

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO/IEC
9072-2

First edition
1989-11-15

Information processing systems — Text communication — Remote Operations —

Part 2 : Protocol specification

*Systèmes de traitement de l'information — Communication de texte — Opérations
à distance —*

Partie 2 : Spécification du protocole



Reference number
ISO/IEC 9072-2 : 1989 (E)

Contents	Page
Foreword	iii
Introduction	iv
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Definitions	2
4 Abbreviations	3
5 Conventions	3
6 Overview of the protocol	3
7 Elements of procedure	5
8 Mapping to used services	12
9 Abstract syntax definition of APDUs	14
10 Conformance	17
Annexes	
A ROPM State tables	18
B Differences between this part of ISO/IEC 9072 and CCITT Recommendation X.410 - 1984	27
C Summary of assigned object identifier values	28

© ISO/IEC 1989

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from the publisher.

ISO/IEC Copyright Office • Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Genève 20 • Switzerland

Printed in Switzerland

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) together form a system for worldwide standardization as a whole. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work.

In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for approval before their acceptance as International Standards. They are approved in accordance with procedures requiring at least 75 % approval by the national bodies voting.

International Standard ISO/IEC 9072-2 was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC 9072-2:1989

This page intentionally left blank

Information processing systems -Text communication - Remote Operations - Part 2: Protocol specification

1 Scope

This part of ISO/IEC 9072 specifies the protocol (abstract syntax) and procedures for the Remote Operation Service Element (part 1 of this International Standard). The ROSE services are provided in conjunction with the Association Control Service Element (ACSE) services (ISO 8649) and the ACSE protocol (ISO 8650), optionally the Reliable Transfer Service Element (RTSE) services (ISO/IEC 9066-1) and the RTSE protocol (ISO/IEC 9066-2), and the presentation-service (ISO 8822).

The ROSE procedures are defined in terms of

- a) the interactions between peer ROSE protocol machines through the use of RTSE services or the presentation-service;
- b) the interactions between the ROSE protocol machine and its service-user.

This part of ISO/IEC 9072 specifies conformance requirements for systems implementing these procedures.

2 Normative references

The following standards contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this part of ISO/IEC 9072. At the time of publication, the editions were valid. All Standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreement based on this part of ISO/IEC 9072 are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the standards listed below. Members of ISO and IEC maintain Registers of currently valid International Standards.

ISO/IEC 7498: 1984, *Information processing systems - Open Systems Interconnection - Basic Reference Model*.

ISO/TR 8509: 1987, *Information processing systems - Open Systems Interconnection - Service Conventions*.

ISO 8649: 1988, *Information processing systems - Open Systems Interconnection - Service definition for the Association Control Service Element*.

ISO 8650: 1988, *Information processing systems - Open Systems Interconnection - Protocol specification for the Association Control Service Element*.

ISO 8822: 1988, *Information processing systems - Open Systems Interconnection - Connection oriented presentation service definition*.

ISO 8824: 1987, *Information processing systems - Open Systems Interconnection - Specification of Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1)*.

ISO 8825: 1987, *Information processing systems - Open Systems Interconnection - Specification of basic encoding rules for Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1)*.

ISO/IEC 9066-1: 1989, *Information processing systems - Text communication - Reliable Transfer - Part 1: Model and service definition*.

ISO/IEC 9066-2: 1989, *Information processing systems - Text communication - Reliable Transfer - Part 2: Protocol specification*.

ISO/IEC 9072-1: 1989, *Information processing systems - Text communication - Remote Operations - Part 1: Model, notation and service definition*.

3 Definitions

3.1 Reference Model definitions

This part of ISO/IEC 9072 is based on the concepts developed in ISO/IEC 7498 and makes use of the following terms defined in it:

- a) Application Layer;
- b) application-process;
- c) application-entity;
- d) application-service-element;
- e) application-protocol-data-unit;
- f) application-protocol-control-information;
- g) presentation-service;
- h) presentation-connection;
- i) session-service;
- j) session-connection;
- k) transfer syntax; and
- l) user-element.

3.2 Service conventions definitions

This part of ISO/IEC 9072 makes use of the following terms defined in ISO/TR 8509:

- a) service-provider;
- b) service-user;
- c) confirmed service;
- d) non-confirmed service;
- e) provider-initiated service;
- f) primitive;
- g) request (primitive);
- h) indication (primitive);
- i) response (primitive); and
- j) confirm (primitive).

3.3 Presentation service definitions

This part of ISO/IEC 9072 makes use of the following terms defined in ISO 8822:

- a) abstract syntax;
- b) abstract syntax name;
- c) presentation context.

3.4 Association control definitions

This part of ISO/IEC 9072 makes use of the following terms defined in ISO 8649:

- a) application-association; association;
- b) application context;
- c) Association Control Service Element.

3.5 Reliable Transfer definitions

This part of ISO/IEC 9072 makes use of the following terms defined in ISO/IEC 9066-1:

- a) Reliable Transfer Service Element.

3.6 ROSE service definitions

This part of ISO/IEC 9072 makes use of the following terms defined in ISO/IEC 9072-1:

- a) association-initiating-application-entity; association-initiator;
- b) association-responding-application-entity; association-responder;
- c) invoking-application-entity; invoker;
- d) performing-application-entity; performer;
- e) requestor;
- f) acceptor;
- g) linked-operations;
- h) parent-operation;
- i) child-operation;
- j) RO-notation;
- k) Remote Operation Service Element;
- l) ROSE-provider;
- m) ROSE-user;
- n) RTSE-user;
- o) Remote Operations.

