

SAE The Engineering Society
For Advancing Mobility
Land Sea Air and Space®
INTERNATIONAL

400 Commonwealth Drive, Warrendale, PA 15096-0001

AEROSPACE INFORMATION REPORT

SAE AIR4170

Issued 1991-12-02

Submitted for recognition as an American National Standard

RETICULATED POLYURETHANE FOAM EXPLOSION SUPPRESSION MATERIAL FOR FUEL SYSTEMS AND DRY BAYS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	SCOPE	3
2.	REFERENCES	3
2.1	Applicable Documents	3
2.1.1	SAE Publications	3
2.1.2	Air Force Publications	3
2.1.3	Military Publications	6
2.1.4	ASTM Publications	6
2.1.5	ASME Publications	6
2.2	Applicable Films	6
2.3	Definitions	8
3.	DISCUSSION OF FUEL SYSTEM EXPLOSION SUPPRESSION	9
3.1	History of Reticulated Polyurethane Foam	9
3.2	History of Dry Bay Reticulated Polyurethane Foam	12
3.2.1	Military	13
3.2.2	Nonmilitary	13
3.3	Functional Description of Reticulated Polyurethane Foam	13
3.4	Reticulated Polyurethane Foam Effectiveness	14
3.4.1	Explosion Suppression	14
3.4.2	Slosh Attenuation	15
3.4.3	Hydrodynamic Ram Attenuation	15
3.4.4	Foreign Object Debris Barrier	15
3.4.5	Additional Benefits	16
3.5	General System Design Guidelines	16
3.5.1	Material Selection Guide and Cautions	16
3.5.2	Fully Packed Design Concept	16
3.5.3	Grossly Voided Design Concept	25
3.5.4	Foam/Fuel Compatibility	27
3.5.5	Gunfire Testing and Electrostatic Compatibility	28
3.6	Foam Component/Kit Fabrication Methods	29

SAE Technical Board Rules provide that: "This report is published by SAE to advance the state of technical and engineering sciences. The use of this report is entirely voluntary, and its applicability and suitability for any particular use, including any patent infringement arising therefrom, is the sole responsibility of the user."

SAE reviews each technical report at least every five years at which time it may be reaffirmed, revised, or cancelled. SAE invites your written comments and suggestions.

SAE AIR4170

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

3.6.1	Packaging and Storage of Bulk Material as Received from the Manufacturer	29
3.6.2	Product Data Sheets and MSD Sheets	30
3.6.3	Fabrication	30
3.6.4	Cleaning of Fabricated Components	32
3.6.5	Identification of Components	32
3.6.6	Component Packaging Storage Recommendations	32
3.7	Installation/Removal and Initial Fueling Recommendations	33
3.7.1	Installation	33
3.7.2	Initial Fueling Recommendations	36
3.7.3	Reticulated Polyurethane Foam Kit Removal/Drying	37
3.7.4	Packaging and Storage of Fuel Wetted Components	38
3.7.5	Disposal of Contaminated Components or Kits	38
4.	DISCUSSION OF DRY BAY EXPLOSION SUPPRESSANT MATERIALS	38
4.1	Functional Product Description	38
4.2	General System Design Guidelines	41
4.3	Gunfire Testing	41
4.4	Foam Component Fabrication	41
4.5	Installation and Removal	41
4.6	Disposal of Dry Bay Foam	41
5.	DISPOSAL OF RETICULATED POLYURETHANE FOAM	41
5.1	Disposal of Uncontaminated Waste Foam	42
5.2	Disposal of Contaminated Waste Foam	42
6.	LESSONS LEARNED	42
FIGURE 1	Effect of Operating Pressure on Combustion Pressure Blue Polyether: Coarse Pore	45
FIGURE 2	Fuel Retention of Foam Types	46
FIGURE 3	Foam Volume Swell	46
FIGURE 4	Effect of Operating Pressure on Combustion Pressure Blue Polyether: Fine Pore	47
TABLE 1	Product Classifications	17
TABLE 2	Typical Product Specifications	18
TABLE 3	Minimum Clearance Criteria (Examples) - Dry, Installed Condition	22
TABLE 4	Product Classifications	39
TABLE 5	Typical Product Specifications	40

SAE AIR4170

1. SCOPE:

This document describes the initial development, evolution, and use of reticulated polyurethane foam as an explosion suppression material in fuel tanks and dry bays. It provides historical data, design practice guidelines, references, laboratory test data, and service data gained from past experience.

The products discussed in this document may be referred to as "Safety Foam," "Reticulated Polyurethane Foam," "Baffle and Inerting Material," "Electrostatic Suppression Material," or "SAFOAM." These generic terms for the products discussed in this document are not meant to imply any safety warranty. Each individual design application should be thoroughly proof tested prior to production installation.

2. REFERENCES:

2.1 Applicable Documents:

- 2.1.1 SAE Publications: Available from SAE, 400 Commonwealth Drive, Warrendale, PA 15096-0001.

AIR1662 Minimization of Electrostatic Hazards in Aircraft Fuel Systems
 AIR1664 Aircraft Flexible Tanks - General Design and Installation Recommendations

- 2.1.2 Air Force Publications: Available from Defense Technical Information Center, Defense Logistics Agency, Cameron Station, Alexandria, VA 22304-6145.

Report No. 1819 Development and Testing of Cellular Packing Material,
 (20 May 1966) written by Firestone Coated Fabrics Co. under Air Force Contract No. AF33(615)-3423

ASJ-TM-66-1 Investigation of Polyurethane Foam for Aircraft Fuel
 (November 1966) System Applications

RPL-TDR-64-25 Aerospace Fluid Component Designers Handbook
 (November 1968)

MIL-T-27422B Tank, Fuel, Crash Resistant, Aircraft
 (24 Feb. 1970) (Non-Self-Sealing and Self-Sealing)

ASNJI-70-2 USAF Experience with Polyurethane Foam Inerting
 (May 1970) Material

ENJI-70-10 Effects of Polyurethane Foam on Fuel System
 (November 1970) Contamination

ENJPF-TM-72-1 The Use of Polyurethane Foam for Fuel System Inerting
 (March 1972) (Unpublished Report)

SAE AIR4170

2.1.2 (Continued):

AFAPL-TR-72-12 (March 1972)	Advanced Flame Arrestor Materials and Techniques for Fuel Tank Protection
AFAPL-TR-73-50 (July 1973)	Incendiary Gunfire Simulation Techniques for Fuel Tank Explosion Protection Testing
AFML-TR-73-283 (December 1973)	Environmental Aging of Candidate for Suppressant Dry Bay Area Materials for Aircraft
AFAPL-TR-73-76 (December 1973)	Vulnerability Assessment of JP-4 and JP-8 Under Verticle Gunfire Impact Conditions
AFML-TR-73-278 (January 1974)	A Method to Predict the Service Life of Internal Fuel Cell Baffle Materials
AFAPL-TR-73-124 (February 1974)	Gross Voided Flame Arrestors for Fuel Tank Explosion Protection
ASD-TR-74-34 (September 1974)	Gunfire Effectiveness and Environmental Suitability of Void Filler Materials
AFAPL-TR-74-126 (July 1975)	Void Filler Ballistic Fire Protection for Aircraft Fuel System Dry Bays
AFAPL-TR-75-93 (July 1975)	Integrated Aircraft Fuel Tank Fire and Explosion Protection Systems Phase I and II
AFFDL-TR-76-98 (January 1978)	Ballistic Evaluation of Aircraft Explosion Suppression Materials
AFAPL-TR-78-89 (December 1978)	Factors Affecting Electrostatic Hazards
ENFEF-TM-78-08 (June 1979)	Guidelines for Use in Design, Fabrication, Installation and Testing of Reticulated Foam Kits
Bulletin 4-9 Part 1 (September 1979)	The Shock and Vibration Bulletin. A publication of The Shock and Vibration Information Center, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C.
ENFEF-TM-79-08 (December 1979)	Qualification Test Results for Scott Paper Co., Blue Hybrid Polyether Foam
AFWAL-TR-80-4135 (September 1980)	Compatibility of Reticulated Foams in Typical Turbine Fuels with Currently Approved Additives
Memorandum Report ARBRL-MR-03246 (February 1983)	Hydrodynamic Ram Attenuation

SAE AIR4170

2.1.2 (Continued):

Letter report
(POSH) Dept. of
the Air Force
Wright-Patterson
AFB
(14 June 1983)

C-130 Fuel Tank Shroud Electrostatic Evaluation,
Summary of Results

AFWAL-TR-83-3114
(September 1983)

Survivable Aircraft Fuel System Engineering Design
Guide (SAFE/DG)

AFWAL-TM-84-237-
FIESE
(December 1984)

A Comparison of White Reticulated Foam to Rigid Foam
as Fire Protection for Aircraft Dry Bays

AFWAL-TR-84-2048
(December 1984)

Damage Caused to Polyurethane Foams by Aging,
Simulated Sunlight Exposure, Heat and Fire

