

First edition
2011-04-01

AMENDMENT 1
2012-07-15

**Animal and vegetable fats and oils —
Determination of trace elements by
inductively coupled plasma optical
emission spectroscopy (ICP–OES)**

AMENDMENT 1

*Corps gras d'origines animale et végétale — Détermination des
éléments traces par spectrométrie d'émission optique à plasma induit
par haute fréquence (ICP–OES)*

AMENDEMENT 1



Reference number
ISO/TS 21033:2011/Amd.1:2012(E)

© ISO 2012



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2012

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

In other circumstances, particularly when there is an urgent market requirement for such documents, a technical committee may decide to publish other types of document:

- an ISO Publicly Available Specification (ISO/PAS) represents an agreement between technical experts in an ISO working group and is accepted for publication if it is approved by more than 50 % of the members of the parent committee casting a vote;
- an ISO Technical Specification (ISO/TS) represents an agreement between the members of a technical committee and is accepted for publication if it is approved by 2/3 of the members of the committee casting a vote.

An ISO/PAS or ISO/TS is reviewed after three years in order to decide whether it will be confirmed for a further three years, revised to become an International Standard, or withdrawn. If the ISO/PAS or ISO/TS is confirmed, it is reviewed again after a further three years, at which time it must either be transformed into an International Standard or be withdrawn.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Amendment 1 to ISO/TS 21033:2011 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 34, *Food products*, Subcommittee SC 11, *Animal and vegetable fats and oils*.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/TS 21033:2011/Amd 1:2012

Animal and vegetable fats and oils — Determination of trace elements by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP–OES)

AMENDMENT 1

Page 3, 9.1.3

Most instruments no longer have a mercury lamp and do not use the procedure as written. Most modern instruments have a resolution of 0,005 nm to 0,015 nm, so a more precise indication of the appropriate lines is required. The data for P (taken directly from the AOCS Official Method Ca 20-99^[7]) and S should be added to the table. Thus, this subclause and Table 1 should be replaced with the following.

9.1.3 The instrument is ignited and allowed to warm. Profile the instrument according to the manufacturer's instructions. Elements can be detected at the major emission lines (see Table 1). Additional emission lines and equipment set up instructions are given in EN 14538:2006^[6].

Table 1 — Major emission lines and limits of detection

Element	Current limits of detection	Major emission line(s)		
	mg/kg	nm		
Aluminium	— ^a	167,078	308,215	
Barium	— ^a	455,404		
Boron	— ^a	249,773		
Cadmium	— ^a	226,502	214,441	228,802
Calcium	0,05	315,887	393,366	317,933
Chrome	— ^a	267,716	284,325	283,563
Copper	0,05	324,754	327,396	
Iron	0,05	259,940	238,204	261,187
Lead	— ^a	220,353		
Magnesium	0,05	285,213	279,553	280,270
Manganese	— ^a	257,611	259,373	
Molybdenum	— ^a	281,615	202,095	
Nickel	0,05	231,604	221,648	341,476
Phosphorus	0,05	213,618	178,287	177,495
Silicon	0,1	251,611	288,158	
Silver	— ^a	328,068		
Sodium	0,1	588,995		
Sulfur	1	180,731		
Tin	— ^a	242,949		
Titanium	— ^a	334,941	323,452	336,121
Vanadium	— ^a	309,311	311,071	
Zinc	— ^a	202,613	213,856	

^a Not reported at the time of publication.

Page 4, 9.2.2

Replace the third paragraph with the following text.

Instruments that are capable of simultaneous detection of multiple elements can achieve improved precision and accuracy by the inclusion of an internal standard in the analysis. If an internal standard is used, it should be incorporated as part of the dilution step. Typically, the resultant dilution should contain 10 mg/kg yttrium or scandium. Thus, under the dilution sequence for sampling (see 9.1.1 and 9.4), the diluent should contain 20 mg/kg internal standard yielding 10 mg/kg yttrium or scandium in the 1 + 1 dilution to accomplish this.

Page 4, Clause 10

Replace the text in this clause with the following.

Computation is a feature of most instrument programs. Area counts from known standards are inserted into a linear regression formula versus the sample concentration. From this relationship, concentrations may be determined from the area counts of the samples.

Simultaneous instruments can usually accommodate internal standard calculations. If an internal standard is used, the ratio of the counts from the standard divided by the area counts of the internal standard are inserted into the linear regression formula.

It is important to include the correct dilution factor.

Page 6, Annex A

Add a new heading under the annex title.

A.1 AOCS trial, 1999

Add A.2 after Table A.1.

A.2 DGF collaborative trial, 2011

A.2.1 Data from most participating laboratories

Further precision data were established through a collaborative trial conducted in 2011 by the DGF (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Fettwissenschaft). Data are analysed according to ISO 5725.1:1994^[2] and ISO 5725-2:1994^[3] guidelines. In this trial, 14 laboratories from Austria (1), Germany (12), and the USA (1) participated, but not all laboratories analysed all elements.