3.7 Remote Operation protocol specification definitions

For the purpose of this part of ISO/IEC 9072 the following definitions apply:

3.7.1 remote-operation-protocol-machine:
The protocol machine for the Remote Operation Service Element specified in this part of ISO/IEC 9072.

3.7.2 requesting-remote-operation-protocol-machine: The remote-operation-protocol-machine whose service-user is the requestor of a particular Remote Operation Service Element service.

3.7.3 accepting-remote-operation-protocol-machine: The remote-operation-protocol-machine whose service-user is the acceptor for a particular Remote Operation Service Element service.

4 Abbreviations

4.1 Data units

APDU application-protocol-data-unit

4.2 Types of application-protocol-data-units

The following abbreviations have been given to the application-protocol-data-units defined in this part of ISO/IEC 9072.

ROIV RO-INVOKE application-protocol-data-unit
 RORS RO-RESULT application-protocol-data-unit
 ROER RO-ERROR application-protocol-data-unit
 RORJ RO-REJECT application-protocol-data-unit

4.3 Other abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this part of ISO/IEC 9072.

AE Application Entity
 ACSE Association Control Service Element
 ASE Application Service Element
 RO (or ROS) Remote Operations
 ROPM Remote Operations Protocol Machine
 ROSE Remote Operations Service Element
 RT Reliable Transfer
 RTSE Reliable Transfer Service Element

5 Conventions

This part of ISO/IEC 9072 employs a tabular presentation of its APDU fields. In clause 7, tables are presented for each ROSE APDU. Each field is summarized using the following notation:

M presence is mandatory
 U presence is a ROSE-user option
 req source is related request primitive
 ind sink is related indication primitive
 resp source is related response primitive
 conf sink is related confirm primitive
 sp source or sink is the ROPM

The structure of each ROSE APDU is specified in clause 9 using the abstract syntax notation of ISO/IEC 8824.

6 Overview of the protocol

6.1 Service provision

The protocol specified in this part of ISO/IEC 9072 provides the ROSE services defined in ISO/IEC 9072-1. These services are listed in table 1.

Table 1 - ROSE services summary

Service	Type
RO-INVOKE	Non-confirmed
RO-RESULT	Non-confirmed
RO-ERROR	Non-confirmed
RO-REJECT-U	Non-confirmed
RO-REJECT-P	Provider-initiated

6.2 Use of services

The ROSE protocol specified in this part of ISO/IEC 9072 needs a transfer service to pass information in the form of ROSE APDUs between peer application-entities (AEs).

Two transfer services may be used alternatively:

- the RTSE services, if the RTSE is included in the application-context, or
- the presentation-service, if the RTSE is not included in the application-context.

In both cases an existing application-association, established and released by means of the ACSE services, is assumed.

6.2.1 Use of the RTSE services

If the RTSE is included in the application-context, this part of ISO/IEC 9072 assumes that the ROPM is the sole user of the RT-TRANSFER service and the RT-TURN-GIVE service.

The initiating AE may only request the release of the application-association by means of the RT-CLOSE service if it possesses the Turn. Therefore the RTSE-user and the ROPM are the user of the RT-TURN-PLEASE service.

The ROPM is the user of the RT-U-ABORT and RT-P-ABORT services.

6.2.2 Use of the presentation-service

If the RTSE is not included in the application-context, the ROPM is a user of the P-DATA service.

6.3 Model

The remote-operation-protocol-machine (ROPM) communicates with its service-user by means of primitives defined in ISO/IEC 9072-1. Each invocation of the ROPM controls a single application-association.

The ROPM is driven by ROSE service request primitives from its service-user, and by indication and confirm primitives of the RTSE services, or the presentation-service. The ROPM, in turn,

issues indication primitives to its service-user, and request primitives on the used RTSE services, or the presentation-service. If the RTSE is included in the application-context, the RT-TRANSFER indication, RT-TRANSFER request and RT-TRANSFER confirm primitives are used. In the case of an application-context excluding RTSE, the presentation-service P-DATA request, and P-DATA indication primitives are used. In this case the transfer is not confirmed.

The reception of a ROSE service primitive, or of a RTSE service or of a presentation-service primitive, and the generation of dependent actions are considered to be indivisible.

During the exchange of APDUs, the existence of both, the association-initiating AE and the association-responding AE is presumed. How these AEs are created is beyond the scope of this part of ISO/IEC 9072.

During the execution of operations, the existence of an application-association between the peer AEs is presumed. How this application-association is established and released is beyond the scope of this part of ISO/IEC 9072 (see ISO/IEC 9072-1, ISO 8649, ISO 8650, ISO/IEC 9066-1 and ISO/IEC 9066-2).

NOTE Each application-association may be identified in an end system by an internal, implementation dependent mechanism so that the ROSE service-user and the ROPM can refer to it.

7 Elements of procedure

The ROSE protocol consists of the following elements of procedure:

- a) invocation
- b) return-result
- c) return-error
- d) user-reject
- e) provider-reject.

In the following clauses, a summary of each of these elements of procedure is presented. This consists of a summary of the relevant APDUs, and a high-level overview of the relationship between the ROSE service primitives, the APDUs involved, and the transfer service that is used.