AFWAL-TM-85-237-
FIESL
(September 1985)

A Comparison of Electric Field Measurements on
Conductive and Nonconductive Explosion Suppressant
Foams in C-130 External Fuel Tanks

AFWAL-TR-85-2060
(January 1986)

Vulnerability Methodology and Protective Measures for
Aircraft Fire and Explosion Hazards

AFWAL-TR-82-2022
(March 1986)

Electrostatic Hazards of Urethane Packed Fuel Tanks

86-MMSRE-011
(12 May 1986)

Baffle and Inerting, Conductive, Aircraft Fuel Tank

AFWAL-TR-86-2077
(November 1986)

Study of Aeropropulsion Lab Pressure Drop Rig and
Recommended Test Procedure

ESL-TR-84-63
(January 1987)

Effective Disposal of Fuel Cell Polyurethane Foam

TE-ENFE-86-1
(1 Nov. 1987)

Electrically Conductive Explosion Suppression Material,
General Exhibit for

MS-MMSR-87-100
(12 Sept. 1988)

A-10 Aircraft Electrically Conductive Explosion
Suppression Material, Material Specification for

USAAVSCOM
TR 89-D-16
(February 1990)

Aircraft Fuel System Fire and Explosion Suppression
Design Guide

SAE AIR4170

- 2.1.3 Military Publications: Available from Standardization Documents Order Desk, Building 4D, 700 Robbins Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19111-5094.

L-P-378	Plastic Sheet and Strip, Thin Gauge Polyolefin
TT-I-1795	Ink, Marking, Stencil, Opaque
MIL-B-81705	Barrier Materials, Flexible, Electrostatic - Free, Heat Sealable
MIL-B-83054 B (17 May 1978)	Baffle and Inerting Material, Aircraft Fuel Tank
MIL-F-87168 (20 June 1983)	Fire and Explosion Hazard Protection Systems, Aircraft, General Specification for
MIL-G-5572	Gasoline, Aviation, Grades 80/87, 100/130, 115/145
MIL-T-5578	Tank, Fuel, Aircraft, Self-sealing
MIL-T-5624	Turbine Fuel, Aviation, Grades JP-4 and JP-5
MIL-T-6396	Tank Aircraft Propulsion Fluid Systems, Internal, Removable, Nonsealing
MIL-T-83133	Turbine Fuel, Aviation, Grade JP-8
MIL-STD-129	Markings for Shipment and Storage

- 2.1.4 ASTM Publications: Available from ASTM, 1916 Race Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103.

ASTM D 2276-73	Test Methods for Particulate Contamination in Aviation Turbine Fuels
ASTM D 910	Specification for Aviation Gasolines
ASTM D 1655	Specification for Aviation Turbine Fuels

- 2.1.5 ASME Publications: Available from ASME, 345 East 47th Street, New York, NY 10017.

71-GT-54 Effects of Polyurethane Foam on Fuel System Contamination

2.2 Applicable Films:

Ounces of Protection,
Firestone, circa 1960

Firestone accepted the challenge from the auto racing industry to develop safer fuel systems for racing cars. Adaption of flexible bladder used in aircraft to racing cars using foam as the baffling system to attenuate slosh.

Polyurethane Foam for
Explosion Suppression
Air Force - Color/Sound
7 min

Explains use of reticulated polyurethane foam and how it is installed: making, cutting, and stuffing. Shows C-123, RB-57 "Canberra," F-105 "Thunderchief," C-130 and AC-130 "Gunship 2." Shows stuffing wet wing in C-130, toughest type of installation due to intricacy of plumbing in C-130.

SAE AIR4170

2.2 (Continued):

Crashworthy Integral
Fuel Cells,
Photographic
Instrumentation Data
Film, Color/No Sound
12 min

Compares fuel spread of dropped (ruptured) tip tank
with fuel only and then with fuel and foam inside.

The Unseen Storm,
Firestone Tire &
Rubber Co., circa 1970
16MM/10 min/Color/
Sound

Deals with suppressing surge and slosh in fuel
tanks, specifically in competitive racing speed
boats.

Survivability,
Enhancement Through
Aircraft Design
T. O. Reed ASD/ENFEF
May 1979 Copy #6
Unclassified,
16MM/20 min/Color/
Sound

Approximately middle of film deals with fuel tank
protection with foam and dry bay protection with
foam.

Fairchild A-10 Gunfire
Film, Air Force Flight
Dynamics Laboratory,
1973/16MM/Color/Sound/
10 min

Deals with survivability testing of A-9 and A-10 by
the Air Force after the GOA determined that the
craft had a low level of survivability. Foam was
not designed into the fuel cells because the Air
Force had no test results supporting it. So the Air
Force conducted a 20-month evaluation of the fuel
system survivability using external dry bay rigid
foam and internal Safety Foam.

Firestone, US News
Release, circa 1965
16MM/Color/No Sound/
2 min

Firestone brochure and intro to reticulated
polyurethane foam with surge demo and explosion
suppression demo.

Wing Foam Test,
circa 1989

VHS Tape, 10 min
John F. Barnes, Engineering Branch
Naval Weapons Center
China Lake, CA 93555

SAE AIR4170

2.3 Definitions:

RETICULATED POLYURETHANE FOAM: Low density flexible urethane foams characterized by a three-dimensional skeletal structure of interconnected strands with few or no membranes between the strands, containing up to 97% or more of void space.

IN SITU: In natural order - a foam that has a conductive additive included in the chemical foam formulation prior to blowing and curing.

HYDROLYTIC STABILITY: The foam's ability to resist a chemical process of decomposition involving splitting of a bond and the addition of the elements of water. In the case of polyurethane foam the decomposition is usually caused by a cycling of temperature, heat, and humidity.

COALESCE: To unite into a whole, to grow together. In the case of reticulated foam the geometric structure catches the minuscule droplets of fuel, not permitting them to vaporize and combine with oxygen into an explosive mixture.

STOICHIOMETRIC MIXTURE: The optimum ratio of air and fuel required to provide a maximum possible combustion pressure.

SWITCH LOADING - SWITCH REFUELING: Refueling with a grade of fuel different than the residual fuel occupying the tank.

ULLAGE: Vapor space above the fuel surface at any fuel level.

HEI: High Explosive Incendiary Projectile

COMBUSTION PRESSURE: Increase in pressure within the fuel tank due to combustion of a flammable mixture (ΔP in PSID). Sometimes defined or referred as combustion overpressure.

COMBUSTION VOLUME: Percent of ullage space which is ignited by a projectile or some other ignition source.

COMPONENT VOID: Cutout in the foam which provides clearance between the foam and an internal element of the fuel tank (e.g., pump, quantity probe, or fuel inlet). Void Volume = cutout volume - component volume.

DRY BAY: Space (cavity) within the mold line of an aircraft that is normally dry but may contain an explosive gas mixture in the event of combustible fluid leakage due to combat damage or natural causes.

FOAM POROSITY: Numerous, small openings in the foam structure; coarse and fine classifications are indicative of the size of the openings and are based upon air pressure drop tests.

FUEL TANK: Volume in an aircraft designated for carrying fuel. Can be integral, bladder or self sealing, external dropable, or portable tanks.

SAE AIR4170

2.3 (Continued):

OPERATING PRESSURE: The pressure within the fuel system during normal system operation.

OPTIMUM VOID LEVEL/FOAM KIT CONFIGURATION: Foam kit which contains enough foam to protect the tank, yet no more than is necessary.

PLAN VOID: Cutout intentionally designed into the foam for weight reduction and to aid fuel flow through the foam. Void volume = cutout volume.

PSID: Pounds per square inch differential; unit of pressure measurement.

PSIG: Pounds per square inch gage; unit of pressure measurement.

PSIA: Pounds per square inch absolute; unit of pressure measurement.

SINGLE VOID COMBUSTION: Combustion occurs only in the void which is initially ignited, i.e., no propagation.

TOTAL VOID: Sum of plan voids and component voids.

VOID: Absence of foam in a given volume or space (cutout).

3. DISCUSSION OF FUEL SYSTEM EXPLOSION SUPPRESSION:

3.1 History of Reticulated Polyurethane Foam:

In the early 1960s, the USAC (United States Automobile Club) was concerned with the rising number of accidents involving fuel explosions and fires. The primary contributing factors were increasing speeds, and the use of lighter weight materials and structures, which contributed to fuel tanks being ruptured on impact. At this time, USAC approached industry to investigate the cause and to provide a feasible solution that would help suppress explosions and fires. As a result of this development program, a successful crashworthy fuel cell tank system was designed by private industry and implemented by automotive racing associations.

In 1965, as the initial development of reticulated polyurethane foam was completed, and the Viet Nam conflict was escalating, the U.S. Air Force sustained an increasing number of aircraft losses and pilot fatalities due to fuel tank explosions caused by small arms gunfire.