Tables A.2 to A.9 list the different levels of Al, Ca, Cd, Na, Cr, Ni, Cu, Mg, Fe, P, Pb, Si, and Zn.

Table A.2 — Results for different levels of aluminium and calcium

Parameter	Sample					
	Al	Ca				
Number of participating laboratories, N_1	8	12	12	12	12	12
Number of laboratories retained after eliminating outliers, n	8	11	10	11	12	12
Number of individual test results of all laboratories on each sample	16	22	20	22	24	24
Mean value, $\bar{w}$, mg/kg	0,120	0,064	0,082	0,114	1,384	25,237
Repeatability standard deviation, s_r , mg/kg	0,009	0,003	0,006	0,006	0,043	0,397
Repeatability coefficient of variation, $C_{V,r}$, %	7,2	4,1	7,3	4,9	3,1	1,6
Repeatability limit, r ($s_r \times 2,8$), mg/kg	0,024	0,007	0,017	0,016	0,122	1,110
Reproducibility standard deviation, s_R , mg/kg	0,049	0,029	0,016	0,017	0,098	1,360
Reproducibility coefficient of variation, $C_{V,R}$, %	40,7	45,0	19,7	14,8	7,1	5,4
Reproducibility limit, R ($s_R \times 2,8$), mg/kg	0,137	0,080	0,045	0,047	0,273	3,809

Table A.3 — Results for different levels of cadmium and sodium

Parameter	Sample					
	Cd				Na	
Number of participating laboratories, N_1	9	9	9	9	11	10
Number of laboratories retained after eliminating outliers, n	8	9	9	9	8	9
Number of individual test results of all laboratories on each sample	16	18	18	18	16	18
Mean value, $\bar{w}$, mg/kg	0,037	0,045	0,081	0,117	0,807	1,026
Repeatability standard deviation, s_r , mg/kg	0,004	0,004	0,004	0,006	0,016	0,111
Repeatability coefficient of variation, $C_{V,r}$, %	11,7	9,1	4,3	4,9	2,0	10,8
Repeatability limit, r ($s_r \times 2,8$), mg/kg	0,012	0,011	0,010	0,016	0,046	0,310
Reproducibility standard deviation, s_R , mg/kg	0,006	0,011	0,014	0,017	0,232	0,252
Reproducibility coefficient of variation, $C_{V,R}$, %	16,6	25,1	16,8	14,5	28,8	24,6
Reproducibility limit, R ($s_R \times 2,8$), mg/kg	0,017	0,032	0,038	0,047	0,650	0,707

Table A.4 — Results for different levels of chromium and nickel

Parameter	Sample					
	Cr				Ni	
Number of participating laboratories, N_1	9	9	9	9	9	9
Number of laboratories retained after eliminating outliers, n	9	7	9	9	8	9
Number of individual test results of all laboratories on each sample	18	14	18	18	16	18
Mean value, $\bar{w}$, mg/kg	0,049	0,053	0,098	0,314	0,054	0,097
Repeatability standard deviation, s_r , mg/kg	0,003	0,000	0,000	0,008	0,003	0,006
Repeatability coefficient of variation, $C_{V,r}$, %	6,8	0,0	0,0	2,6	4,6	6,4
Repeatability limit, r ($s_r \times 2,8$), mg/kg	0,009	0,000	0,000	0,023	0,007	0,017
Reproducibility standard deviation, s_R , mg/kg	0,007	0,013	0,012	0,019	0,012	0,017
Reproducibility coefficient of variation, $C_{V,R}$, %	14,2	23,7	12,3	5,9	21,9	17,7
Reproducibility limit, R ($s_R \times 2,8$), mg/kg	0,019	0,035	0,034	0,052	0,033	0,048

Table A.5 — Results for different levels of copper and magnesium

Parameter	Sample					
	Cu		Mg			
Number of participating laboratories, N_1	12	12	13	13	13	13
Number of laboratories retained after eliminating outliers, n	12	12	12	13	13	13
Number of individual test results of all laboratories on each sample	24	24	24	26	26	26
Mean value, $\bar{w}$, mg/kg	0,060	0,066	0,070	0,102	0,458	5,794
Repeatability standard deviation, s_r , mg/kg	0,004	0,007	0,004	0,005	0,016	0,124
Repeatability coefficient of variation, $C_{V,r}$, %	5,9	10,2	5,1	4,7	3,4	2,1
Repeatability limit, r ($s_r \times 2,8$), mg/kg	0,010	0,019	0,010	0,013	0,044	0,348
Reproducibility standard deviation, s_R , mg/kg	0,026	0,028	0,028	0,027	0,038	0,270
Reproducibility coefficient of variation, $C_{V,R}$, %	43,1	41,6	39,6	27,1	8,3	4,7
Reproducibility limit, R ($s_R \times 2,8$), mg/kg	0,073	0,077	0,077	0,077	0,107	0,756