The generic terms transfer service, transfer service-provider, transfer request, and transfer indication are used in the context of clause 7. Clause 8 describes how these generic service primitives are mapped either on to the RTSE services or the presentation-service.

In clause 9 a detailed specification of the ROSE APDUs is given using the notation defined in ISO 8824.

7.1 Invocation

7.1.1 Purpose

The invocation procedure is used by one AE (the invoker) to request an operation to be performed by the other AE (the performer).

7.1.2 APDUs used

The invocation procedure uses the RO-INVOKE (ROIV) APDU.

The fields of the ROIV APDU are listed in table 2.

7.1.3 Invocation procedure

This procedure is driven by the following events:

- a) a RO-INVOKE request primitive from the requestor

- b) a ROIV APDU as user-data of a transfer indication primitive.

7.1.3.1 RO-INVOKE request primitive

Table 2 - ROIV APDU fields

Field name	Pre- sence	Source	Sink
Invoke-ID	M	req	ind
Linked-ID	U	req	ind
Operation-value	M	req	ind
Argument	U	req	ind

The requesting ROPM forms a ROIV APDU from the parameter values of the RO-INVOKE request primitive. It issues a transfer request primitive. The user-data parameter of the transfer request primitive contains the ROIV APDU.

The requesting ROPM waits either for a transfer indication primitive from the transfer service-provider or any other primitive from the requestor.

7.1.3.2 ROIV APDU

The accepting ROPM receives a ROIV APDU from its peer as user-data on a transfer indication primitive. If any of the fields of the ROIV APDU are unacceptable to this ROPM, the provider-reject procedure is performed, and no RO-INVOKE indication primitive is issued by the ROPM.

If the ROIV APDU is acceptable to the accepting ROPM, it issues a RO-INVOKE indication primitive to the acceptor. The RO-INVOKE indication primitive parameters are derived from the ROIV APDU.

The accepting ROPM waits either for a transfer indication primitive from the transfer service-provider or any other primitive from the acceptor.

7.1.4 Use of the ROIV APDU fields

The ROIV fields are used as follows.

7.1.4.1 Invoke-ID

This is the invoke-ID parameter value of the RO-INVOKE request primitive. It appears as the invoke-ID parameter value of the RO-INVOKE indication primitive.

The value of this field is transparent to the ROPM, however the value may be used in the provider reject procedure.

7.1.4.2 Linked-ID

This is the linked-ID parameter value of the RO-INVOKE request primitive. It appears as the linked-ID parameter value of the RO-INVOKE indication primitive.

The value of this field is transparent to the ROPM.

7.1.4.3 Operation-value

This is the operation-value parameter value of the RO-INVOKE request primitive. It appears as the operation-value parameter value of the RO-INVOKE indication primitive.

The value of this field is transparent to the ROPM.

7.1.4.4 Argument

This is the argument parameter value of the RO-INVOKE request primitive. It appears as the argument parameter value of the RO-INVOKE indication primitive.

The value of this field is transparent to the ROPM.

7.2 Return-result

7.2.1 Purpose

The return-result procedure is used by one AE (the performer) to request the transfer of the result of a successfully performed operation to the other AE (the invoker).

7.2.2 APDUs used

The return-result procedure uses the RO-RESULT (RORS) APDU.

The fields of the RORS APDU are listed in table 3.

7.2.3 Return-result procedure

This procedure is driven by the following events:

- a) a RO-RESULT request primitive from the requestor;
- b) a RORS APDU as user-data of a transfer indication primitive.

7.2.3.1 RO-RESULT Request Primitive

Table 3 - RORS APDU fields

Field name	Pre- sence	Source	Sink
Invoke-ID	M	req	ind
Operation-value	U	req	ind
Result	U	req	ind

The requesting ROPM forms a RORS APDU from the parameter values of the RO-RESULT request primitive. It issues a transfer request primitive. The user-data parameter of the transfer request primitive contains the RORS APDU.

The requesting ROPM waits either for a transfer indication primitive from the transfer service-provider or any other primitive from the requestor.

7.2.3.2 RORS APDU

The accepting ROPM receives a RORS APDU from its peer as user-data on a transfer indication primitive. If any of the fields of the RORS APDU are unacceptable to this ROPM, the provider-reject procedure is performed, and no RO-RESULT indication primitive is issued by the ROPM.

If the RORS APDU is acceptable to the accepting ROPM, it issues a RO-RESULT indication primitive to the acceptor. The RO-RESULT indication primitive parameters are derived from the RORS APDU.

The accepting ROPM waits either for a transfer primitive from the transfer service-provider or any other primitive from the acceptor.

7.2.4 Use of the RORS APDU fields

The RORS fields are used as follows.

7.2.4.1 Invoke-ID

This is the invoke-ID parameter value of the RO-RESULT request primitive. It appears as the invoke-ID parameter value of the RO-RESULT indication primitive.

The value of this field is transparent to the ROPM, however the value may be used in the provider-reject procedure.

7.2.4.2 Operation-value

This is the operation-value parameter value of the RO-RESULT request primitive. It appears as the operation-value parameter of the RO-RESULT indication primitive.

The value of this field is transparent to the ROPM.

This field shall be present only if the result field is present.

7.2.4.3 Result

This is the result parameter value of the RO-RESULT request primitive. It appears as the result parameter value of the RO-RESULT indication primitive.

The value of this field is transparent to the ROPM.