During this period the U.S. Air Force began searching for a solution to reduce vulnerability of the fuel tanks and improve survivability. They approached industry, (airframe manufacturers, bladder manufacturers and a foam manufacturer who had developed the reticulated polyurethane foam system) to determine if this same concept could be applied as an explosion suppressant system in aircraft fuel tanks. Additional research ascertained that reticulated polyurethane foam was an excellent explosion suppressant for aircraft wet wing and bladder fuel tanks. Type I orange reticulated foam gained widespread acceptance by the military for use in F-105s, AC-47s, A-37s, O-2s, B-57s, C-123s, C-130s, helicopters, and other aircraft.

SAE AIR4170

3.1 (Continued):

Although weight and fuel penalties accompanied use of foam they were considered small in relation to the benefits. The military was made aware from the onset that the usable life was estimated to be 5 years for reticulated polyester polyurethane foam (Type I orange).

With Type I orange reticulated polyurethane foam acceptance regarded as a significant advancement in survivability, the U.S. Air Force came back to the foam industry with a request to reduce the weight penalty. As a result of this request, and with additional development effort during the 1970-72 time frame, Type II yellow reticulated polyurethane foam was developed. It exhibited a lower density, with the equivalent explosion suppression protection of Type I orange. Lower density reduced the foam kit weight by 25%. This resulted in additional allowable fuel and munitions to be carried, thus extending the aircraft mission capabilities. The kit designs using Type I and II are considered fully packed systems, but inherently contain up to a 15% void volume.

Paralleling the development program for Type II, yellow reticulated polyurethane foam, a major airframe designer/manufacturer was developing an aircraft fuel system utilizing reticulated foam for a new fighter aircraft design. Their ultimate goal was to provide explosion suppression using a grossly voided reticulated polyurethane foam kit, with up to 70% void volume. The revolutionary design would greatly reduce the weight, and fuel retention penalties compared to traditional fully packed systems. Laboratory testing determined that a finer foam cell structure was required to equal the flame arrestor and explosion suppression capabilities of a fully packed design. A joint research effort between the aircraft manufacturer and the foam producer successfully resulted in Type III, red reticulated polyester polyurethane foam for use in grossly voided explosion suppression systems. This new system greatly enhanced the life cycle costs of aircraft employing this new concept.

In the early 1970s as the Viet Nam conflict was coming to an end, the U.S. Air Force was experiencing degradation of the reticulated polyester polyurethane foam (Type I, orange). As originally anticipated, due to environmental conditions encountered in Southeast Asia, the combination of extreme temperatures, high humidity, and cool evenings accelerated the problem of foam hydrolysis. (See Lesson Learned Section for a detailed discussion on the effects of foam degradation, and resulting contamination of the fuel system.) Again, the U.S. Air Force approached the foam industry to solve the degradation problem. All reticulated polyurethane foams up to this time were of a polyester type, which has inherent limitations with hydrolytic stability. In 1974, a major development breakthrough by the resin chemical producer/suppliers, namely a polymer-polyol resin, became available enabling production of a hybrid polyether type polyurethane foam. Development of Types IV and V reticulated polyether polyurethane foams was accomplished by the original manufacturer of reticulated polyurethane foam and the USAF; installations of Type IV and Type V kits were being used in military systems by 1978.

SAE AIR4170

3.1 (Continued):

Types IV and V provided the equivalent explosion suppression capabilities of the original polyester reticulated polyurethane foam products. At the same time they offered an expectant life 5 to 10 times greater than the earlier polyester reticulated polyurethane foams. All foams were produced in accordance with MIL-B-83054B.

In the late 1970s the U.S. Air Force undertook major retrofit programs, with the installation of blue polyether reticulated polyurethane foam explosion suppression kits in A-10 and, in the early 1980s in the C-130 aircraft. Widespread implementation of both Types IV and V also took place in newly designed aircraft such as the F-15 and F-18.

Beginning in the mid 1970s the U.S. Air Force began experiencing numerous electrostatic ignitions; the problem was confined only to two types of aircraft, the A-10 and C-130. Electrostatic ignitions were reported mostly during cold weather operations. The ignitions occurred during ground refueling operations, in-flight fuel/air purging and during special operating missions being performed. The problem occurred as a result of charge separation due to fuel passing through the foam. Electrostatic charge generation related to aircraft, fuel handling, and electrical storms has always been a major concern. Limited information existed pertaining to electrostatic generation and the associated behavioral relationship between reticulated polyurethane foam installed in fuel cells in combination with the usage of hydrocarbon fuels.

During the 1980s comprehensive research programs were undertaken by the U.S. Air Force, airframe manufacturers, foam manufacturers, and academic research people to investigate the electrostatic phenomenon. Extensive laboratory test programs were conducted to better understand the complex mechanism of electrostatic generation/ignition. The programs included inspection of aircraft, and stationary simulation on actual fuel tankage sections. Once a basic understanding of the mechanics of the electrostatic generation/ignition problem was ascertained, the reticulated foam manufacturers entered into various research and development programs. This work ultimately produced a conductive reticulated polyether type polyurethane foam that would reduce the hazard of electrostatic generation and minimize foam related ignitions in aircraft fuel systems.

Two types of conductive reticulated polyurethane foams were developed by the mid to late 1980s. The initial approach utilized the basic Type IV and Type V foam products, subjecting the base foam to a post-treat process to make it conductive. A second alternative development provided an inherently conductive reticulated polyether type polyurethane foam. Since 1986, the USAF has been utilizing both approaches supplied by the foam industry in sufficient quantities for in-flight service testing and evaluation in C-130 and A-10 aircraft. Types VI and VII conductive reticulated polyurethane foam products have proven to be completely successful in the elimination of the foam electrostatic generation/ignition problem in aircraft fuel tanks.

SAE AIR4170

3.1 (Continued):

In 1987 a new program was initiated by the U.S. Air Force to develop and evaluate a high temperature reticulated foam for use in advanced aircraft. The new material developed as a result of this program can sustain temperatures of 300 °F for an extended period. The new foam material will also meet the USAF's requirements for improved hydrolytic stability and electrical conductivity. The program was successfully completed in 1990 and samples of the material were submitted to the Project Director at the Materials Laboratory, Wright Patterson AFB. The program demonstrated that this product can be produced commercially if there is an existing need.

3.2 History of Dry Bay Reticulated Polyurethane Foam:

Since the early to mid 1970s the U.S. Air Force and private industry have been actively engaged in the engineering, development and installation of reticulated polyurethane foam as a dry bay suppressant material. The earliest known technical report on this subject is Air Force Document Number AFAPL-TR-74-126. The basic concept was to use 25 and 29 pores per inch reticulated polyurethane foams that had been developed for fuel tank explosion suppression.

The use of flexible reticulated polyurethane foam in a dry bay will inhibit the mixing of air and the spray of fuel from leaking tanks or lines, thus minimizing explosion and possible sustained fires. The open pores permit any fuel escaping from the tank to drain to the low points of the aircraft where it can be exhausted overboard. The foam acts as an explosion and fire suppressor in much the same manner as it does inside the tank. Current testing indicates that the support provided to a fuel tank by the external installation of foam reduces the severity of hydrodynamic ram effects.

The application of dry bay foam requires minimum logistic support and provides multi-hit capability. Foam pieces should be designed for installation under 3 to 5% compression. The dry bay foam can be cut to the shape of the bay using the same techniques used for reticulated polyurethane foam.

After the initial acceptance and implementation of reticulated polyurethane dry bay foams as an explosion suppression material for aircraft dry bay protection, further development and testing resulted in use of finer pore foams. Gunfire testing and evaluation in the mid 1980s for the F-15 aircraft proved that a 45 pores per inch white reticulated polyether polyurethane dry bay foam provides maximum protection. The results for this series of gunfire testing may be found in Air Force Document Number AFWAL-TM-84-237-FIESL.

Just as the finer pore (45 ppi) dry bay foam development was completed, the U.S. Navy became interested in the use of this product for the A-6D/E aircraft. They stipulated that it must be a flame retardant-type foam. The fire retardant requirement had a dual purpose. First, the A-6 is a carrier-based aircraft and may be exposed to enemy gunfire while on the carrier deck. The U.S. Navy did not want the risk of dry bay explosion suppressant material

SAE AIR4170

3.2 (Continued):

contributing to a deck fire as a result of combat damage. Secondly, spare dry bay foam components/kit(s), or bulk replacement reticulated polyurethane dry bay foam is stored on shipboard, and the flame retardant precaution was taken in the unlikely event of a shipboard fire. Ultimately, implementation of the Magenta, 45 ppi, fire retardant, reticulated polyurethane dry bay foam began in 1987.

The most recent development of dry bay foam was provided as the result of a helicopter aircraft manufacturer requiring a stiff dry bay explosion suppressant material offering maximum drainability. The desired stiffness provided sufficient support for a bladder type fuel cell. To satisfy this request, a gold, 30 ppi, fire retardant, reticulated polyurethane dry bay foam was qualified for their application.

