Principle

An indentation is made into the coated surface of the specimen to be tested, whereby the coating near the indent can be damaged. The indentation and surrounding area are examined for cracks and/or flaking with the aid of an optical microscope.

4 Apparatus

The indentations shall be made in accordance with ISO 6508-1, following the procedure for a Rockwell hardness indentation.

The Rockwell hardness testing machine shall conform with the requirements of ISO 6508-2.

The contour of the diamond indenter shall be checked regularly by optical means (magnifying glass, optical microscope, stereomicroscope or projection screen). This check shall be made for at least four different axial sections. The indenter shall be replaced if this examination reveals any damage to the indenter (e.g. chipping). A magnification of at least ×200 is recommended to detect ring cracks or microwear.

Although a research project to evaluate the effect of indentation parameters showed no major influence of load rate or holding time on the results (see Reference [1]), they should preferably be kept constant for reasons of repeatability. To conform with ISO 6508-1, it is necessary to keep the loading time between 1 s and 8 s and the hold time at (4 ± 2) s. Neither loading time nor holding time need to be recorded.

5 Sampling and preparation of test specimens

Select a representative test specimen from the coating to be tested. Clean the specimen so that it is free from dust and other particles, and also from oil or other surface films.

6 Procedure

The indentation shall be made in a direction perpendicular to the specimen surface. Therefore specimens shall be prepared plane parallel and/or levelled before indentation.

Depending on the coating/substrate combination, a suitable load range shall be selected.

The following rules shall apply:

- for metallic substrates harder than 54 HRC, a load of 1 471,5 N (150 kgf) shall be used (Rockwell C scale);
- for metallic substrates softer than 54 HRC and for medium case-hardened steel substrates, a load of 981 N (100 kgf) shall be used (Rockwell D scale);
- for all other substrates, e.g. shallow case-hardened steel, thin substrates, cemented carbides, solid ceramics and cermets, a load of 588,6 N (60 kgf) shall be used (Rockwell A scale).

Using an optical microscope (magnification $\times 100$), relate the indentation to the classification given in Table 1. A pictorial representation and sample photographs of these classes can be found in Annex A.

Table 1 — Classification of test results

Class	Observation
Class 0	No cracking and no adhesive delamination
Class 1	Cracking without adhesive delamination of the coating
Class 2	Partial adhesive delamination, with or without cracking
Class 3	Complete adhesive delamination

Class 0 reveals acceptable adhesion. However, the absence of any visual failure can be due to the test not being suitable for the substrate/coating system under investigation. Class 1 shows no adhesive delamination; adhesion is acceptable. In the cases of class 2 and class 3, adhesion is unacceptable.

The test may also reveal cohesive failure of the coating, e.g. cracking. The observation of cracks can be facilitated by using optical contrasting techniques, e.g. Nomarski interference contrast microscopy.

Delamination can be due to adhesive as well as cohesive failure of the coating:

- Adhesive delamination is defined as a removal of the coating, whereby the underlying substrate can be clearly seen, or a removal of one or more sublayers in a multilayer coating, whereby the substrate or an underlying sublayer can be clearly distinguished.

- Cohesive delamination is defined as a partial removal of the coating, whereby the underlying substrate stays covered by the coating, or a removal of one or more sublayers in a multilayer coating, whereby the substrate and none of the underlying sublayers can be clearly distinguished.

Complete delamination is defined as an uninterrupted removal of the coating along the circumference of the indent:

- When a class 2 failure is observed, an estimate of the percentage of delamination in relation to the surface area of the indent shall be given. This estimate shall be based on the sum of the calculated areas of each individual delamination, determined from its dimensions. A micrograph of a typical class 2 failure can be found in Annex A.
- When a class 3 failure is observed, the size of the adhesive delamination shall be described by the ratio (r/a) of the radius of adhesive delamination r to the radius of the indent a .

For a class 3 failure, the radius of adhesive delamination is defined as the maximum radius of the delamination related to the centre of the indent, excluding any needle-like delaminations away from the indent.

It is recommended that at least three measurements be made at representative locations.

7 Limits

Results shall only be compared when a similar substrate/coating combination and coating thickness are used.

When comparing results, class designations shall be linked to the load used. Only indents made at the same load shall be compared.

8 Test report


The test report shall include the following information:

- a) the name and address of the testing establishment;
- b) the date of the test, a unique identification of the test report and of each page, the name and address of the customer and the signature of the responsible individual(s);
- c) a reference to this International Standard, i.e. ISO 26443;
- d) the type of test equipment used, the manufacturer and the date of the last calibration;
- e) a description of the test material: type of substrate, type of coating and date of receipt;
- f) the method of test (i.e. the load) used, and details of sampling and specimen preparation;
- g) the results of at least three tests for the load used, including descriptions for any class 2 and class 3 failures;
- h) any comments about the test or the test results (e.g. the observation of cohesive failure).



Annex A (informative)

Pictorial representations and sample photographs of the classes defined in Table 1



Class 0



Class 1



Class 2



Class 3