7.3 Return-error

7.3.1 Purpose

The return-error procedure is used by one AE (the performer) to request the transfer of the error information in the case of an unsuccessfully performed operation to the other AE (the invoker).

7.3.2 APDUs used

The return-error procedure uses the RO-ERROR (ROER) APDU.

The fields of the ROER APDU are listed in table 4.

Table 4 - ROER APDU fields

Field name	Pre- sence	Source	Sink
Invoke-ID	M	req	ind
Error-value	M	req	ind
Error-parameter	U	req	ind

7.3.3 Return-error procedure

This procedure is driven by the following events:

- a RO-ERROR request primitive from the requestor;
- a ROER APDU as user-data of a transfer indication primitive.

7.3.3.1 RO-ERROR request primitive

The requesting ROPM forms a ROER APDU from the parameter values of the RO-ERROR request primitive. It issues a transfer request primitive. The user-data parameter of the transfer request primitive contains the ROER APDU.

The requesting ROPM waits either for a transfer primitive from the transfer service-provider or any other primitive from the requestor.

7.3.3.2 ROER APDU

The accepting ROPM receives a ROER APDU from its peer as user-data on a transfer indication primitive. If any of the fields of the ROER APDU are unacceptable to this ROPM, the provider-reject procedure is performed, and no RO-ERROR indication primitive is issued by the ROPM.

If the ROER APDU is acceptable to the accepting ROPM, it issues a RO-ERROR indication primitive to the acceptor. The RO-ERROR indication primitive parameters are derived from the ROER APDU.

The accepting ROPM waits either for a transfer indication primitive from the transfer service-provider or any other primitive from the acceptor.

7.3.4 Use of the ROER APDU fields

The ROER fields are used as follows.

7.3.4.1 Invoke-ID

This is the invoke-ID parameter value of the RO-ERROR request primitive. It appears as the invoke-ID parameter value of the RO-ERROR indication primitive.

The value of this field is transparent to the ROPM, however the value may be used in the provider reject procedure.

7.3.4.2 Error-value

This is the error-value parameter value of the RO-ERROR request primitive. It appears as the error-value parameter value of the RO-ERROR indication primitive.

The value of this field is transparent to the ROPM.

7.3.4.3 Error-parameter

This is the error-parameter parameter value of the RO-ERROR request primitive. It appears as the error-parameter parameter value of the RO-ERROR indication primitive.

The value of this field is transparent to the ROPM.

7.4 User-reject

7.4.1 Purpose

The user-reject procedure is used by one AE to reject the request (invocation) or reply (result or error) of the other AE.

7.4.2 APDUs used

The user-reject procedure uses the RO-REJECT (RORJ) APDU. This RORJ APDU is used in addition by the provider-reject procedure.

The fields of the RORJ APDU used for the user-reject procedure are listed in table 5.

7.4.3 User-reject procedure

This procedure is driven by the following events:

- a) a RO-REJECT-U request primitive from the requestor
- b) a RORJ APDU as user-data of a transfer indication primitive.

7.4.3.1 RO-REJECT-U request primitive

The requesting ROPM forms a RORJ APDU from the parameter values of the RO-REJECT-U request primitive. It issues a transfer request primitive. The user-data parameter of the transfer request primitive contains the RORJ APDU.

The requesting ROPM waits either for a transfer indication primitive from the transfer service-provider or any other primitive from the requestor.

7.4.3.2 RORJ APDU

The accepting ROPM receives a RORJ APDU from its peer as user-data on a transfer indication primitive. If any of the fields of the RORJ APDU are unacceptable to this ROPM, no RO-REJECT-U indication primitive is issued by the ROPM.

If the RORJ APDU is acceptable to the accepting ROPM and the fields of the RORJ APDU indicates a user reject (i.e. invoke-problem, return-result-problem, or return-error-problem), it issues an RO-REJECT-U indication primitive to the acceptor. The RO-REJECT-U indication primitive parameters (invoke-ID and reject-reason) are derived from the RORJ APDU.

The accepting ROPM waits either for a transfer indication primitive from the transfer service-provider or any other primitive from the acceptor.

7.4.4 Use of the RORJ APDU fields

The RORJ fields are used as follows.

7.4.4.1 Invoke-ID

This is the invoke-ID parameter value of the RO-REJECT-U request primitive. It appears as the invoke-ID parameter value of the RO-REJECT-U indication primitive.

The value of this field is transparent to the ROPM.

Table 5 - RORJ APDU fields used for user-reject

Field name	Presence	Source	Sink
Invoke-ID	M	req	ind
Problem (choice of):	M	req	ind
Invoke-problem			
Return-result-problem			
Return-error-problem			

7.4.4.2 Problem

This is the problem parameter value of the RO-REJECT-U request primitive. It appears as the problem parameter value of the RO-REJECT-U indication primitive.