Other applications utilizing reticulated polyurethane foam explosion suppression technology:

3.2.1 Military:

- a. Round ball spheres of reticulated polyurethane foam kits for single port entry of limited access composite tanks
- b. Aircraft and tracked vehicle refuelers
- c. Armored tracked vehicles
- d. Marine target boats
- e. Hovercraft/landing craft
- f. High speed turbine-powered boats
- g. Unmanned flight platforms
- h. Military wheeled vehicles

3.2.2 Nonmilitary:

- a. Automobile racing
- b. Boat racing
- c. Gas cans
- d. Security limo vehicles
- e. Agricultural aircraft

3.3 Functional Description of Reticulated Polyurethane Foam:

The material is a lightweight reticulated flexible polyurethane foam composed of a skeletal matrix of tiny interconnecting strands which act as a three-dimensional fire screen. Reticulated polyurethane foam used as an explosion suppressor is a combat-proven system refined from experience that will minimize fuel tank overpressure caused by: gunfire; electrical ignition; lightning strikes; and electrostatic discharges. It functions as a multiple hit, passive defense system to reduce vulnerability and increase survivability. The system is always available to protect an aircraft or vehicle operating on a mission or parked in a nonoperating mode, requiring no crew or maintenance action.

SAE AIR4170

3.3 (Continued):

It has the following inherent benefits:

- a. Nothing to turn on
- b. No pilot monitoring
- c. No moving parts
- d. No pressure lines
- e. No pressure relief valves
- f. No explosion sensing devices
- g. No functional components to fail, maintain or monitor other than periodic inspection
- h. No failure modes if properly installed
- i. No need to handle the logistics of large quantities of LN_2 , or the special safety requirements during refueling
- j. No onboard high pressure storage vessels to protect
- k. Self-healing characteristics
- l. Compatible with most standard fuels
- m. Only explosion suppression protection system that is effective for multiple hits to the same tank

It has the following inherent disadvantages:

- a. The weight of the foam (even with gross voiding) may be slightly heavier than other protection systems
- b. The foam reduces usable fuel by the volume it occupies and the fuel that remains trapped in the foam cells
- c. Routine and unscheduled maintenance and inspection of fuel tanks is significantly more complicated since each block of foam is carefully shaped for its unique position in the fuel tank

3.4 Reticulated Polyurethane Foam Effectiveness:

- 3.4.1 Explosion Suppression: Explosion within a fuel tank containing gasoline or kerosene-type fuels can only occur by having a flammable mixture in the ullage with an ignition source: for example, incendiary ammunition penetrating the fuel tank as might occur under combat conditions, static discharges, lightning strikes, switch refueling, and electrical shorts.

Reticulated polyurethane foam is in effect a three-dimensional fire screen, which minimizes the possibility of gasoline and kerosene-type (aircraft type) fuel explosions under one or several of the following theories:

- a. It acts as a heat sink, i.e., it removes energy from the combustion process by absorbing heat.
- b. It mechanically interferes with the compression wave that precedes the flame front in an explosion.

SAE AIR4170

3.4.1 (Continued):

- c. The high surface-to-volume of reticulated polyurethane foam enables the strands to collect or coalesce the droplets of fuel, thus changing the vaporous mixture above the fuel level (ullage), in the tank. Coalescing causes the vaporous mixture to become lean, which minimizes possible explosion.

At the time of initial development and testing of Type I, orange reticulated polyurethane foam by the Air Force, the product's explosion suppression characteristics and effectiveness were tested and evaluated under Air Force contract. Firestone's Report No. 1819, dated 20 May 1966, discusses gunfire testing performed on 55-gallon drums, with and without reticulated polyurethane foam. The movie film titled "Ounces of Prevention" provides visual gunfire testing comparison of 55-gallon drums and actual aircraft fuel tanks with and without "SAFOAM", demonstrating the material's effectiveness.

All types of reticulated polyurethane foam products listed for internal fuel tanks in this document have been subjected to testing per MIL-B-83054B or WPAFB TE-ENFE-86-1 (Nov. 87). The flame arrestor (explosion suppression) characteristics were tested as per MIL-B-83054B (7 May 1978) utilizing the flame tube test described in 4.6.19.

- 3.4.2 Slosh Attenuation: As a surge mitigator, reticulated polyurethane foam attenuates sloshing of fuel and in some cases eliminates the need for structural baffles within a tank. Foam gives the fuel a smooth sine wave motion and reduces rapid redistribution of mass. The two films "Ounces of Prevention" and the "Unseen Storm" contain visual demonstration of the slosh control attained with the use of reticulated polyurethane foam.
- 3.4.3 Hydrodynamic Ram Attenuation: Hydrodynamic ram within a fuel tank or bladder cell is caused when a projectile impacts the exterior structure of a fuel tank. Ram force can be intensified when the tank is penetrated by an HEI delayed detonating-type projectile. The matrix-type structure of reticulated polyurethane foam absorbs a portion of the shock wave as a projectile penetrates a fuel tank. Attenuation of hydrodynamic ram minimizes damage to the fuel tank structure by reducing the overpressure associated with the shock wave and helps to orient the round to prevent tumbling. Reduction of fuel tank structural damage can effectively reduce fuel discharge through the projectile entrance and exit points. The effectiveness of reticulated polyurethane foam in attenuating hydrodynamic ram may be seen in an actual demonstration in the Wright-Patterson AFB film clip titled "Crashworthy Integral Fuel Cells".
- 3.4.4 Foreign Object Debris Barrier: FOD capability of reticulated polyurethane foam materials is not a product specification requirement, but rather an inherent beneficial effect. Reticulated foam is a natural filter. The finer the pore size of the material the greater entrapment of foreign objects and loose debris. The finer pore size foam materials entraps loose debris within a fuel tank and minimizes the amount of debris entering the engine fuel system.

SAE AIR4170

3.4.5 Additional Benefits: Experience and testing with reticulated polyurethane foam has demonstrated that the material can provide a number of added benefits beyond that of internal fire and explosion protection. These added benefits include: reduction in slosh and vibration effects; enhancement of the performance of self-sealing fuel tanks; reduction of the effects of hydrodynamic ram; and a significant reduction in the "blast damage" that results from high explosive incendiary (HEI) ballistic threat. It is important to note that these added benefits are a function of the amount of foam present in the tank. In other words, to take maximum advantage of any or all of these benefits, one would be led to the use of lower voiding levels, resulting in a maximum foam penalty factor for the aircraft. Therefore, the choice of foam and void level becomes a tradeoff between optimum benefits and system penalty. For this reason the designer should consider both sides of the issue and select the foam porosity and void level which best meets the needs of the aircraft (expected usage). Optimized benefits occur with the use of a coarse pore foam with only component voids. However, the associated weight and fuel retention penalties are also maximized.

3.5 General System Design Guidelines:

3.5.1 Material Selection Guide and Cautions: See Tables 1 and 2 for available types of reticulated polyurethane foams used as inerting materials in fuel systems. As a result of Lessons Learned (Section 6) it is recommended that either Type VI or VII be selected for new aircraft designs.

Two proven concepts exist for inerting aircraft fuel tanks with reticulated polyurethane foam:

- a. Fully Packed - utilizing a choice of Types IV or VI coarse pore foams
- b. Grossly Voided - utilizing a choice of Types V or VII fine pore foams

The fully packed concept offers maximum protection and baffling at the expense of weight and fuel retention.

The grossly voided system trades off weight, baffling, and possibly some protection for additional fuel and munition stores.

Both concepts have their merits and a compromise, or a hybrid system can be used employing different concepts for each tank depending on aircraft design, vulnerability, and the ultimate mission of the aircraft.

3.5.2 Fully Packed Design Concept: A fully packed system is defined as one where all potential fuel tank ullage is filled with reticulated polyurethane foam with cutouts for components only. This system is most desirable where minimal or no tank over-pressure can be tolerated. See Figure 1, flame tube data that shows the effect on operating pressure versus combustion pressure, for Type IV, (data should be similar for all coarse pore type products), blue polyether reticulated polyurethane foam. The coarse pore types of reticulated polyurethane foams, (Types I, II, IV, and VI), are intended for fully packed applications. However, as of the issue date for this AIR, it is recommended that one of the conductive coarse pore products

SAE AIR4170

TABLE 1 - Product Classifications

Types	Color	Target Density (lb/ft ³)	Nominal Ppi (Pores/in)	Target Electrical Resistivity	Fuel Tank Explosion Suppression	Slosh Attenuation (Baffling)	Conductive
I-Polyester	Orange	1.8	10	1 x 10 ¹³	X	X	No
II-Polyester	Yellow	1.3	15	8 x 10 ¹³	X	X	No
III-Polyester	Red	1.3	25	8 x 10 ¹³	X	X	No
IV-Polyether	Dark Blue	1.3	15	1 x 10 ¹⁵	X	X	No
V-Polyether	Lt. Blue	1.3	29	1 x 10 ¹⁵	X	X	No
VI-Polyether	Dk. Beige	1.4	15	5 x 10 ⁹	X	X	Yes ATR /1/
VII-Polyether	Lt. Beige	1.4	29	5 x 10 ⁹	X	X	Yes ATR /1/
VI-Polyether	Dk. Black	1.45	15	3 x 10 ¹¹	X	X	Yes MTR /2/
VII-Polyether	Lt. Grey	1.5	29	3 x 10 ¹¹	X	X	Yes MTR /2/

NOTES:

/1/ ATR exhibits conductivity in all temperature ranges (-40 to 160 °F).