Table A.6 — Results for different levels of iron

Parameter	Sample				
	Fe				
Number of participating laboratories, N_1	12	12	12	12	12
Number of laboratories retained after eliminating outliers, n	11	11	12	10	11
Number of individual test results of all laboratories on each sample	22	22	24	20	22
Mean value, $\bar{w}$, mg/kg	0,055	0,060	0,095	0,213	0,317
Repeatability standard deviation, s_r , mg/kg	0,000	0,005	0,008	0,007	0,008
Repeatability coefficient of variation, $C_{V,r}$, %	0,0	8,8	8,4	3,4	2,5
Repeatability limit, r ($s_r \times 2,8$), mg/kg	0,000	0,015	0,022	0,020	0,022
Reproducibility standard deviation, s_R , mg/kg	0,025	0,022	0,014	0,018	0,016
Reproducibility coefficient of variation, $C_{V,R}$, %	45,2	36,6	14,5	8,5	5,1
Reproducibility limit, R ($s_R \times 2,8$), mg/kg	0,070	0,061	0,038	0,051	0,045

Table A.7 — Results for different levels of phosphorus

Parameter	Sample				
	P				
Number of participating laboratories, N_1	11	11	11	11	11
Number of laboratories retained after eliminating outliers, n	11	10	11	11	11
Number of individual test results of all laboratories on each sample	22	20	22	22	22
Mean value, $\bar{w}$, mg/kg	0,977	3,335	3,464	6,235	43,150
Repeatability standard deviation, s_r , mg/kg	0,032	0,092	0,076	0,098	0,500
Repeatability coefficient of variation, $C_{V,r}$, %	3,3	2,7	2,2	1,6	1,2
Repeatability limit, r ($s_r \times 2,8$), mg/kg	0,090	0,256	0,212	0,273	1,401
Reproducibility standard deviation, s_R , mg/kg	0,236	0,197	0,343	0,463	3,203
Reproducibility coefficient of variation, $C_{V,R}$, %	24,2	5,9	9,9	7,4	7,4
Reproducibility limit, R ($s_R \times 2,8$), mg/kg	0,661	0,553	0,961	1,298	8,970

Table A.8 — Results for different levels of lead and silicon

Parameter	Sample				
	Pb	Si			
Number of participating laboratories, N_1	8	9	9	9	9
Number of laboratories retained after eliminating outliers, n	8	8	8	7	9
Number of individual test results of all laboratories on each sample	16	16	16	14	18
Mean value, $\bar{w}$, mg/kg	0,090	0,035	0,043	0,088	0,515
Repeatability standard deviation, s_r , mg/kg	0,003	0,004	0,003	0,007	0,033
Repeatability coefficient of variation, $C_{V,r}$, %	3,5	10,9	6,6	7,5	6,4
Repeatability limit, r ($s_r \times 2,8$), mg/kg	0,009	0,011	0,008	0,019	0,093
Reproducibility standard deviation, s_R , mg/kg	0,043	0,018	0,021	0,010	0,067
Reproducibility coefficient of variation, $C_{V,R}$, %	47,3	51,3	49,0	11,8	13,0
Reproducibility limit, R ($s_R \times 2,8$), mg/kg	0,119	0,051	0,058	0,029	0,187

Table A.9 — Results for different levels of zinc

Parameter	Sample				
	Zn				
Number of participating laboratories, N_1	9	9	9	9	9
Number of laboratories retained after eliminating outliers, n	8	7	9	8	9
Number of individual test results of all laboratories on each sample	16	14	18	16	18
Mean value, $\bar{w}$, mg/kg	0,038	0,039	0,072	0,079	0,162
Repeatability standard deviation, s_r , mg/kg	0,000	0,000	0,006	0,003	0,003
Repeatability coefficient of variation, $C_{V,r}$, %	0,0	0,0	8,7	3,2	2,1
Repeatability limit, r ($s_r \times 2,8$), mg/kg	0,000	0,000	0,017	0,007	0,009
Reproducibility standard deviation, s_R , mg/kg	0,015	0,019	0,016	0,022	0,022
Reproducibility coefficient of variation, $C_{V,R}$, %	39,7	48,3	22,6	27,5	13,5
Reproducibility limit, R ($s_R \times 2,8$), mg/kg	0,042	0,052	0,045	0,061	0,061

A.2.2 Data from seven participating laboratories only

Tables A.10 to A.12 contain further data for Ag, Mn, Mo, Pb, Ti, Sn, and V, but only from seven laboratories. All of these data are free from outliers.