The values used by the user-reject procedure are

a) Invoke-problem: user-reject of a RO-INVOKE indication primitive with values:

- duplicate-invocation: signifies that the invoke-ID parameter violates the assignment rules of ISO/IEC 9072-1;
- unrecognized-operation: signifies that the operation is not one of those agreed between the ROSE-users;
- mistyped-argument: signifies that the type of the operation argument supplied is not that agreed between the ROSE-users;
- resource-limitation: the performing ROSE-user is not able to perform the invoked operation due to resource limitation;
- initiator-releasing: the association-initiator is not willing to perform the invoked operation because it is about to attempt to release the application-association;
- unrecognized-linked-ID: signifies that there is no operation in progress with an invoke-ID equal to the specified linked ID;
- linked-response-unexpected: signifies that the invoked operation referred to by the linked-ID is not a parent-operation;

- unexpected-child-operation: signifies that the invoked child-operation is not one that the invoked parent-operation referred to by the linked-ID allows.

b) Return-result-problem: user-reject of a RO-RESULT indication primitive with values:

- unrecognized-invocation: signifies that no operation with the specified invoke-ID is in progress;
- result-response-unexpected: signifies that the invoked operation does not report a result;
- mistyped-result: signifies that the type of the result parameter supplied is not that agreed between the ROSE-users.

c) Return-error-problem: user-reject of a RO-ERROR indication primitive with values:

- unrecognized-invocation: signifies that no operation with the specified invoke-ID is in progress;
- error-response-unexpected: signifies that the invoked operation does not report failure;
- unrecognized-error: signifies that the reported error is not one of those agreed between the ROSE-users;
- unexpected-error: signifies that the reported error is not one that the invoked operation may report;
- mistyped-parameter: signifies that the type of the error parameter supplied is not that agreed between the ROSE-users.

7.5 Provider-reject

7.5.1 Purpose

The provider-reject procedure is used to inform the ROSE user and the peer ROPM, if an ROPM detects a problem.

7.5.2 APDUs used

The provider-reject procedure uses the RO-REJECT (RORJ) APDU. This RORJ APDU is used in addition by the user-reject procedure.

The fields of the RORJ APDU used for the provider-reject procedure are listed in table 6.

Table 6 - RORJ APDU fields used for provider-reject

Field name	Pre-sence	Source	Sink
Invoke-ID	M	sp	ind
Problem (choice of): General-problem	M	sp sp	ind ind

7.5.3 Provider-reject procedure

This procedure is driven by the following events:

- an unacceptable APDU as user-data of a transfer indication primitive;
- a RORJ APDU with the problem parameter choice general-problem as user-data of a transfer indication primitive;
- unsuccessful APDU transfer (e.g. association abort).

7.5.3.1 Unacceptable APDU

The receiving ROPM receives an APDU from its peer as user data on a transfer indication primitive. If any of the fields of the APDU (except RORJ APDU) are unacceptable to this ROPM, it forms a RORJ APDU with the problem field choice general-problem and the invoke-ID of the rejected APDU. The receiving ROPM issues a transfer request primitive. The user-data parameter of the transfer request primitive contains the RORJ APDU.

If the received unacceptable APDU is a RORJ APDU no new RORJ APDU is formed and transferred. In this case, or after the rejection of a locally specified number of APDUs, the application-association is released abnormally.

If the application-association is not released abnormally, the receiving ROPM waits either for a transfer indication primitive from the transfer service-provider or any other primitive from the requestor.

7.5.3.2 RORJ APDU

The receiving ROPM receives a RORJ APDU from its peer as user-data on a transfer indication primitive. If any of the fields of the RORJ APDU are unacceptable to this ROPM, the provider-reject procedure for an unacceptable APDU is performed.

If the RORJ APDU is acceptable to the accepting ROPM and the problem field of the RORJ APDU indicates a general-problem, it issues an RO-REJECT-P indication primitive to the acceptor. The RO-REJECT-P indication primitive parameters (invoke-ID and reject-reason) are derived from the RORJ APDU.

The receiving ROPM waits either for a transfer indication primitive from the transfer service-provider or any other primitive from the acceptor.

7.5.3.3 Unsuccessful APDU transfer

If a sending ROPM is not able to transfer an APDU by means of the transfer request primitive (e.g. in the case of abnormal association release), the sending ROPM issues a RO-REJECT-P indication primitive to the requestor for each APDU not yet transferred.

The RO-REJECT-P indication primitive parameter returned-parameters contains the parameters of the RO-INVOKE request, RO-RESULT request, RO-ERROR request or RO-REJECT-U request primitives.

After all returned-parameters of the APDUs not transferred have been issued to the requestor, the application-association, if it still exists, is released abnormally.

7.5.4 Use of the RORJ APDU fields

The RORJ fields are used as follows.

7.5.4.1 Invoke-ID

This is the invoke-ID field of a rejected APDU and the invoke-ID parameter of the RO-REJECT-P indication service primitive. The type and value of this field may be NULL, if the invoke-ID field of the rejected APDU is not detectable. In this case, the invoke-ID parameter of the RO-REJECT-P indication primitive is omitted.

7.5.4.2 Problem: general-problem

This is the problem parameter value of the RO-REJECT-P indication primitive. The values used by the provider-reject procedure are

- d) General-problem: provider-reject of an APDU with values:
- unrecognized-APDU: signifies that the type of the APDU, as evidenced by its type identifier, is not one of the four defined by this part of ISO/IEC 9072;
 - mistyped-APDU: signifies that the structure of the APDU does not conform to this part of ISO/IEC 9072;
 - badly-structured-APDU: signifies that the structure of the APDU does not conform to the standard notation and encoding, defined in ISO 8824 and ISO 8825.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC 9072-2: 1989

8 Mapping to used services.

This clause defines how a ROPM transfers APDUs by means of

- a) the RTSE services, or
- b) the presentation-service.

Subclause 8.1 defines the mapping on the RTSE services, and 8.2 defines the mapping on the presentation-service.