/2/ MTR exhibits (insitu conductive product) conductivity in mid temperature ranges (0 to 160 °F).

SAE AIR4170

TABLE 2 - Typical Product Specifications
Physical Properties and Characteristics of Types I through V per MIL-B-83054

Property	Type I	Type II	Type III	Type IV	Type V
Color	Orange	Yellow	Red	Dark Blue	Light Blue
Polyol Type	Polyester	Polyester	Polyester	Polyether	Polyether
Density Range (lb/ft ³)	1.70 to 2.00	1.20 to 1.45	1.20 to 1.45	1.20 to 1.45	1.20 to 1.45
Porosity, pore size (ppi)	7 to 15	8 to 18	20 to 30	8 to 18	24 to 34
Air Pressure Drop (in of water)	0.190 to 0.285	0.140 to 0.230	0.250 to 0.330	0.14 to 0.230	0.270 to 0.370
Tensile Strength (psi) Min	15	15	15	10	15
Tensile Strength at 200%					
Elongation (psi) Min	10	10	10	--	--
Ultimate Elongation (%) Min	220	220	220	100	100
Tear Resistance (lb/in) Min	5	5	5	3	3
Constant Deflection Compression Set (%) Max	30	35	35	30	30
Compression Load Deflection at					
25% Deflection (psi) Min	0.40	0.30	0.30	0.35	0.35
65% Deflection (psi) Min	0.60	0.50	0.50	0.60	0.60
Fuel Displacement (Max Vol. %)	3.0	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
Fuel Retention (Max Vol. %)	2.5	2.5	4.5	2.5	5.0
Flammability (in/min) Max	10	15	15	15	15
Extractable Materials (Wt. %) Max	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Low Temperature Flexibility (-55 °F)	/1/	/1/	/1/	/1/	/1/
Entrained Solid Contamination (mg/ft ³) Max	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0
Steam Autoclave Exposure (% Tensile Loss) Max					
Type I, II, III 5 h @ 250 °F	40	40	40	--	--
Type IV and V 10 h @ 250 °F	--	--	--	30	30
Typical Electrical Resistivity (OHM-CM)	1 x 10 ¹³	8 x 10 ¹³	8 x 10 ¹³	1 x 10 ¹⁵	1 x 10 ¹⁵
Projected Life Expectancy (Years)	2 to 10	2.5 to 10	2.5 to 10	10 to 50	10 to 50
Recommended for New Aircraft Design Applications	No	No	No	No	No

SAE AIR4170

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Property	Type VI	Type VII	Type VI (In Situ Grade)	Type VII (In Situ Grade)
Color	Dark Beige	Light Beige	Black	Grey
Polyol Type	Polyether	Polyether	Polyether	Polyether
Density Range (lb/ft ³)	1.20 to 1.55	1.20 to 1.55	1.20 to 1.55	1.20 to 1.55
Porosity, pore size (ppi)	8 to 18	24 to 34	8 to 18	24 to 34
Air Pressure Drop (in of water)	.140 to .230	.270 to .370	.140 to .230	.270 to .370
Tensile Strength (psi) Min	10	15	10	15
Ultimate Elongation (%) Min	100	100	100	100
Tear Resistance (lb/in) Min	3	3	3	3
Constant Deflection Compression Set (%) Max	45	45	45	45
Compression Load Deflection at				
25% Deflection (psi) Min	.35	.35	.35	.35
65% Deflection (psi) Min	.60	.60	.60	.60
Fuel Displacement (Max Vol. %)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
Fuel Retention (Max Vol. %)	2.5	5.0	2.5	5.0
Flammability (in/min) Max	15	15	15	15
Extractable Materials (Wt. %) Max	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Low Temperature Flexibility (-55 °F)	1/1	1/1	1/1	1/1
Entrained Solid Contamination (mg/ft ³) Max	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0
Steam Autoclave Exposure (% Tensile Loss) Max				
Types VI and VII 10 h @ 250 °F	30	30	30	30
Electrical Resistivity (OHM-CM)	1 x 10 ⁷	1 x 10 ⁷	1 x 10 ⁷	1 x 10 ⁷
	to	to	to	to
	5 x 10 ¹¹	5 x 10 ¹¹	5 x 10 ¹¹	5 x 10 ¹¹
	/2/	/2/	/2/	/2/
	10 to 50	10 to 50	10 to 50	10 to 50
	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Resistivity Uniformity				
Projected Life Expectancy (Years)				
Recommended for New Aircraft Design Applications				

NOTES:

/1/ No cracking or braking of strands.

/2/ Two orders of magnitude from top of foam bun to bottom of foam bun.

SAE AIR4170

3.5.2 (Continued):

be used: see Table 1. The basic design guidelines referenced in this section have been taken from the following available documents.

- a. ENFEF-TM-78-08
- b. AFAPL-TR-75-93
- c. AFWAL-TR-83-3114, Vol. I and Vol. II

The suggested guidelines listed below are intended to assist the designer in the development of a fully packed system, and should not be limited to this list:

3.5.2.1 Basic Layout:

- a. Determine tank access openings and sizes.
- b. Foam component sizes must be consistent with the access opening. Foam has a memory and can be compressed if absolutely necessary. Keep in mind this may make installation and removal more difficult, thereby increasing maintenance cost.
- c. Configure and arrange foam components to minimize installation time, maintainability, and accessibility to fuel tank components.
- d. Minimize the number of foam components.
- e. Standard bulk sizes for foam buns as supplied by the reticulated polyurethane foam manufacturers are as follows: 4 x 44 x 110 in, 8 x 44 x 110 in, and 12 x 44 x 110 in.
- f. The minimum fabricated thickness for a foam component should be 2 in.
- g. The maximum thickness for a fabricated foam component should be 12 in.
- h. Minimum/maximum and configurations of foam components must be consistent with tank access openings to facilitate installation positioning within the tank.
- i. Undersizing of foam components/system may be up to 10%, with consideration given to the type of fuel used, and its effect of swell on the foam, see Figure 3.

3.5.2.2 Planned Noncomponent Voiding:

- a. Voids should not be connected, or provide a common flame propagation path from one tank bay to another.
- b. Locate selected voids, where possible, to facilitate fuel flow to pumps, interconnects, and vent openings.

SAE AIR4170

3.5.2.2 (Continued):

- c. Calculate void percentage versus combustion overpressure.
 - (1) Locate selected voids uniformly throughout the tank; avoid concentration of voids in the upper half of the tank.
 - (2) Suggested maximum size of the void is a 4.5 in diameter cylindrical cutout or a 4 x 4 in square cutout. If possible, extend the cutout completely through the thickness of the foam, for ease of fabrication. No single void volume should exceed 10% of the fuel tank volume.
 - (3) Minimum distance between voids should be 3 in. For "0" operating pressure, and 5 in for 3 psig pressure.
- d. Minimize the number and size of voids which are adjacent to the fuel tank boundaries. No void should be longer than 2.5 ft.
- e. Careful attention should be given to the critical components located around fuel inlet points to minimize direct fuel impingement.
- f. Reduce or eliminate direct fuel stream impingement onto safety foam components.
- g. Consider the use of piccolo tubes (perforated tubes) for fuel inlet nozzles.
- h. If it is a new aircraft design, consider fuel flow entry at the bottom of the fuel tank.

3.5.2.3 Fuel Tank Component Voiding:

- a. See Table 3 for recommended voiding around fuel tank components.
- b. Mechanically moving components, such as a surge box flapper valve, should have a screen or perforated plate across the opening to prevent any large piece of foam from entering the opening and interfering with the valve operation.
- c. Slits connecting voids should be avoided if possible.

3.5.2.4 Fabrication/Installation Engineering Data:

- a. Foam component engineering drawings should provide adequate information for fabrication/production.
- b. Foam component dimensioning and tolerances should be consistent with the standard fabrication practices of the industry.
- c. Installation sequence for a foam kit must be provided for installers.






SAE AIR4170

TABLE 3 - Minimum Clearance Criteria (Examples) -
Dry, Installed Condition

Component	Minimum Clearance	
Vent	4 in in all directions	
Vent Box	1 in around box	
Flapper Valve	4 in in all directions	
Interconnects	4 in in all directions	
Pump/Ejectors	2 in in all directions 4 in at inlet	

SAE AIR4170

TABLE 3 (Continued)

Component	Minimum Clearance	
Sump Drain	4 in in all directions	
Pilot Valve	4 in in all directions	
Quantity Gage Probe	2 in greater than probe radius	
Filler Void At Gravity Filler Port	2 in greater than cage radius or 4 in diameter hole to bottom of tank	
Plumbing	Loose Fit: Maximum hole diameter outside diameter of tube plus 1/2 in	

SAE AIR4170

TABLE 3 (Continued)

Component	Minimum Clearance
Fuel Inlets	4 in in all directions and configure so as to minimize impingement

The diagram illustrates two cross-sectional views of a fuel inlet assembly. The top view shows a horizontal fuel inlet pipe with a 4-inch clearance above and below it, with foam components indicated. The bottom view shows a more complex assembly with multiple fuel inlets, each with a 4-inch clearance, and a 'Shower Head' at the bottom. Arrows point to various foam components and clearances, with a note stating 'Variations in configurations to minimize impingement'.

3.5.2.4 (Continued):

- d. Provide simplistic, understandable individual foam component identification.

3.5.2.5 Fuel Quantity Gaging:

- a. Recalibration of the fuel quantity gaging system to account for foam volume is necessary to maintain system accuracy.
- b. Determine fuel retention and volume loss due to reticulated polyurethane foam kit, see Figure 2.
- c. Determine the weight of the reticulated polyurethane foam kit for operating manual information.

3.5.2.6 Trial Installation:

- a. A trial installation or prototyping of the reticulated polyurethane foam kit design should be conducted to verify the fit of the foam pieces. Determine if all component voids and installation procedures are adequate, and that the installation and removal times are reasonable.

SAE AIR4170

3.5.2.7 Aircraft or Vehicle Technical Manual Data: The designer should provide as a minimum the following engineering technical information:

- a. Fuel retention
- b. Calculated usable fuel quantities
- c. Installation/removal procedures for the reticulated polyurethane foam kit
- d. Fuel quantity system calibration data for reticulated polyurethane foam use
- e. Inspection procedures for the reticulated polyurethane foam kit and maintenance procedures

3.5.3 Grossly Voided Design Concept: A grossly voided system is defined as one where the fuel tank contains strategically positioned reticulated polyurethane foam for explosion suppression. This system provides for minimal weight penalty and fuel retention, and is best suited for a fuel system that can withstand substantial overpressures. The flame tube data in Figure 4 shows the effect of operating pressure on combustion pressure for Type III. Red polyester reticulated polyurethane foam data should be similar for all fine pore type products. The fine pore types of foams (Types III, V, and VII) are intended for grossly voided applications. However, for all new applications, it is recommended that state-of-the-art conductive fine pore products be used to minimize static charge buildup. The suggested design guidelines referenced in this section have been taken from the following:

- a. ENFEF-TM-78-08
- b. AFAPL-TR-75-93
- c. AFWAL-TR-83-3114, Volume I and Volume II

The suggested guidelines listed below are intended to assist the designer in the development of a grossly voided system, and should not be limited to this list:

- a. Determine tank access openings and sizes.
- b. Determine fuel tank configuration(s) and ullage void space at various fuel levels.
- c. Select a reticulated polyurethane foam gross voiding method in accordance with the previously referenced documents or other applicable sources of information.
- d. Perform ignition analysis per paragraph 2.4.1.1.2 of AFAPL-TR-75-93 technical report at various fuel levels to determine percentage of gross voiding.

SAE AIR4170

3.5.3 (Continued):

- e. Select foam configuration, sizes, and determine strategic positioning.
- f. Perform verification analysis of kit design to insure adequate explosion suppression protection.
- g. Determine overpressures.

NOTE: If designing a foam inerting system in an existing fuel tank design, it is important to evaluate the expanding gas flow area ratio change caused by installation of foam inerting components being positioned at tank baffles between fuel tank compartments. The foam may change the gas flow rate sufficiently in one compartment to cause overpressure. These expanding gases would be the result of an ignition created in the fuel tank by a combat type projectile, or some other ignition source. It may be necessary to increase the size of the holes through the compartment baffles to maintain the proper gas flow area ratio. Refer to AFAPL-TR-73-124 pertaining to this issue.

- h. If at all possible, scale model gunfire tests should be required for verification of the design concept.
- i. Maintain proper clearances between fuel tank components and the foam components as shown in Table 3.
- j. Provide minimal or eliminate direct incoming fuel stream impingement onto foam.
- k. Consider the use of piccolo tubes to eliminate direct incoming fuel impingement.
- l. In the case of a new aircraft design, consideration of single point bottom fuel entry into a tank should be investigated.
- m. Depending upon the positioning of the foam components, and the method selected for obtaining gross voiding, it may be necessary to select and use adhesive, sealant, or special compounds to secure these components in position (sloshing fuel during flight conditions must be considered). If an adhesive, sealant, or special compound is used, it must be proven to be compatible with the foam, fuels, and metal surfaces that it comes in contact with. It must also demonstrate a life expectancy that equals or exceeds the reticulated polyurethane foam. Compatible solvents for glue cleanup should be evaluated and specified by the aircraft manufacturer.
- n. Foam component engineering drawings should provide adequate information for fabrication/production.

SAE AIR4170

3.5.3 (Continued):

- o. Foam component dimensioning and tolerances should be consistent with standard fabrication practices of the industry.
- p. Installation sequence for the foam kit must be provided for installers.
- q. Provide simplistic, understandable foam numerical identification system.
- r. Recalibration or verification of the fuel quantity gauging system is required.
- s. Determine fuel retention and volume loss due to reticulated polyurethane foam kit, see Figure 2.
- t. Calculate the weight of the reticulated polyurethane foam kit.
- u. A trial installation or prototyping of the foam kit design should be conducted to verify the fit of components. Check to determine that component voids and installation procedures are adequate, and that the installation time is reasonable. Check to determine that removal procedures are adequate, including removal of adhesives, sealants, or other special components used to secure the foam components.
- v. The designer should provide the following minimum engineering technical data:
 - (1) Fuel retention
 - (2) Calculate useable fuel quantities
 - (3) Installation/removal procedures for the reticulated polyurethane foam kit
 - (4) Fuel quantity system calibration data for reticulated polyurethane foam use
 - (5) Inspection procedures for reticulated polyurethane foam kit and maintenance procedures
- w. No voids should exceed a maximum length of 2.5 ft.

3.5.4 Foam/Fuel Compatibility: The issue of foam/fuel compatibility has been properly addressed in the military specifications MIL-B-83054B and TE-ENFE-86-1 and other military procurement specifications for the qualification of reticulated polyurethane foam. However, in the event that a fuel or fuel additive is selected for use other than those specified in the military specifications referenced, adequate testing must be performed to insure compatibility.

SAE AIR4170

3.5.4 (Continued):

A program undertaken by the U.S. Air Force to determine the compatibility of reticulated polyurethane foams in typical turbine fuels with currently approved additives was completed in September 1980. The results of this program may be found in reference document number AFWAL-TR-80-4135.

Results of fuel compatibility tests with reticulated polyurethane foams may be found in the foam manufacturers qualification reports.

3.5.5 Gunfire Testing and Electrostatic Compatibility:

- 3.5.5.1 Gunfire Testing: The explosion suppression characteristics of all reticulated polyurethane foam systems should be demonstrated. This is especially important for the fine pore/grossly voided systems which have fuel tank operating pressures over 3 psig. A development test rig or replica fuel tank which simulates the actual tank design in size, shape, and internal configuration should be used to demonstrate the effectiveness of the reticulated polyurethane foam protection system. A minimum of four tests should be conducted to prove system effectiveness. The optimum flammable fuel/air mixture, verified by bomb sample or gas analyzer, should be used for these tests. At least one test should be done at the most critical fuel level (i.e., greatest amount of void volume in the vapor space). The maximum combustion pressure should not exceed 80% of the ultimate pressure capability of the tank.

A detailed test plan should be prepared by the testing organization and approved by the aircraft system program office. If gunfire is used for the ignition source, the test tank must be repaired prior to each test.

- 3.5.5.2 Electrostatic Compatibility: The reticulated polyurethane foam system design should also be evaluated for electrostatic compatibility, to determine if the kit design is conducive to the generation/accumulation of electrostatic charges to hazardous levels within the fuel tank during normal fuel system operations. Both single point pressure refueling and gravity refueling should be evaluated. Carefully review the entire fuel system to insure that it does not provide severe fuel agitation, or fuel stream, fuel/air impingement on the foam. These potential hazards must be verified by test.

The electrostatic test procedures should be prepared by the test organization and approved by the aircraft system program office. The test procedures should indicate the required conductivity, instrumentation, and success criteria for the test.