Identification of the named abstract syntax in use is assumed for all ROSE services and is mapped onto used services, however this is a local matter and outside the scope of this part of ISO/IEC 9072.

8.1 Mapping on the RTSE services

This subclause defines how the RTSE service primitives described in ISO/IEC 9066-1 are used by the ROPM. Table 7 defines the mapping of the ROSE service primitives and APDUs to the RTSE service primitives.

8.1.1 Managing the Turn

A ROPM shall possess the Turn before it can use the RT-TRANSFER service. The ROPM without the Turn may issue a RT-TURN-PLEASE request primitive the priority parameter of which reflects the highest priority APDU awaiting transfer.

The ROPM which has the Turn, may issue a RT-TURN-GIVE request primitive when it has no further APDUs to transfer. It shall issue a RT-TURN-GIVE request primitive in response to an RT-TURN-PLEASE indication when it has no

further APDUs to transfer of priority equal to or higher than that indicated in the RT-TURN-PLEASE indication primitive. If it has APDUs of lower priority still to transfer, it may issue a RT-TURN-PLEASE request whose priority reflects the highest priority APDU remaining to be transferred.

8.1.1.1 Use of the RT-TURN-PLEASE service

The ROPM issues the RT-TURN-PLEASE request primitive to request the Turn. It may do so only if it does not already possess the Turn. The RT-TURN-PLEASE service is a non-confirmed service.

The use of the RT-TURN-PLEASE service parameters is as follows:

Priority This reflects the highest priority APDU awaiting transfer

8.1.1.2 Use of the RT-TURN-GIVE service

The ROPM issues the RT-TURN-GIVE request primitive to relinquish the Turn to its peer. It may do so only if it possess the Turn. The RT-TURN-GIVE service is a non-confirmed service with no parameters.

8.1.2 APDU transfer

Each APDU is transferred as user-data of the RT-TRANSFER service. The ROPM only issues an RT-TRANSFER request primitive, if the ROPM possess the Turn, and if there is no outstanding RT-TRANSFER confirm primitive.

Table 7 - RTSE mapping overview

ROSE service	APDU	RTSE service
RO-INVOKE request / indication	ROIV	RT-TRANSFER request / indication / confirm
RO-RESULT request / indication	RORS	RT-TRANSFER request / indication / confirm
RO-ERROR request / indication	ROER	RT-TRANSFER request / indication / confirm
RO-REJECT-U request / indication	RORJ	RT-TRANSFER request / indication / confirm
RO-REJECT-P indication	RORJ	RT-TRANSFER request / indication / confirm
managing the Turn	-	RT-TURN-PLEASE request / indication
	-	RT-TURN-GIVE request / indication

8.1.2.1 Use of the RT-TRANSFER service

The RT-TRANSFER service is a confirmed service.

The use of the RT-TRANSFER request primitive parameters is as follows:

APDU The APDU is to be transferred. Its maximum size is not restricted in this mapping.

Transfer-time This is specified by a local rule of the sending ROPM. It may be related to the priority of the APDU.

The use of the RT-TRANSFER indication primitive parameters is as follows:

APDU The APDU is transferred. Its maximum size is not restricted in this mapping.

The use of the RT-TRANSFER confirm primitive parameters is as follows:

APDU The APDU is not transferred within the transfer-time. This parameter is only provided, if the value of the result parameter is "APDU-not-transferred". In this case the ROPM issues a RO-REJECT-P indication primitive

with the parameter returned-parameters.

Result The parameter value "APDU-transferred" indicates a positive confirm, the parameter value "APDU-not-transferred" indicates a negative confirm.

8.2 Mapping on the Presentation-service

This subclause defines how the presentation-service primitives described in ISO 8822 are used by the ROPM. Table 8 defines the mapping of the ROSE service primitives and APDUs to the presentation-service primitives.

8.2.2 APDU transfer

Each APDU is transferred as user-data of the P-DATA service.

8.2.2.1 Use of the P-DATA service

The P-DATA service is an non-confirmed service.

The use of the P-DATA request and P-DATA indication primitive parameters is as follows:

User Data The APDU is to be transferred. Its maximum size is not restricted in this mapping.

Table 8 - Presentation-service mapping overview

ROSE service	APDU	Presentation service
RO-INVOKE request / indication RO-RESULT request / indication RO-ERROR request / indication RO-REJECT-U request / indication RO-REJECT-P indication	ROIV RORS ROER RORJ RORJ	P-DATA request / indication P-DATA request / indication P-DATA request / indication P-DATA request / indication P-DATA request / indication