SAE AIR4170

3.6 Foam Component/Kit Fabrication Methods:

This section is intended to provide a foam fabricator with basic guidelines for the fabrication, quality control, cleaning, identification, and packaging of components/kits for use in fuel systems. Due to the critical applications these components/kits are used in, particular attention must be given to the following list, but not limited to (see ENFEF-TM-78-08, guidelines for use in design, fabrication, installation, and testing of reticulated polyurethane foam kits):

- a. Select proper storage facilities for reticulated polyurethane foam as received from the manufacturer
- b. Establish a manufacturing plan
- c. Establish a quality control plan
- d. Establish contamination control
- e. Maximize fabrication yields
- f. Review proper handling methods
- g. Construct manufacturing layout templates
- h. Review methods of fabrication
- i. Establish component identification
- j. Provide final component cleaning procedures and equipment
- k. Establish a check system for packing/packaging of components/kits
- l. Provide clean proper storage areas for fabricated components/kits

3.6.1 Packaging and Storage of Bulk Material as Received from the Manufacturer: Packing/packaging of received reticulated polyurethane foam may vary slightly from one contract to another depending upon the specified level of protection. However, as a minimal requirement, bulk foam (all types) should be packaged as follows:

- a. Bulk material should be received in a multipacked configuration with an approximate overall package size of 44 in wide x 110 in long x 24 in high, with a gross weight of 100 lb and an estimated volume of 68 ft³.
- b. Each multipack will contain either two buns of 12 x 44 x 110 in, or three buns of 8 x 44 x 110 in (these are standard size buns). Each bun shall be individually packaged.
- c. The exterior package shall be an 8-mil, black, polyethylene bag per L-P-378, Type I, Class I, Grade B. This polyethylene bag shall be taped closed. The exterior shall be marked in accordance with MIL-STD-129.

SAE AIR4170

3.6.1 (Continued):

- d. The individual packaged sheets shall be in a sealed 4-mil, black, polyethylene bag per L-P-378, Type I, Class I, Grade B. The exterior shall be marked in accordance with MIL-STD-129.
- e. Each sheet shall contain a product ticket indicating run number, dimension, type, and date of manufacture. The ticket should be retained by quality assurance as the material is used.

Upon receipt of the bulk reticulated polyurethane foam, it is suggested that the packages be inspected for shipping damage, such as:

- a. Torn or punctured polyethylene bags
- b. Foam that has been punctured by forklift truck handling
- c. Exposed, dirty, or wet foam from torn packaging

It is suggested that after inspecting torn packaging, if the foam is undamaged the torn areas should be taped closed, or covered with black or opaque polyethylene sheeting taped over the torn area. This will prevent additional contamination, possible exposure to ultraviolet light deterioration, and discoloration of the foam.

The bulk reticulated polyurethane foam, as received, must be stored in a storage area that will protect it from the outdoor weather environment.

- 3.6.2 Product Data Sheets and MSD Sheets: It is recommended that the fabricator obtain current product data and MSD sheets for this material from the specific manufacturer/supplier.

3.6.2.1 Flammability of Cellular Plastics:

WARNING: RETICULATED POLYURETHANE FOAM IS A FLAMMABLE ORGANIC URETHANE FOAM. Once burning, it can give off the same smoke and toxic gas as any petrochemical product.

Store flexible reticulated polyurethane foam indoors, protected by an approved fire protection system and away from all heat sparks or ignition sources, such as welding, naked lights, smoking materials, space heaters, open flames, or exposed heating elements. Make certain there are adequate aiseways to permit quick access from all storage areas. All storage areas should be kept clean and promptly dispose of scraps, cuttings, or waste foam. Allow a minimum of 10 ft clearance between tops of foam stacks and sprinkler heads. Make certain approved fire extinguishers and a substantial source of water are available for use in the event of fire.

- 3.6.3 Fabrication: The fabricator's manufacturing area should meet required cleanliness to control contaminants that would adversely affect the material or its functionality. It is extremely important to control such contaminants as foreign particles, metal particles, dust, sunlight, water, water vapor steam, harmful liquids, and harmful gases. Manufacturing management should address the following aspects of fabrication:

SAE AIR4170

3.6.3 (Continued):

- a. Storage areas
- b. Templet storage
- c. Proper handling equipment
- d. Layout tables
- e. Good housekeeping practices
- f. Fabricating equipment
- g. Personnel clothing
- h. Disposal of scrap material or rejected parts (see Section 5 of this document)

Layout marking of reticulated polyurethane foam may be accomplished with use of Blaisdell 1173F, Berol Liquid Tip 600 black marker, or refillable ink pens containing ink per Federal Specification TT-I-1795. Ink color should provide maximum contrast for easy visibility.

Cutting and shaping of individual foam components can be accomplished by the following methods:

- a. Hot wire cutting
- b. Mechanical blade type cutting (band saw, electric knife)
- c. Specially designed/manufactured smooth blade type cutting tools

NOTE: Reticulated polyurethane foam Types I through Types V and all dry bay foams may be fabricated by use of all three methods shown above. However, conductive types of reticulated polyurethane foam should not be fabricated utilizing the hot wire cutting method.

- 3.6.3.1 Hot Wire Cutting: Extreme caution must be taken when hot wire cutting of reticulated polyurethane foams. Adequate ventilation must be provided as the vapors generated by the melting foam may be carcinogenic, extremely toxic, and irritating to the eyes and lungs. The proper ventilated facility must be provided when hot wire cutting is performed on a large scale.

The hot wire cutting method permits the fabrication of intricate irregularly shaped components that are difficult or impossible to achieve with mechanical cutting. This method also reduces the amount of loose foam debris generated during fabrication. Hot wire cutting may cause yellowing of the foam surface. This discoloration does not affect the quality of the foam and is acceptable for use in fuel tanks. However, a charred surface is not acceptable.

- 3.6.3.2 Mechanical Blade Type Cutting: The most common and preferred method for cutting and shaping of reticulated polyurethane foam is with band saws, electric knives, hand held knives, and special designed/manufactured mechanical blade cutting and boring tools. The types of blades used most successfully are as follows:

- a. Smooth blade (no teeth)
- b. Serrated or scalloped type blade
- c. Extremely fine-toothed band saw-type blade

SAE AIR4170

3.6.3.2 (Continued):

Cutting blades should be kept clean at all times. Blades may be cleaned with a suitable liquid cleaner that does not leave a residue or oily film. Cutting edges on these blades must be kept sharp. Dull blades can cause a tearing action rather than a clean-cut surface. Lubrication should not normally be required, however, if used, minimal application of a silicon mold release agent spray is acceptable.

- 3.6.3.3 Handling: Handling of reticulated polyurethane foam should not result in the transfer of foreign materials onto, or into the foam structure. Abusive handling and stressing of the foam buns or components may result in tearing or distortion and should be avoided. The clothing worn by personnel fabricating the components/kits should not be a source of contamination to the fuel cell baffle material.

- 3.6.4 Cleaning of Fabricated Components: Upon completion of fabrication and before final inspection, components will require a final cleaning to remove any foam debris or contaminants left from the fabricating process. All components must be bounced and thoroughly scrubbed on a screen or hardware cloth to remove loose particles of foam and/or beads of melted foam as a result of fabrication. Consideration may also be given to the use of an industrial type vacuum cleaner for cleaning loose debris as a result of fabrication.

- 3.6.5 Identification of Components: Component identification numbers/letters must be applied to each component after fabrication. Identification numbers must be in accordance with the instructions on the engineering drawings. Location and position should be specified on the engineering drawings. Identification markings must be in black on all components, except when installing grey or black type foam. Use a Blaisdell, 1175F, Blaisdell Co., Bethayres, PA or Berol Liquid Tip 600, black markers, Berol Corp., Danbury, CT, or refillable ink pens containing ink per Federal Specification TT-I-1795. Ink colors for the grey and black type materials shall be of a contrasting color for maximum visibility. If other markers or inks are used, they must first be qualified as to their permanency when subjected to fuel immersion.

- 3.6.6 Component Packaging Storage Recommendations: Immediately upon completing the cleaning and identification marking of a component it shall be packaged to prevent possible contamination. Component/kit packaging should be specified to a fabricator in the contract or purchase order for this product. However, in the event it is not specified, the following minimum level of protection shall be taken:

- a. Each individual component shall be wrapped in a 4-mil, black polyethylene film, taped or sealed closed, and properly identified
- b. Individually wrapped components shall then be multipacked into commercial grade corrugated cartons, and sealed closed with tape. Markings on the exterior carton shall identify the contents.

SAE AIR4170

3.6.6 (Continued):

The packaged components/kits shall be stored in an area to protect them from the outdoor environment.

3.7 Installation/Removal and Initial Fueling Recommendations:

The information provided in this section is intended to assist design engineers, airframe, and/or vehicle manufacturers and maintenance installers/mechanics. There are three categories of installations addressed in this section:

- a. Prototype Reticulated Polyurethane Foam Kit Design Verification Installations (both fully packed and grossly voided systems)
- b. Manufacturing/Maintenance Fully Packed Production Type Installations
- c. Manufacturing/Maintenance Grossly Voided Production Type Installations

The data in this section pertaining to installation, removal, aircraft, and/or vehicle purging, fueling, and specific operational checks are guidelines based on experience gained from previous installations and "lessons learned". See technical memorandum ENFEF-TM-78-08 for additional data. Specific detailed instructions pertaining to a particular design must be developed and maintained by the design engineering activity.

NOTE: For purpose of this document only, all discussions assume that the fuel tank(s) and fuel system have been properly prepared for foam kit installation. It is also assumed that all required tools, lighting, special equipment, and special personnel clothing are available and at the installation site. It is also understood that all safety equipment and procedures are approved and have been provided.

Tank Preparation Considerations:

- a. Complete drainage
- b. Access hatches opened or wing skin removed where necessary
- c. Tanks air purged for safe entry
- d. Aircraft structure and/or components removed where applicable

- 3.7.1 Installation: A trial installation of a prototype reticulated polyurethane foam kit, or a first article kit should be conducted to verify: the fit of the components; proper operation of the fuel system components; maintainability considerations; installation procedures; and to establish installation times.

SAE AIR4170

3.7.1 (Continued):

Production installations of fully packed systems and gross voided systems will require many of the same steps and procedures used in a prototype verification installation. Procedures listed below are lettered accordingly to identify the installation category:

- a. Procedures (A) are to be followed for all three types of installations: prototype, fully packed production systems, and grossly voided production systems
- b. Procedures (B) are to be followed for prototype installations only
- c. Procedures (C) are to be followed for grossly voided production system installations only

- 3.7.1.1 Procedure (A) Tank Cleanliness: Special care must be exercised to prevent contamination of the fuel tanks and the foam during the installation process. The fuel tanks should be thoroughly cleaned of all foreign particles by vacuuming, wiping, etc. All air forced into the tanks for ventilation or other purposes should be filtered so as not to be a source of contamination.

NOTE: Periodic cleaning of the fuel cell while installing the foam is essential. Use of the protective covering (bags) on the foam kits, as noted in 3.6.6, should be continued prior to installation of the foam into the fuel tanks.

Special test sets of foam (prototype foam kits) should not be used for production installation unless cleanliness standards are maintained throughout prototyping and the kit is of production kit quality.

- 3.7.1.2 Procedure (A): Installations that require fuel tank entrance by installer personnel: it is recommended that they wear approved clean clothing that will not contribute to the contamination of the fuel tank and/or the reticulated polyurethane foam kit components. Special clothing may be required as safety precautions. See "NOTE" on page 33 for additional checks.
- 3.7.1.3 Procedure (A): Position the packaged reticulated polyurethane foam kit components as close to the aircraft and/or vehicle as possible.
- 3.7.1.4 Procedure (A): Remove the reticulated polyurethane foam kit components from their individual packaging only as needed for installation into the fuel tank. This will minimize possible contamination.
- 3.7.1.5 Procedure (A): Assemble each tank bay on a polyethylene sheet prior to installing foam to be sure that all component parts are included and that they match the drawings.

SAE AIR4170

- 3.7.1.6 Procedure (B): Actual weights of the reticulated polyurethane foam components, or groups of components, must be obtained and recorded. A total foam kit weight (dry-unfueled foam) will be required to calculate volume penalty, fuel retention, usable fuel capacity, etc.
- 3.7.1.7 Procedure (A): Handle reticulated polyurethane foam components in a manner to minimize possible contamination and to prevent physical damage.
- 3.7.1.8 Procedure (A): Visually inspect each reticulated polyurethane foam kit component for physical damage or contamination that may have occurred in transit from the fabricator to the installation site.
- 3.7.1.9 Procedure (A): In proper installation sequence, place each foam kit component into position.
- 3.7.1.10 Procedure (B): Check for ease of foam kit component positioning within the fuel tank.
- 3.7.1.11 Procedure (B): Check for designed clearances around fuel tank components and, in particular, adequate clearances for mechanically moving components.
- 3.7.1.12 Procedure (A): The final reticulated polyurethane foam kit component in a particular cavity or the last component in a tank will require installation care. Foam to foam surface may make it difficult to slip the component into its proper position. There are several "lessons learned" techniques that will make this task easier. The use of antistatic polyethylene sheeting as a slip sheet in accordance with MIL-B-81705 will make the task simpler. Another method is to place the entire component into an antistatic bag. Draw a vacuum on the bag to compress the component to the desired reduced size. Next, release the vacuum after positioning the component in the fuel tank.
- CAUTION: All antistatic polyethylene sheeting or bag materials must be removed from around the component before proceeding further!
- 3.7.1.13 Procedure (A): Alertness must be maintained by installers to remove tools and foreign objects that do not belong in the fuel tank as installation of the foam kit progresses.
- 3.7.1.14 Procedure (A): During the reinstallation of fuel tank components and hardware, proper electrical bonding is essential.
- 3.7.1.15 Procedure (B): If minor changes or modifications are required during installation of various foam kit components, it can be accomplished by use of a basic hand-held (sharp) knife or an electric knife. Foam component modification cutting must be performed outside of the tank. Be sure to remove all foam cutting debris.

SAE AIR4170

3.7.1.16 Procedure (C): For grossly voided installations only it may be required that the reticulated polyurethane foam kit components be fastened into their respective position. In this situation, the design engineering activity must provide the specific instructions, type of materials to be used, and procedures as required.

3.7.2 Initial Fueling Recommendations: Reduced flow rates are recommended for the first filling of the fuel tanks following initial installation of the reticulated polyurethane foam kit and with each reinstallation of dry foam to minimize electrostatic charge generation.

After the initial filling, the system should be left in its filled condition for a minimum of 30 min. This will allow the reticulated polyurethane foam to swell and position itself.

The following fueling tests should be performed on both prototype and production installations:

- a. Fuel Contamination: The contaminant level of the installed foam kit should be determined. A 1 mg/gal increase over the contaminant level of the fuel used for servicing is an acceptable contaminant level. A minimum of three fill and drains on all tanks should be accomplished to reduce the contaminant level. At the end of each defueling cycle and prior to the next refueling, all fuel drains (sumps, filter drains, etc.) should be drained. Two samples should be taken during the third fueling to obtain a baseline contaminant level. Take the fuel sample from the sampling port on the refueling nozzle. If this is not possible, the samples may be taken from the refueler vehicle sump or filter separator drain. A complete set of samples (each tank) should be taken during draining upon completion of the third defueling and tested in accordance with Appendix A2 (laboratory filtration) of ASTM D 2276-73 or paragraphs 5-23, 5-24, and 5-47 through 5-52 of T.O.42B-1-1. Sample containers should be 1 qt bottles precleaned in accordance with ASTM D 2276-73, Appendix A2. If the analysis shows that the increase in contaminant level is greater than 1 mg/gal, then the fuel/defuel procedure should be repeated until a maximum of 1 mg/gal increase is met.

The fluid used for contaminant testing should be in accordance with MIL-G-5572, MIL-T-5624, or MIL-T-83133, whichever is applicable. All fuel used in the tank flushings may be reused provided the fuel has passed through a filter-separator to remove solids and provided the existent gum level is within specification limits. The existent gum level should not increase above 6.0 mg/100 ml.

All aircraft and other vehicle low pressure fuel strainers should be removed, inspected, and cleaned after the first and second operational missions following installation of reticulated polyurethane foam and after 50 h of operation. It is recommended that special emphasis be placed on normal sump draining, especially prior to each mission, as a means of reducing contamination in the tanks.

SAE AIR4170

3.7.2 (Continued):

- b. Pump Performance: The pump performance (pressure and flow values) should be verified in accordance with the applicable aircraft and/or vehicle technical order. The reticulated polyurethane foam kit should be modified as needed to prevent any fuel flow restriction to the pump inlet.
- c. Tank Venting: Tank pressures should be measured by a manometer to evaluate any restriction of the tank vent system. The tank pressure should be measured during maximum rate refuel from 50% full to 100% full (automatic shutoff). The test should also be conducted with a simulated failure of the shutoff valve. Any increase in the pressure value may require a vent void redesign.
- d. Quantity Gaging: Check operation of fuel quantity gaging system and calibration. For prototype verification installations only, per 3.7.1, the following tests are recommended:
 - (1) Determine the tank system total fuel capacity.
 - (2) Determine the fuel retention.
 - (3) Determine the usable fuel capacity.
 - (4) Determine the refueling/defueling flow rates and times.
 - (5) Slosh and Vibration: A slosh and vibration test should be conducted, without the reticulated polyurethane foam installed, on all component guards, shrouds, and foam retainer devices. This test, for both integral and bladder tanks, should conform to the applicable aircraft vibration test requirements or to the test requirements in MIL-T-5578C or MIL-T-6396E. A failure such as a crack, break, or deterioration of any component guards or foam retaining devices is not permitted. The test should be repeated with foam installed to verify that foam movement does not interfere with component operation and to insure foam component bonds are satisfactory.

3.7.3 Reticulated Polyurethane Foam Kit Removal/Drying: The reticulated polyurethane foam kit components are to be removed from the tank in the reverse order of installation.

CAUTION: Reticulated polyurethane foam becomes extremely tender when fuel wetted. Polyether type product is more tender than the polyester type product. However, as the components dry, they will return to their original tensile strength as manufactured.