9 Abstract syntax definition of APDUs

The abstract syntax of each ROSE APDU is specified in this clause using the abstract syntax notation of ISO 8824 and is shown in figure 1.

```

Remote-Operations-APDUs { joint-iso-ccitt remote-operations(4) apdus(1)}
DEFINITIONS ::=
BEGIN
EXPORTS rOSE, InvokeIDType;
--the following macros are used as defined in Figure 4 of ISO 9072/1
IMPORTS OPERATION, ERROR FROM Remote-Operation-Notation { joint-iso-ccitt remote-operations(4) notation(0)}
APPLICATION-SERVICE-ELEMENT FROM Remote-Operations-Notation-extension { joint-iso-ccitt
remote-operations(4) notation-extension (2) };

rOSE APPLICATION-SERVICE-ELEMENT ::= {joint-iso-ccitt remote-operations(4) aseID (3)}

-- APDUs
-- Types and values of operations and errors are defined in an ROSE-user protocol
-- specification using the RO-notation. Operation values are either of object identifier type or
-- of integer type. If integer types are used they shall be distinct within an abstract syntax.
-- Error values are either of object identifier type or integer types. If integer types are used they
-- shall be distinct within an abstract syntax. There is no object identifier specified for the
-- abstract syntax name for ROSE. However all ASN.1 data types defined in this module
-- shall be included in the named abstract syntax defined in the ROSE-user protocol
-- specification.

ROSEapdus ::= CHOICE {
    roiv-apdu [1] IMPLICIT ROIVapdu,
    rors-apdu [2] IMPLICIT RORSapdu,
    roer-apdu [3] IMPLICIT ROERapdu,
    rorj-apdu [4] IMPLICIT RORJapdu}

-- ROSE Protocol specification continued

```

Figure 1 (Part 1 of 3) - Abstract syntax specification of ROSE protocol

-- ROSE Protocol specification continued

-- APDU types

```

ROIVapdu      ::= SEQUENCE {
                    invokeID InvokeIDType,
                    linked-ID [0] IMPLICIT InvokeIDType OPTIONAL,
                    operation-value OPERATION,
                    argument ANY DEFINED BY operation-value OPTIONAL}
-- ANY is filled by the single ASN.1 data type following the
-- key word ARGUMENT in the type definition of a
-- particular operation.

InvokeIDType  ::= INTEGER

RORSapdu      ::= SEQUENCE {
                    invokeID InvokeIDType,
                    SEQUENCE{ operation-value OPERATION,
                               result ANY DEFINED BY operation-value
                               -- ANY is filled by the single ASN.1 data type
                               -- following the key word RESULT in the type
                               -- definition of a particular operation.
                             } OPTIONAL }

ROERapdu      ::= SEQUENCE {
                    invokeID InvokeIDType,
                    error-value ERROR,
                    parameter ANY DEFINED BY error-value OPTIONAL}
-- ANY is filled by the single ASN.1 data type following the
-- key word PARAMETER in the type definition of a
-- particular error.

RORJapdu      ::= SEQUENCE {
                    invokeID CHOICE {InvokeIDType, NULL},
                    problem CHOICE {
                        [0] IMPLICIT GeneralProblem,
                        [1] IMPLICIT InvokeProblem,
                        [2] IMPLICIT ReturnResultProblem,
                        [3] IMPLICIT ReturnErrorProblem}}

-- ROSE Protocol specification continued

```

Figure 1 (Part 2 of 3) - Abstract syntax specification of ROSE protocol

-- ROSE Protocol specification continued

GeneralProblem ::= **INTEGER** { -- ROSE-provider detected
unrecognisedAPDU (0),
mistypedAPDU (1),
badlyStructuredAPDU (2)}

InvokeProblem ::= **INTEGER** { -- ROSE-user detected
duplicateInvocation (0),
unrecognisedOperation (1),
mistypedArgument (2),
resourceLimitation (3),
initiatorReleasing (4),
unrecognizedLinkedID (5),
linkedResponseUnexpected (6),
unexpectedChildOperation (7)}

ReturnResultProblem ::= **INTEGER** { -- ROSE-user detected
unrecognisedInvocation (0),
resultResponseUnexpected (1),
mistypedResult (2)}

ReturnErrorProblem ::= **INTEGER** { -- ROSE-user detected
unrecognisedInvocation (0),
errorResponseUnexpected (1),
unrecognisedError (2),
unexpectedError (3),
mistypedParameter (4)}

END -- of ROSE Protocol specification

Figure 1 (Part 3 of 3) - Abstract syntax specification of ROSE protocol

10 Conformance

An implementation claiming conformance to this part of ISO/IEC 9072 shall comply with the requirements given in 10.1 to 10.3.

10.1 Statement requirements

An implementor shall state the application context for which conformance is claimed, including whether the system supports the mapping of ROSE onto RTSE, onto the presentation-service, or both.

10.2 Static requirements

The system shall conform to the abstract syntax definition of APDUs defined in clause 9.

10.3 Dynamic requirements

The system shall

- a) conform to the elements of procedure defined in clause 7;
- b) conform to the mappings to the used services, for which conformance is claimed, as defined in clause 8.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC 9072-2: 1989

Annex A

(normative)

ROPM state tables

A.1 General

This annex defines a single Remote Operations Protocol Machine (ROPM) in terms of a state table. The state table shows the interrelationship between the state of an application-association, the incoming events that occur in the protocol, the actions taken, and, finally the resultant state of the application-association.

The ROPM state table does not constitute a formal definition of the ROPM. It is included to provide a more precise specification of the elements of procedure defined in clause 7 and 8.

This annex contains the following tables:

- a) Table A.1 specifies the abbreviated name, source, and name / description of each incoming event. The sources are
 - 1) ROSE-user (ROSE-user);
 - 2) peer ROPM (ROPM-peer);
 - 3) ROPM excluding the transfer part (ROPM);
 - 4) transfer part of the ROPM (ROPM-TR);
 - 5) either Presentation service-provider (PS-provider) and the Association Control Service Element (ACSE), or the Reliable Transfer Service Element (RTSE).
- b) Table A.2 specifies the abbreviated name of each state of the ROPM.
- c) Table A.3 specifies the abbreviated name of each state of the ROPM-TR.
- d) Table A.4 specifies the abbreviated name, target, and name / description of each outgoing event. The targets are
 - 1) ROSE-user (ROSE-user);
 - 2) peer ROPM (ROPM-peer);
 - 3) ROPM excluding the transfer part (ROPM);

- 4) transfer part of the ROPM (ROPM-TR); and
 - 5) either Presentation service-provider (PS-provider) and the Association Control Service Element (ACSE), or the Reliable Transfer Service Element (RTSE).
- e) Table A.5 specifies the predicates.
 - f) Table A.6 specifies the ROPM state table using the abbreviations of the above tables.
 - g) Table A.7 specifies the ROPM-TR state table using the abbreviations of the above tables, if the RTSE is included in the application context.
 - h) Table A.8 specifies the ROPM-TR state table using the abbreviations of the above tables, if the RTSE is not included in the application context.

A.2 Conventions

The intersection of an incoming event (row) and a state (column) forms a cell.

In the state table, a blank cell represents the combination of an incoming event and a state that is not defined for the ROPM. (See A.3.1)

A non-blank cell represents an incoming event and a state that is defined for the ROPM. Such a cell contains one or more action lists. An action list may be either mandatory or conditional. If a cell contains a mandatory action list, it is the only action list in the cell.

A mandatory action list contains:

- a) optionally one or more outgoing events, and
- b) an resultant state.

A conditional action list contains:

- a) a predicate expression comprising predicates and Boolean operators ($\neg$

represents the Boolean NOT), and

- b) a mandatory action list (this mandatory action list is used only if the predicate expression is true).

A.3 Actions to be taken by the ROPM

The ROPM state table defines the action to be taken by the ROPM in terms of an optional outgoing event and the resultant state of the application-association.

A.3.1 Invalid Intersections

Blank cells indicate an invalid intersection of an incoming event and state. If such an intersection occurs, one of the following actions shall be taken:

- a) If the incoming event comes from the ROSE-user, any action taken by the ROPM is a local matter.

- b) If the incoming event is related to a received APDU, PS-provider, ACSE, or RTSE; either the ROPM issues an AA-ABreq event to the ROPM-TR, or the ROPM-TR issues an ABORTreq to either the RTSE or ACSE and an AA-ABind to the ROPM.

A.3.2 Valid Intersections

If the intersection of the state and incoming event is valid, one of the following actions shall be taken:

- a) If the cell contains a mandatory action list, the ROPM takes the actions specified.
- b) If a cell contains one or more conditional action lists, for each predicate expression that is true, the ROPM takes the actions specified. If none of the predicate expressions are true, the ROPM takes one of the actions defined in A.3.1.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC 9072-2:1989

Table A.1 (Part 1 of 2) - Incoming event list

Abbreviated name	Source	Name and description
AA-ESTAB	RTSE	positive RT-OPEN response primitive or positive RT-OPEN confirm primitive
	ACSE	positive A-ASSOCIATE response primitive or positive A-ASSOCIATE confirm primitive
RO-INVreq	ROSE-user	RO-INVOKE request primitive
RO-RESreq	ROSE-user	RO-RESULT request primitive
RO-ERRreq	ROSE-user	RO-ERROR request primitive
RO-RJUreq	ROSE-user	RO-REJECT-U request primitive
ROIv	ROPm-peer	valid RO-INVOKE APDU as user data on a TRANSind event
RORS	ROPm-peer	valid RO-RESULT APDU as user data on a TRANSind event
ROER	ROPm-peer	valid RO-ERROR APDU as user data on a TRANSind event
RORJu	ROPm-peer	valid RO-REJECT APDU (user-reject) as user data on a TRANSind event
RORJp	ROPm-peer	valid RO-REJECT APDU (provider-reject with General-problem) as user data on a TRANSind event
APDUua	ROPm-peer	Unacceptable APDU as user data on a TRANSind event
TRANSind	ROPm-TR	transfer indication of an APDU
TRANSreq	ROPm	transfer request for an APDU
P-DATAind	PS provider	P-DATA indication primitive
RT-TRind	RTSE	RT-TRANSFER indication primitive
RT-TRcnf+	RTSE	positive RT-TRANSFER confirm primitive
RT-TRcnf-	RTSE	negative RT-TRANSFER confirm primitive
RT-TPind	RTSE	RT-TURN-PLEASE indication primitive
RT-TGind	RTSE	RT-TURN-GIVE indication primitive

Table A.1 (Part 2 of 2) - Incoming event list

Abbreviated name	Source	Name and description
AA-REL	RTSE	RT-CLOSE response primitive or RT-CLOSE confirm primitive
	ACSE	positive A-RELEASE response primitive or A-RELEASE confirm primitive
AA-ABreq	ROPM	abort application-association
AA-ABind	ROPM-TR	application-association aborted
ABORTind	RTSE	RT-P-ABORT indication primitive or the RT-U-ABORT indication primitive
	ACSE	A-ABORT indication primitive or A-P-ABORT indication primitive

Table A.2 - ROPM states

Abbreviated name	Name and description
STA01	idle; unassociated
STA02	associated

Table A.3 - ROPM-TR states

Abbreviated name	Name and description
STA10	idle; unassociated
STA20	associated, token assigned, no transfer
STA21	associated, token assigned, transfer in progress
STA22	associated, token not assigned, no transfer
STA23	associated, token not assigned, transfer required
STA100	idle; unassociated
STA200	associated